

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
			J	1	2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 19-Apr-2010	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT GULFCOAST BLDG 903, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) NAVFAC SOUTHEAST ROICC GULFPORT 5302 6TH ST BLDG 62T NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER GULFPORT MS 39501-5001		CODE N69450	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-10-R-0766	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 30-Mar-2010	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE			FACILITY CODE		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended.					
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
Gulfport Navy Lodge Addition					
Site Visit questions and answers and sign in sheet					
Proposal due date remains unchanged at 3:00 PM eastern 30 APR 2010					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)			BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		20-Apr-2010

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The contractor period of performance end date has increased by 90 days from 485 days to 575 days.

These clarifications do not override the existing verbiage of the RFP; they merely clarify.

Allen Thatcher provided a CD with drawings, AUTOCAD 2006 to the attending 4 MAC holders

Q1: Question regarding sub-surface conditions.

A1: Jerry Davis stated there was sufficient geotech information in the RFP

Q2: The parking lot is near the drainage, was that considered by the Government?

A2: Yes, this is an existing condition which must be considered in the design.

Q3: The RFP request wood frame and metal. The DD 1391 talked to a wood frame. However, there will be no wood, no metal stud framewall and no metal load-bearing.

A3: Correct, no wood frame construction, or load bearing exterior metal stud walls permitted.

Q4: Government needs to verify spread footing versus piles. There are pile load assumptions which are above what they'd need.

A4: If desired, contractors are welcome to provide a possible value engineering alternative to the required pile driving. This could be included in their proposal as a VE. However, the basis of the design would be piles. A VE modification could be taken after award.

Q5: Are the contractors to go off the RFP or products specified in Part 6?

A5: For the furnishings, Part 6 takes precedence in the RFP.

Q6: Are these non-appropriated funds?

A6: Yes.

Q7: There is a general concern regarding the 485 days for contract completion, 8 months to build. Allen advised them to view it from a schematic design viewpoint. It was stated it's going to take 2 months up front. The re-evaluation of the concept design state is a timely process. The schedule of submittals and Government review needs to be very clear and concise and then that needs to be taken into consideration for the contract completion date.

A7: Additional 90 days should be permitted in the contract time. NO CHANGE to the "solicitation due" date.

Q8: It will take an additional 3-4 weeks to install the furniture. The solicitation states 5% administrative fee for the prime contractor for the furniture, Section E-20, but it's normally 10%. At 5%, the prime contractor will only make 1% profit.

A8: Part 1, Contract completion date is extended 90 days as stated above.

Part 2, Administrative Fee is hereby increased to 7.5%

(End of Summary of Changes)

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
			J	1	4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 26-Apr-2010	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT GULFCOAST BLDG 903, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) NAVFAC SOUTHEAST ROICC GULFPORT 5302 6TH ST BLDG 62T NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER GULFPORT MS 39501-5001		CODE	N69450
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-10-R-0766	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 30-Mar-2010	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE			FACILITY CODE		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended.					
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Gulfport Navy Lodge Addition Proposal Due Date remains unchanged at 3:00 PM Eastern Friday 30 APR 2010					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____		26-Apr-2010	
		(Signature of Contracting Officer)			

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Q9: Government response to an RFI requesting CADD files of the RFP drawings indicates that these will be provided at the site visit scheduled for Tuesday, April 13, 2010. The design provided in the RFP is very specific, with detailed site and floor plan layouts developed. Pricing efforts are dependent upon the RFP design and these efforts cannot, in effect, begin until CADD files have been received and additional drawings have been developed. Similarly, presentation drawing development required for this proposal cannot begin until CADD files have been received. With a proposal due date of April 30, only two weeks will be available after receipt of CADD files, to complete pricing and presentation drawing development required for this proposal. This will limit the ability to get competitive pricing and will also affect the quality of the design presented. In the best interest of the Government, please consider extending the proposal due date by three weeks, to facilitate pricing and proposal design development efforts.

A9: NO CHANGE to the "solicitation due" date.

10Q: Part 3 Chapter 6 Page 4 – Exhaust systems 1) The exhaust systems section requests a central building exhaust system for the suite restrooms and kitchen hoods. Residential kitchen hood exhaust is not required by the international building code. If the kitchen hood is exhausted, more outdoor air will be required for building pressurization. This would be a large increase in both, first cost and energy cost.

10A: This is not a change to the RFP. Provide central exhaust as required per RFP.

11Q: Part 3 Chapter 6 Pages 1,4 2) The system description gives two options for HVAC systems: Vertical Terminal Heat pumps (VTHP) and multi-split heat pumps with VRV technology. If using the VRV option it goes on to state "in room cassettes shall circulate indoor air only. On page 4 it states "Provide ducted returns for all HVAC systems". Room cassettes do not have the option of ducted return. should I assume that ducted returns shall be provided for all HVAC systems besides room cassettes and high wall units (for elevator equipment rooms, electrical rooms etc.).

11A: This is not a change to the RFP. Ducted returns are not required for VRV ductless split cassettes.

12 Q: Part 3 Chapter 6 Page 3 3) The heat generating systems summary states that supplemental electric heat shall be provided with VRV split system heat pumps. If the heating load is met by the heat pump, can electric heat be omitted? Can the heat pump supplying the common/support areas be provided with electric heat (if additional heat is necessary). If an ERV is used for the 100% OA unit, can reheat be provided by electric heat? These questions arise from the lack of substantial heat load due to heat recovery devices. Will electric heat be acceptable if it is proven to be cost effective by a LCCA?

12A: This is not a change to the RFP. Provide supplemental electric heat for VRV split system heat pumps, terminal air conditioning units, and concealed air handlers for common/support areas. Electric resistance heating shall not be used for reheat. Primary electric resistance heating is not permitted.

13 Q: Please refer to the 1442. Box 13, Item D. The Time of Acceptance is indicated as 485 calendar days after bid. Please provide the correct time of acceptance and verify that the time of performance is 485 calendar days

13A: Contract completion date is extended 90 days.

14Q: Again, referring to the 1442, Box 10, Description of Project. This indicates that the building is to be wood frame; however, Part 3, Section 1.0 of the RFP indicates that the building is to be steel frame. Please clarify

14A: This is a change to the 1442, Box 10: Delete the word "wood" and add the word "steel" in its place.

Q15: Are CAD files of the RFP provided drawings available for download?

A15: CAD drawings provided to all bidders on April 13, 2010 at site meeting. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q16: There are references in the RFP to meeting both LEED v2.2 and v3.0. Has the project been pre-registered for LEED v2.2 or 3.0? If the project has not been pre-registered who will be the responsible party for registration?

A16: This project shall be designed to meet USGBC LEED v3.0 and has not been registered. The successful Proposer shall be responsible for USGBC registration. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q17: Part 3 Sec. 2.3.1 Section 2.3.1 Sustainable Design – Mandatory credits lists EQ 8.1 day lighting as one of the mandatory credits; Typically on a 2-story building this type of credit will require extraordinary amounts of glazing and internal light wells to achieve, neither of which are allocated in the provided plans. Please reconsider requiring this credit to be mandatory for this type of building?

A17: Generally the Navy is committed to attaining LEED Silver with the mandatory credits listed, on all projects. However, due to the nature of certain projects, it may not always be possible. Once the project is awarded, if the design effort proves that certain mandatory credits or the LEED Silver level is not attainable, the Designer shall prove the inability to attain the goal. In all cases, if a mandatory credit is proven to be unattainable, additional, a non-mandatory credit shall always be attempted in order to attain LEED Silver. An appropriate cost credit shall be provided the project if the LEED documentation is not required.

Q18: As currently designed with the plans provided, the units have between 33-66 ft² of glazing which equates to only 0.92% -1.02% of the 2.0% glazing required to meet EQ credit 8.1 option 1. In order to meet the mandatory credit the design team will need to more than double the amount of exterior glazing and/or raise the floor to floor height to install clerestory windows. Would you prefer that the design team strive to maximize glazing despite possibly not being able to meet this credit or should designers make the adjustments to the building as noted?

A18: Generally the Navy is committed to attaining LEED Silver with the mandatory credits listed, on all projects. However, due to the nature of certain projects, it may not always be possible. Once the project is awarded, if the design effort proves that certain mandatory credits are not attainable, the Designer shall prove the inability to attain the goal. In all cases, if a mandatory credit is proven to be unattainable, an additional, non-mandatory credit shall always be attempted in order to attain LEED Silver.

Q19: Both Part 1 Sec. 01 14 00.05 20 & Part 3 Sec. 2.3.1 list LEED credit EQ 8.1 day lighting as a requirement for the project, however this seems to be contradictory to the overall goal to conserve energy since the additional glazing will increase the overall cooling load on the building. Additionally, since these spaces are not typically occupied during the daylight hours we're not sure the Navy would see any savings on energy costs from a reduced lighting load. Would you consider other methods and credits for achieving the overall goal of meeting LEED silver?

A19: Generally the Navy is committed to attaining LEED Silver with the mandatory credits listed, on all projects. However, due to the nature of certain projects, it may not always be possible. Once the project is awarded, if the design effort proves that certain mandatory credits are not attainable, the Designer shall prove the inability to attain the goal. In all cases, if a mandatory credit is proven to be unattainable, an additional, non-mandatory credit shall always be attempted in order to attain LEED Silver.

Q20: The Phase II solicitation lists the building as wood frame construction with brick veneer, however, RFP Part 3 Sec. B2010 lists the exterior walls as metal frame with brick veneer and Sec.'s B1010 & B1020 list the floor and roof joists as wood or light gauge metal. Please list the preferred method of construction.

A20: This is a change to the solicitation: Change the word "wood" to "metal / steel"

Q21: The building program as directed in the RFP calls for Business Suites to 'stack' on Family Suites; however the units as provided in Part 3 of the RFP are not of the same module and do not align vertically. Would you prefer the design team to adjust the layout of the units or to adjust the program to align the construction of the building?

A21: This is not a change to the RFP; The Navy lodge wants the plans as shown and it shall be the Proposers responsibility to route all the required systems through ceiling plenums and wall cavities.

Q22: Please provide location where bidders can download CAD file of existing survey.

A22: CAD drawings provided to all bidders on April 13, 2010 at site meeting. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q23: Please provide the AutoCAD files for the Survey and other drawings in the RFP.

A23: CAD drawings provided to all bidders on April 13, 2010 at site meeting. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q24: Drawing SK-001 shows two new handicap parking places and other new parking places to be constructed within 82 feet from the existing Navy Lodge. Is this permissible by current ATFP requirements?

A24: Yes. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q25: Part 6 Baseline Building Performance Rating Summary does not seem to account for the additional glazing that will be required to meet LEED credit EQ 8.1. Are the design teams to still consider this as the baseline for meeting the 30% reduction regardless of the additional glazing or are we to adjust and increase the baseline to allow for the additional glazing that will be required.

A25: This is a change to the RFP: PART 6: Delete the Baseline Building Performance Rating Summary issued with the RFP and substitute it with the revised Baseline Building Performance Rating Summary attached.

Q26: We are having difficulty determining the correct CLIN schedule / bid form for this project. The form provided with the RFP (behind the 1442) indicates two pages of four bid items then provides another bid form with only two bid items while Part 3, Paragraph 2.3 (CLIN) lists 3 bid items (Base Bid and two Options). Please clarify or reissue a revised singular bid form.

A26: Part 3 Chapter 2 – Page 6, delete “CLIN 0002 – Option One – Light Gauge Steel Load Bearing Walls”, delete “CLIN 0003 – Option Two – Concrete Masonry Unit Exterior Bearing Walls”, add new “CLIN 0002 – Option One – Concrete Masonry Unit Exterior Bearing Walls”.

Q27: What is "FFP"?

A27: FFP stands for Firm Fixed Price RFP stands for Request For Proposal

Q28: Part 3, Room Requirements. "Public Restroom" C102001 indicates a requirement for an "Extra heavy duty metal door". This room is in the Lobby area of the Lodge, on the interior. Is this the correct door to be utilized in this location?

A28: Yes.

Q29: Part 3, Room Requirements. There are two (2) differing room requirement sheets for one room. Which is correct?

A29: This is not a change to the RFP. NAVFAC looked over the room requirements and did not see any duplicates. There are some room requirements that are similar, so please look at the titles carefully and match them to the floor plan, checking off the list each space. If you still see a problem, please provide additional more exacting information like the room titles. Please send all submitters a reminder to submit detailed information with the request; otherwise we can't identify the problem.

Q30: Part 3, Room Requirements. Rooms indicate various levels of STC ratings, most of which are STC57; however further on, in Engineering System Requirements, C101001 FIXED PARTITIONS, the STC rating (minimum) is indicated as 42, Our question is two-part. (1) Which STC rating is correct, and (2) are door assemblies required to match the STC ratings of the containing walls where not covered by C102001, Standard Interior Doors? It should be noted that in Part 4, C102001 1.1.2. Performance Technical Specifications, this section states "The STC rating for the door and frame assembly shall be not less than the wall assembly STC rating.", which creates another conflict in the RFP documents not to mention that high rated STC doors are very expensive.

A30: This portion is not a change to the RFP: Please refer to the Space Requirements for detailed information. The minimums noted in the text are just that, minimums and the Space Requirements list the detailed requirements. This portion is a change to the RFP: PART 4 - SECTION C10, PARAGRAPH C102001 1.1.2: Delete the last sentence. Please also refer to the Navy Lodge Design Standards that were attached to the RFP, which contains the various items that are their standards.

Q31: C102001, in Part 6, states that all interior doors are to be hollow metal unless otherwise noted (closet doors and storefront entries). Is this correct?

A31: Yes, that is correct. Please also refer to the Navy Lodge Design Standards that were attached to the RFP, which contains the various items that are their standards. This is not a change to the RFP.

(End of Summary of Changes)

BASELINE BUILDING PERFORMANCE RATING SUMMARY

The Annual Cost Summary report is for the whole building energy simulation, pursuant to LEED EA credit 1: Optimize Energy Performance. The simulation was performed in Carrier's Hourly Analysis Program (HAP) v. 4.40, in accordance with ASHRAE 90.1-2007 Appendix G.

The following assumptions and clarifications should be considered before proceeding with a Proposed Building simulation:

- The Baseline Building Performance Rating provided is for selection purposes only.
- Building model contains two zones (one per floor).
- Envelope is modeled in accordance with ASHRAE 90.1-2007 zone 2A.
- Fenestration derived from space relationships.
- The Baseline Building Annual Energy Budget estimate is \$58,138 as detailed below:

General Information

Simulation Program Name and Version: Hourly Analysis Program v4.40
Simulation Weather File Name: New Orleans IAP, Louisiana (TM2)
Total Conditioned Floor Area: 34,863 ft²

Window-to-Wall Ratios

	Baseline
Window to Wall Ratio	11 %
Gross Wall Area (ft ²)	21,045
Vertical Window Area (ft ²)	2,340

Energy Type Summary

Energy Type	Utility Rate Description	Units of Energy	Units of Demand
Electric	Gulfport Electric Rate: \$0.08924/kWh	kWh	kW
Natural Gas	Gulfport NG Rate: \$0.779/Therm	Therm	MBH

Baseline Performance - Performance Rating Method Compliance

End Use	Process	Baseline Design Energy Type	Units of Annual Energy & Peak Demand	Baseline Design
Interior Lighting	No	Electric	Energy kWh	121,084
			Demand kW	29.9
Space Heating	No	Natural Gas	Energy Therm	1,008
			Demand MBH	269.4
Space Cooling	No	Electric	Energy kWh	58,794
			Demand kW	25.6
Fans - Interior	No	Electric	Energy kWh	146,351
			Demand kW	16.7
Domestic Water Heater	No	Natural Gas	Energy Therm	17,593
			Demand MBH	315.0
Process Loads	Yes	Electric	Energy kWh	162,865
			Demand kW	29.2
Baseline Energy Totals	Total Annual Energy Use kBTU			3,528,973
	Annual Process Energy kBTU			555,696

Process Cost accounts for 25% of Baseline Building Performance Rating.

Baseline Building Performance Rating Annual Energy Costs

Energy Type	Baseline Building Performance Rating Annual Energy Costs (\$)
Electric	43,647
Natural Gas	14,491
Total Baseline Costs	58,138

--End of Section--