

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 14
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 28-Apr-2010	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT GULFCOAST BLDG 903, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) NAVFAC SOUTHEAST ROICC GULFPORT 5302 6TH ST BLDG 62T NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER GULFPORT MS 39501-5001		CODE N69450
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-10-R-0766
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 30-Mar-2010
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Gulfport Navy Lodge Addition Proposal Due date is extended to 3:00 PM Tuesday 04 MAY 2010 Final RFIs answered				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
			TEL:	EMAIL:
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 28-Apr-2010

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Q41: (Subject: Reference: Section 000100) 1) Please provide a new Bid Schedule reflecting the latest amendment changes.

A41: Contract Specialist response needed.

Q42: (Subject: Bid Bond) 2) Please confirm Bid Bond is required. Bid Bond is usually not required from the MACC Contract Holders

A42: Contract Specialist response needed.

Q43: (Subject: Past Performance) 3) Please revise Past Performance requirements from "Completed Projects" to "50% Completed".

A43: The offeror must provide the information requested for past performance evaluation or affirmatively state that it possesses no relevant past performance, see Factor 1 – Past Performance, (a) Submittal requirements.

Q44: (Subject: Formal Teaming) 4) Please delete the paragraph describing formal teaming arrangements. Since this solicitation is only open to the MACC contractors, no new JV's or Teamings should be allowed

A44: Contract Specialist response needed.

Q45: (Subject: Far Clauses and UFC Code requirements) 5) Since this project is funded with non-appropriated money, do the Far Clauses and UFC Code requirements still apply? Please clarify.

A45: Yes, this is not a change to the RFP.

Q46: (Subject: time extension) 6) We desperately need more time in order to submit a responsive and competitive proposal. Please extend the project due date by at least two weeks.

A46: Contract Specialist response needed.

Q47: (Subject: 1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION) 7) The RFP describes the project as having 50 units but the conceptual drawings indicate 51. Which is correct?

A47: 50 Units.

Q48: (Subject: 4.2 SPACE RELATIONSHIPS) 8) The RFP requires a minimum of six Family Suites on the ground floor facing the existing housing complex. Can this number be reduced to five to allow all of the Family Suites to be stacked?

A48: Family suites may be reduced to 5 on the first floor and 5 on the second floor to allow stacking, which is a change to the RFP.

Q49: Subject: SPACE TABLE) 9) One Family Suite and one Extended Stay Room is required to be ADA compliant. Is it the intent that there will be no ADA compliant Business Suites?

A49 There is no requirement for an ADA Business Suite.

Q50: (Subject: Floor Construction) 10) Please amend the RFP to state that load bearing metal stud walls are not allowed.

A50: See RFI #3 (Question and Answer included here for your convenience: "Q3: The RFP request wood frame and metal. The DD 1391 talked to a wood frame. However, there will be no wood, no metal stud frame wall and no metal load-bearing. A3: Correct, no wood frame construction, or load bearing exterior metal stud walls permitted.")

Q51: (Subject: Attachments - Geotechnical Report) 11) This section recommends the building be supported on piles but the floor slab may be supported on reconditioned surface soils. Assuming the deep founded structural elements are isolated from the "floating slab," are there concerns over excessive settlement of the slab from the pile supported elements such as load bearing walls?

A51: Floor slab may be "floating slab" only the site condition allowed. The floor slab shall be designed not to have excessive settlement (use structural slab or engineered backfill).

Q52: (Subject: Water Closets) 16) RFP Part 3, Chapter 6 para. D201001 "Water Closets" requires the use of one-piece flush tank water closets in private bathrooms. Please verify if the use of two-piece flush tank water closets in private bathrooms is acceptable.

A52: No, the use of two piece flush tank water closets are NOT acceptable; use only ONE PIECE flush tank water closets in private bathrooms. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q53: (Subject: connection point for the new sanitary sewer service) 11) In RFP Part 3, Section 3.1.2.3 "Utilities", the sewer connection is specified at the 8" sewer sanitary manhole at the northeast corner of the existing Navy Lodge. This connection cannot be made with a gravity line and will require the installation of a lift station. Located to the west of the Parade Field, Manhole 317A with an invert of 19.91' is within reach of a gravity system. MH 317A is a manhole in the gravity line from the east residential area to LS 12. Please confirm if this an acceptable connection point for the new sanitary sewer service. This would eliminate the need for the lift station, lower initial cost and avoid the cost of maintaining and operating an additional lift station, to the benefit of the base.

A53: Sanitary sewer shall be connected to the manhole to the northeast of the existing Navy Lodge.

Q54: (Subject: water line connection) 12) RFP Part 3, Section 3.1.2.3 "Utilities", the water line connection is specified as the 8" water line on the north side of First Street and the west side of Bainbridge. Please confirm if connection to this 8" line north of the existing Navy Lodge is acceptable. This would allow the new line to the new structure to be located in the open area between the existing Lodge and the parking lot to the north, and may be beneficial to fire water flow.

A54: The water can be connected to the south or to the east along Bainbridge. It is not expected that the water pressure will vary between the two connection points. The preferred connection point is along First Street.

Q55: (Subject: Special Piping Systems) 15) In RFP Part 3, Chapter 6 para. D20900 "Special Piping Systems", obtaining gas pressures from the local gas utility provider is required by the contractor. This information is not easily obtained and is necessary to evaluate and confirm if gas supply to the site is adequate. Please provide gas pressures for this proposal, or a point of contact to obtain this information.

A55: This information is provided in Part 3 G306006.

Q56: Subject: fire pump) 18) Part 3 Chapter 6 para. D4020 "Fire Suppression Water Supply and Equipment", it is stated that a fire pump shall be provided. However, the need for a fire pump is not definite, and should be based on a detailed evaluation of the flow pressures provided in the RFP relative to this installation's specific code-based requirements. Please confirm if the eventual inclusion of a fire pump can be made on that basis, to avoid including the costs of potentially unnecessary equipment in the proposal price.

A56: NAVFAC SE performed a flow test before completing the RFP, which confirmed the need ofr the fire pump from NAVFAC's perspective. However each Proposer is responsible for their own design, and if a Proposer believes they have found a way to make the project work without a fire pump, it is to that Proposer's advantage. However, if the Proposer wishes to propose a project without a fire pump, the Proposer shall submit signed and sealed design calculations proving the project will work without a fire pump, with their proposal. This is not a change to the RFP.

Q57: (Subject: confirm if a 3,000 hour test is acceptable, as described in Part 4 Section D3030.) 17) Part 3 Chapter 6 para. D30 HVAC "General System Requirements", it is stated that the coil coating shall pass a salt spray test for a minimum of 10,000 hours. However, in Part 4 "Performance Technical Specifications", Section D3030 requires a 3,000 hour salt spray resistance test. A 3,000 hour test is industry standard; the requirement for a 10,000 hour test would severely limit equipment selection and may not even be obtainable. Please confirm if a 3,000 hour test is acceptable, as described in Part 4 Section D3030.

A57: PART 3, Chapter 6, Para. D30, Page 3, last sentence of D30, delete "10,000" and insert "3,000" in its place. This is a change to the RFP.

Q58: Subject: Technical proposal requirements) 1) Technical proposal requirements listed in the Solicitation letter list Factor 1 – Past Performance requirements, including "offeror" (D/B Contractor) qualifications include relevant project history and client/third party performance documentation. There are no requirements given for design team qualifications, which typically include similar project and performance documentation, and resumes to document key personnel capabilities and experience. Without including design team information, past experience with the D/B

contractor on similar projects cannot be documented. Please clarify if design team information can be provided to bring forth past performance, relevant experience and key personnel qualifications.

A58: Design team information is typically requested in corporate experience, MAC Contractor's have already been reviewed for this component.

Q59: (Subject: Concerns for the short, 485 day contract) 2) Concerns for the short, 485 day contract duration specified in the Bid Schedule were discussed with base and NAVFAC representatives at the site visit on 13 April 2010. To recap, at project commencement, the Concept Design Workshop requirements specified in RFP Section 01 31 19.05 20 will require approximately 30 days to complete (from contract award), with an additional 28 days for Government review. Based on past recent experience, FF&E installation prior to project completion will require approximately 3-4 weeks to install. The time required to complete these two components represents a significant portion of total contract time. It was requested that an extension to the 485 day contract duration be considered in light of these two requirements. Please clarify if an extension to the 485 day contract duration can be given.

A59: See RFI #13 (Question and Answer included here for your convenience: "13 Q: Please refer to the 1442. Box 13, Item D. The Time of Acceptance is indicated as 485 calendar days after bid. Please provide the correct time of acceptance and verify that the time of performance is 485 calendar days 13A: Contract completion date is extended 90 days.)

Q60: (Subject: Concerns for the various construction types) 3) Concerns for the various construction types referenced in the RFP for the building superstructure were discussed with base and NAVFAC representatives at the site visit on 13 April 2010. Part 3 Chapter 1 "Project Description", para 1.0 specifies new construction to be "steel frame". Part 3 Chapter 6 "Engineering System Requirements" para. B1010 "Floor Construction and B1020 "Roof Construction" states that floor and roof construction may include wood framing and engineered wood, with floor and roof decks supported by steel framing, concrete masonry or pre-cast concrete walls. Part 3 Chapter 2 "Project Objectives" para. 2.3 references Contract Line Items (CLIN) on the bid schedule for concrete masonry unit load bearing wall and light gage steel load bearing wall options. However, the light gage steel load bearing wall bid option is not included on the bid schedule and para. B201002 "Exterior Wall Backup Construction disallows its use. Please clarify if light gage steel load bearing wall construction and/or wood framed floor and roof construction is allowed. Please revise and reissue the bid schedule if required to include the preferred bid options.

A60: Light gage load bearing walls are not permitted. Roof and floor slabs may be supported on light-gage framing (Floor truss) or wood or engineered wood framing (Floor truss or beam). The supporting steel frame shall be steel beams and columns. Also, reinforced CMU or precast concrete walls are permitted to use to support roof and floor decks.

Q61: (Subject: RFP requirements for a pile foundation) 4) RFP requirements for a pile foundation were discussed with base and NAVFAC representatives at the site visit on 13 April 2010, as specified in Part 3 Chapter 6 "Engineering System Requirements" para A1020 "Special Foundations" and as recommended in the Geotechnical Report included in Part 6 of the RFP. The Geotech Report also discusses Seismic and Liquefaction Consideration on page 7 of the Report, giving a Site Class Definition "F" to this site. After an initial evaluation of soil types, bearing capacities and seismic class conditions stated in the Geotech Report, it has been determined that it is still possible to design a considerably more economical shallow foundation system to support this relatively light, two story structure. Please clarify if the RFP requirement to use a pile foundation system is based on soil liquefaction considerations stated in the Geotech Report. Considering the historical absence of seismic activity in this area and the very low probability of this occurrence in the future, please advise if the Government would entertain the consideration of a deductive shallow foundation alternative based on the D/B contractor's post-contract award geotechnical evaluation and confirmation of site conditions. (Since this project is based on non-appropriated funds, a deductive alternative could be incorporated into the bid schedule.)

A61: A deep foundation system is anticipated and the shallow foundation is not recommended per the geotechnical report in Part 6.

Q62: (Subject: Appearance Plan" included in Part 6 "Attachments" of the RFP.) 5) NAVFAC representatives distributed a CD with Autocad files of the RFP drawings at the site visit on 13 April 2010. After examining the drawings included on the CD, it was noted that exterior elevation drawing A-201 and corresponding color perspective renderings were included. This information was not included in the drawing content of the original RFP. While the design illustrated in the renderings is well developed and visually appealing, it differs significantly with

base standards for acceptable forms, colors and materials, as outlined in the "CBC Gulfport Design and Construction Requirements" and the "NCBC Gulfport Installation Appearance Plan" included in Part 6 "Attachments" of the RFP. Please confirm if the preferred exterior design is to duplicate the details, material finishes and colors evident in the exterior elevation drawings and renderings on the CD. Please clarify any modifications to the suggested forms, colors or materials depicted in the drawings and renderings that is to be made to confirm to base standards.

A62: Contractor shall conform to the NCBC Gulfport appearance plan. Renderings and Elevations provided in the RFP are conceptual only and details of colors, various building materials and building forms will be worked out with end user, designer, and NAVFAC after award. Use renderings provided for aesthetic and conceptual guidance. This is not a change to the RFP

Q63: (Subject: Please clarify Autocad files of the RFP drawings.) 6) The Autocad files of the RFP drawings received at the site visit on 13 April 2010 have been evaluated extensively, revealing many issues that must be resolved. As drawn, the total gross square foot size of the facility (including approx. 750 SF of covered exterior spaces to be calculated as half square footage) is approximately 41,100 gross square feet (GSF), and exceeds the 40,000 limit set by DD1391. It should be noted that this size is based on the 8 inch exterior wall thickness indicated on the drawings. The RFP requires brick veneer exterior on the new facility, which will add approximately 5 inches to the exterior wall thickness and increase the GSF to an estimated 41,850 GSF. The resulting facility size will be 1,850 GSF over the 40,000 GSF maximum. Please clarify if the floor plans (and size of associated building functions) shall be changed to maintain the 40,000 GSF maximum set by DD 1391.

A63: Overall floor plan drawings provide are conceptual; however, they should be used as a tool to help guide the A/E to their design since they incorporate end user space relationship request. The concept plan provided in the RFP did reveal that by incorporating various user requests, the gross area of 40,000 SQ FT is tight but it is up to the A/E to design a building that resolves that issue while adhering to the 1391 Gross Area SQ. FT. budget of 40,000 GSF.

Q64: (Subject: gross square foot size for this facility as set by DD 1391) 7) RFP Part 3 Chapter 1 "Project Description", para. 1.0 describes the size of this facility as being 40,000 square feet. The space tabulation included in Part 3 Chapter 4 "Building Requirements" on page 26 indicates a total gross area of 34,686 square feet; not to exceed the 40,000 GSF limit set by DD 1391. The "Level One" and "Level Two" floor plan drawings included in the RFP indicate a 40,104 square foot total; although as drawn, the plans are significantly larger as noted in Question #6 above. Please verify if the maximum, not to exceed gross square foot size for this facility is 40,000 square feet, as set by DD 1391.

A64: Refer to answer of 415334. The space tabulation was designed using a combination of recommended SQ. FT from the Navy Lodge Design Standards and suggested SQ. FT from the end user. The intent is to allow the designer some flexibility when designing their building as long as the function of the rooms and building is not compromised, ATFP requirements are met, and the gross area does not go over the 1391 requirement of 40,000 SQ. FT.

Q65: Subject: program functions and sizes indicated in the Part 3 Chapter 4 Space Tabulation) 8) Further examination of the RFP drawings indicates room functions that differ significantly from those given in the Space Tabulation included in RFP Part 3 Chapter 4 "Building Requirements" on page 26. Compared to the Space Tabulation, select examples of these differences are as follows: 1) Lobby is 300 SF undersized on the drawings. 2) Sized at approximately 1,200 SF, the Lounge/Laptop Bar area is a potentially new program function shown on the drawings, as it is not indicated on the Space Tabulation. 3) Housekeeping Supervisors' Office is a potentially new program function shown on the drawings, as it is not indicated on the Space Tabulation. 4) Open Admin. Area is 230 SF oversized on the drawings. 4) Second Manager's Office is not indicated on the drawings but is included in the Space Tabulation. 5) Storage Closet space totaling 600 SF is not indicated on the drawings but is included in the Space Tabulation. 6) There is an extra Business Suite. Nineteen are indicated on the drawings but only eighteen are required in the Space Tabulation. Please clarify if the program functions and sizes indicated in the Part 3 Chapter 4 Space Tabulation are to be maintained. If so, please advise if the Lounge/Laptop Bar area, Housekeeping Supervisors' Office and extra Business Suite are to be eliminated from the design of the new facility.

A65: Overall floor plan drawings are conceptual only; however, they do represent end users requirements. The program provided is designed to allow flexibility to the A/E and the contractor as long as Gross Square Feet requirements are followed and function of the spaces are not lost. 1) Size lobby appropriately to include all functions shown on drawings and spaces mentioned in the room requirements form. SQ. FT does not have to match the space tabulation but shall be close. 2) The Lounge and Laptop Bar are not new program functions – They are pieces of the Lobby and together the individual space requirements shall make up the SQ. FT. of the lobby. The lobby shall be

designed to be open and will include multiple functions like a coffee bar, and a laptop bar. See room requirement form. 3) Rename second Manager's Office of 100 SQ. FT. to "House Supervisor's Office." A second manager's office is not needed. This is a Change to the RFP. 4) Size open admin appropriately for 2 workstations and other requirements per the room requirement form. 5) In the Space Tabulation, change 600 SQ. FT. to 500 SQ. FT. Although not shown on the conceptual drawings, 500 +/- SQ. FT of storage space shall be split between floors in various locations and sizes. This is a Change to the RFP. Designer of record and contractor shall verify exact requirements and locations during initial client interviews. 6) Only 18 Business Suites are required.

Q66: (Subject: confirm if the quantity and location of each floor can be adjusted from the quantities indicated on the RFP) 9) It is anticipated that a comprehensive redesign of the facility will be required, to address program deficiencies and square footage overages noted in the RFP drawing. It may be necessary to relocate certain program functions, and redistribute the amount of Family, Extended Stay and Business Suites on each floor. Please confirm if the quantity and location of Family, Extended Stay and Business Suites on each floor can be adjusted from the quantities indicated on the RFP plan drawings to facilitate maintaining the overall, not to exceed 40,000 GSF facility size.

A66 A comprehensive design is recommended since the floor plan drawings provided in the RFP are conceptual; however they do represent end user requirements so use them as a tool when designing your building. See Space Relationship requirements in Part 3, section 4.2. Location of Business Suite and Extended Stay suites may be altered and is not a change to the RFP. Family suites may be reduced to 5 on the first floor and 5 on the second floor to allow stacking which is a change to the RFP. Quantity shall not be altered from the Space Tabulation requirements.

Q67: (Subject: RFP Part 3 Chapter 4 "Building Requirements" Space Tabulation on page 26) 10) RFP Part 3 Chapter 4 "Building Requirements" Space Tabulation on page 26 indicates a Storage Closet function of 600 net square feet. (This function is not indicated on the floor plans provided in the RFP, but shall be distributed throughout the building.) Part 3 Chapter 5 "Room Requirements on page 48 for this function indicates a total size of 500 square feet. Please clarify if the total Storage Closet space shall be 600 square feet or 500 square feet in size.

A67: 500 SQ. FT. Refer to 415336, (5).

Q68: (Subject: RFP Part 2, Section 01 33 29.05) 14) RFP Part 2, Section 01 33 29.05 "LEED Documentation" has several references to ECB 2008-01. As noted previously, ECB 2008-01 is an Army Implementation Guide for Sustainable Design and Development, and is based on LEED v2.2. This project shall be certified using LEED v3.0. Its application towards LEED certification of a Navy project is unclear, as certification can be achieved without its use. Please clarify if the application of ECB 2008-01 as referenced in this section of the RFP shall be deleted. Please also clarify if the UFGS 01 14 00.05 20 footer reference in this Section is an error and reissue this Section accordingly.

A68: PART 2, Section 01 33 29.05, Delete references to ECB 2008 - 01. This is a change to the RFP. Please note that the NAVFAC goal is to achieve LEED Silver New Construction (NC) 3.0.

Q69: (Subject: proposed location for replacement of the storage volume) 4) UFC 3-200-10N paragraph 2-2.3.1 states " Ensure that grading and associated storm water runoff do not adversely affect surrounding sites." It is apparent from the review of the topography provided that the building site serves as temporary attenuation storage for storm water. The fill for the building and associated work will eliminate between one and two acre-feet of that storage. Elimination of that storage volume may have a detrimental impact on developed areas adjacent to the site. The site plan does not indicate any proposed location for replacement of the storage volume, nor does it physically exist on or immediately adjacent to the site. Please clarify if replacement temporary attenuation storage is required. If required, please clarify where this storage may be placed.

A69: The designer of record is responsible for engineering a workable solution that meets the requirements. Provide storm water in accordance with Part 3 Site Analysis. No adjacent sites shall be adversely affected by the project. Additional ditching may be added to the north to provide storage, if needed.

Q70: (Subject: generator power and auto transfer switch) 5) The RFP Part 3 Section D40 calls for a fire pump. Please clarify if the power at the site is considered reliable (per UFC 3-600-01) or if generator power and auto transfer switch is required for the pump.

A70: 1. Modifications to D501004: Add the following paragraph: Provide a separate underground service to the fire pump controller from the service transformer. Fire pump service must be underground till it turns up under the

controller. 2. Modification to Paragraph D509002: Replace the paragraph with the following. Provide a stand-by generator to provide power to the fire pump and to the life safety loads, elevator and minimal lighting in the units. The fire pump will have its own ATS built into the Fire Pump Controller. The other loads shall be served by an additional ATS located in the main electrical room and emergency panel(s). Include 20% spare capacity in the generator and "house" ATS. Provide calculations showing the generator fire pump can start with the house loads and spare capacity running on the generator and maintain the 15% or less starting voltage drop. Stand by generator installation shall include 72 hours of fuel storage at full load, a sound attenuating weatherproof enclosure, critical grade silencer, automatic exerciser in ATS and battery charger. Install on concrete pad away from the main entrance and outside the required 33' setback.

Q71: (Subject: electrical calculations) 10) Reference Part 3 D50 paragraph "general system requirements", which requires electrical calculations to be submitted using "SKM Power Tools for Windows" software. Please clarify if this software is the only acceptable software allowed, or if comparable programs are acceptable.

A71: Per the RFP SKM Power tools must be used. NAVFAC-SE has standardized to this software. We ask for your SKM files for the "record" for future use, therefore, no other software is acceptable.

Q72: (Subject: transfer switch) 12) Reference Part 3 D509002 "Emergency Lighting and Power", which requires an automatic transfer switch and connection for an emergency generator. Please clarify if the transfer switch is to be sized to serve the entire facility.

A72: Yes, refer to response to question 5.

Q73: (Subject: Wet Chemical suppression) 6) RFP Part 3 Section D4090 calls for Wet Chemical suppression of cooking surfaces. However, UFC 3-600-01 Section 6-3.2 has information applicable to this installation that determines whether this is required or not. Please verify if the provision of Wet Chemical suppression shall be based on the requirements of UFC 3-600-01.

A73: Wet Chemical Suppression for cooking surfaces shall only be provided if required by UFC 3-600-01.

Q74: (Subject: NFPA 13R design criteria) 7) RFP Part 4 D404001 para. 1.2 indicates that sprinkler design for the residential portion of the system shall be in accordance with NFPA 13R. However, RFP Part 4 D404001 para. 1.3.1 requires system design in accordance with residential requirements of NFPA 13. This is different than NFPA 13R; which qualifies for this application. Please confirm that NFPA 13R design criteria for the residential portion of the system shall be used.

A74: Residential areas of the building shall be allowed to be designed to the residential portions of NFPA 13 OR NFPA 13R (if applicable). Non residential areas shall still be design in accordance with NFPA 13.

Q75: (Subject: speakers and mini horns for fire alarms) 8) RFP Part 4 Section D401001 1.10 calls for mini horns in sleeping rooms. This facility will have a complete fire alarm / mass notification system. As such, we believe that audibility and intelligibility will be required within dwelling units and sleeping areas. Based on this, fire alarm / mass notification speakers will likely be needed in sleeping areas. It seems redundant to provide both speakers and mini horns in these areas. Please confirm that mini horns may be omitted if speakers are provided in sleeping areas and the NFPA 72 and RFP audibility and intelligibility requirements are met with these speakers.

A75: No, mini horns (piezo horns) or smoke detectors with sounder bases shall be provided within the sleeping rooms. Sleeping room smoke detectors shall activate the sounder base or mini horn within the associated room and adjacent rooms rather than a general building fire alarm. Rooms shall also containing speakers for general fire alarm signals.

Q76: (Subject: fire extinguisher cabinets) 9) RFP Part 3 Section C103007 calls for fire extinguisher cabinets. Please clarify if the provision of fire extinguisher cabinets shall be based on the requirements of NPFA 101 (per UFC 3-600-01 section 4.9).

A76: Fire extinguisher cabinets shall be provided in accordance with UFC 3-600-01, NFPA 101 and installed in accordance with NFPA 10.

Q77: Subject: Please clarify if a 3-week extension can be considered.) 1) W. G. Yates & Sons submitted RFI#3 on Tuesday, April 20 of this week. Amendment 0002, issued on Monday, April 19 answered only two of these RFI questions, per discussions at the site visit on April 13. The unanswered questions remaining in RFI #3 have

significant impact on the planning and pricing of the new Navy Lodge, and will affect the proposal to be delivered to the Government next week on April 30. Less than one week remains before proposals are due- less considering they must be prepared and sent out by Wednesday-Thursday of next week to accommodate mailing time and travel distances. This will adversely affect the quality of the design presented, and the bid price provided for it. It would be in the Government's best interest to grant an extension, to allow the submittal of a fully developed and competitively priced proposal that will provide NCBC Gulfport with a high quality, full-value facility that meets all program expectations. Please clarify if a 3-week extension can be considered.

A77: Contract Specialist response needed.

Q78: (Subject: toilet and shower room doors) 2) RFP Part 3, Chapter 5 "Space Characteristics" for Suite bedrooms and bathrooms specifies solid core wood doors in hollow metal frames for closets, toilet and shower room doors. Part 3 Chapter 6 "Engineering Requirements" para. C102001 states that all Suite doors shall be hollow metal doors except for closet doors. Please clarify if Suite toilet and shower room doors shall be solid core wood or hollow metal.

A78: Please delete 'composite wood, solid core door' requirement in the Bathroom /Vanity Room Requirements Form and replace with 'hollow metal in hollow metal frame'. This is a change to the RFP All suite closet doors shall be solid wood in hollow metal frames with a raised panel to match the rest of the suite doors.

Q79: (Subject: STC-37 or STC-42 rating) 3) RFP Part 3, Chapter 5 "Space Characteristics" for Family, extended Stay and Business Suites specifies STC-37 rated doors to the public corridor. Part 3 Chapter 6 "Engineering Requirements" para. C102001 states "Provide a minimum STC of 42 at corridor wall [doors]." Please clarify if Suite doors to the public corridor shall be STC-37 or STC-42 rated.

A79: STC rating of corridor doors shall be a minimum of 42.

Q80: (Subject: n/a) 11) Reference Part 3 G403001 "Telecommunications Systems" contact references with AT&T for telecommunications and Sprint for internet. Please clarify if there be any requirements for Base LAN or Base telephones in the facility. If Base LAN or Base telephone is required, please provide the connection point location.

A80: Telephone: Don Griffith, 228-871-2199 Internet: Bill Outzs 850-458-7659 NMCI if needed: Jana Landers 228-8712030 Base telephone and NMCI may be required in the offices at the Lodge. In your meetings with the Users you can make that determination.

Q81: (Subject: Space Tabulation and Floor Plans) 1) As elevated in this D/B Team's RFI #3, further examination of the RFP drawings indicate room functions that differ significantly from those given in the Space Tabulation included in RFP Part 3 Chapter 4 "Building Requirements" on page 26. Compared to the RFP Part 3 Space Tabulation, as indicated on the attached PDF, select examples of these differences are as follows: 1) Lobby is 300 SF undersized on the drawings. 2) Sized at approximately 1,200 SF, the Lounge/Laptop Bar area is a potentially new program function shown on the drawings, as it is not indicated on the Space Tabulation. 3) Housekeeping Supervisors' Office is a potentially new program function shown on the drawings, as it is not indicated on the Space Tabulation. 4) Open Admin. Area is 230 SF oversized on the drawings. 4) Second Manager's Office is not indicated on the drawings but is included in the Space Tabulation. 5) Storage Closet space totaling 600 SF is not indicated on the drawings but is included in the Space Tabulation. 6) There is an extra Business Suite. Nineteen are indicated on the drawings but only eighteen are required in the Space Tabulation. In addition, as drawn on the floor plans included in the RFP, the total gross square foot size of the facility (including covered exterior spaces to be calculated as half square footage) is approximately 41,100 gross square feet (GSF), and exceeds the 40,000 limit set by DD1391. It should be noted that this size is based on the 8 inch exterior wall thickness indicated on the drawings. The RFP requires brick veneer exterior on the new facility, which will add approximately 5 inches to the exterior wall thickness and increase the GSF to an estimated 41,850 GSF. The resulting facility size will be 1,850 GSF over the 40,000 GSF maximum. Addressed in Amendment 0003, the Government response to Question #21 regarding building program issues, states "The Navy Lodge wants the plans as shown..." This would suggest that the intent is to bid and construct the design based on the floor plans provided in the RFP. However, as noted in detail above, the RFP floor plans cannot be bid and constructed without a comprehensive redesign of the facility, to address program deficiencies and reduce the square footage overage which renders the RFP plans noncompliant with DD 1391 GSF limitations. Please provide revised floor plans that address the program deficiencies relative to the Space Tabulation noted above, and reduce the total GSF to within the DD 1391 limit of 40,000 GSF. If redesign of the floor plans to correct these deficiencies is required by the Proposer, please provide comprehensive responses to prior RFI

questions that address these concerns. Finally, please provide an extension of the proposal due date until all outstanding RFIs have been answered, and the RFP floor plans have been reissued.

A81: This is a multipart answer: Overall floor plan drawings are conceptual only; however, they do represent end users requirements. The program provided is designed to allow flexibility to the A/E and the contractor as long as Gross Square Feet requirements are followed and function of the spaces are not lost. 1) Size lobby appropriately to include all functions shown on drawings and spaces mentioned in the room requirements form. SQ. FT does not have to match the space tabulation but shall be close. 2) The Lounge and Laptop Bar are not new program functions – They are pieces of the Lobby and together the individual space requirements shall make up the SQ. FT. of the lobby. The lobby shall be designed to be open and will include multiple functions like a coffee bar, and a laptop bar. See room requirement form. 3) Rename second Manager's Office of 100 SQ. FT. to "House Supervisor's Office." A second manager's office is not needed. This is a Change to the RFP. 4) Size open admin appropriately for 2 workstations and other requirements per the room requirement form. 5) In the Space Tabulation, change 600 SQ. FT. to 500 SQ. FT. Although not shown on the conceptual drawings, 500 +/- SQ. FT of storage space shall be split between floors in various locations and sizes. This is a Change to the RFP. Designer of record and contractor shall verify exact requirements and locations during initial client interviews. 6) Only 18 Business Suites are required. Overall floor plan drawings provide are conceptual; however, they should be used as a tool to help guide the A/E to their design since they incorporate end user space relationship request. The concept plan provided in the RFP did reveal that by incorporating various user requests, the gross area of 40,000 SQ FT is tight but it is up to the A/E to design a building that resolves that issue while adhering to the 1391 Gross Area SQ. FT. budget of 40,000 GSF. A comprehensive design is recommended since the floor plan drawings provided in the RFP are conceptual; however they do represent end user requirements so use them as a tool when designing your building. See Space Relationship requirements in Part 3, section 4.2. Location of Business Suite and Extended Stay suites may be altered and is not a change to the RFP. Family suites may be reduced to 5 on the first floor and 5 on the second floor to allow stacking which is a change to the RFP. Quantity shall not be altered from the Space Tabulation requirements. Note that the drawings are conceptual and design team is required to develop a viable plan per 1391.

Q82: (Subject: minimum stall and aisle widths) 10) The RFP requires minimum stall and aisle widths of either 19' and 26' or 20' and 24'. Extending the existing concrete parking lot located east of the proposed building will result in 18' stalls and 24' aisles which is less than the requirement. Is this acceptable?

A82: Yes.

Q83: Subject: Sketch Plan – Sheet SK-001) 11) The site plan included with the RFP will result in new parking that is within the 82' AT/FP setback of the existing Navy Lodge Building (#33). Is this acceptable? A83: Yes.

Q84: (Subject: Sketch Plan – Sheet SK-001) 12) The driveway beneath the porte cochere is within the 33' AT/FP. Will the installation of bollards and chain be acceptable as a means of controlling the vehicle access?

A84: Bollards and chain are not required for the porte cochere at the Navy Lodge in Gulfport, MS.

Q85: (Subject: Reference: Space Summary Table, Page 26) 2) The space summary table indicates two manager's Offices but the floor plan only shows one. Which is correct?

A85: See question 80. (416643)

Q86: Subject: LEED Credit) 5) The RFP Volume One, Part 2 indicated that we must provide 20% reduction in domestic water usage. The fixtures selected for use in Volume Two indicate the use of standard water use plumbing fixtures. Are we to abandon the LEED 20% domestic water use reduction or reselect Plumbing Fixtures and Equipment to achieve the LEED Credit?

A86: Provide options after contract award that are LEED compliant but equal or better in quality and design.

Q87: (Subject: "Buy American") 7) Does "Buy American" requirements apply to the Variable Refrigerant Volume (VRV) HVAC equipment?

A87: The Buy American act is required for this project, in some instances American products are not always available, and products need to be purchased outside the United States.

Q88: (Subject: Time extension) 1) DUE TO THE LARGE AMOUNT OF UNANSWERED RFIS AND MAGNITUDE OF THIS PROJECT WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST A TIME EXTENSION OF 2 WEEKS

A88: Proposal due date is extended to 3:00 PM Tuesday 04 MAY 2010.

Q89: (Subject: Space Summary Table, Page 26) 3) The space summary table indicates one House Keeping Linen Storage room that is 350 SF but says it should be split between floors. Is there one room of 350 SF or two rooms (one per floor) of 175 SF?

A89: One per floor at 175 SQ. FT +/- . The two spaces can vary in size from each other depending on the requirements between floor one and two as long as the room size is appropriate for the client's storage needs, which will be verified during initial client interviews by the contractor.

Q90: (Subject: "Buy American") 4) Does the "Buy American" requirements apply to this project?

A90: The Buy American act is required for this project, in some instances American products are not always available, and products need to be purchased outside the United States.

Q91: (Subject: Over the Shoulder Review) 8) Section E20, 1.1 Requirements, Page 3, RFQ "Over the Shoulder Review". Please provide the location for this meeting with the NAVFAC Interior Designer.

A91: This information can be mailed to the NAVFAC Designer and the meeting can occur through teleconference and e-mail. If an in person meeting is preferred the meeting shall occur at NAS Jacksonville, FL.

Q92: (Subject: Criteria in the RFP Part 2, Section 01 31 10.20 and Section 01 33 10.05) 1) Part 4, Section C30 Interior Finishes, para. 1.4 Finish Design Submittals, outlines submittal requirements for Concept/Schematic Design, 50% Design, 100% Design and Final Design. Criteria in the RFP Part 2, Section 01 31 10.20 and Section 01 33 10.05 indicates submittal requirements as Concept Design Workshop (CDW) report, 35% Design Submittal, 100% Design Submittal and Final Design Submittal. Please clarify which submittal requirements take precedence. Please also clarify if the submittal requirements in Section C30 are additional to those stated in Part 2, as they require approval by the NAVFAC Southeast interior designer at 50% before they are presented to and approved by the customer group.

A92: Section C30 shall follow the schedule set forth in Part 2 of the RFP. The NAVFAC Interior Designer shall review and approve all Building Finishes specifications prior to user group presentations.

Q93: (Subject: requirements of Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (c) on page 2) 2) Contract duration concerns discussed at the site visit on 13 April 2010 are further elevated by a in-depth comparison of Concept Design Workshop (CDW) requirements in RFP Part 2 Section 01 31 19.05 20, Design Submittal Procedures in Part 2 Section 01 33 10.05 20 and the specific FF&E submittal development requirements in RFP Part 4 Section E20 on pages 1- 4. Section E20 defines floor plan design and FF&E development with detailed input from the NAVFAC Southeast Interior Designer. While an attempt has been made to synchronize the different floor plan design/development stages and FF&E submittals with Section 01 31 19.05 20 submittal requirements, it is not clear how Concept Design Workshop efforts leading to 35% Submittal design development will correlate with Section E20 requirements. The CDW results in the final conceptual design including floor plans with furniture, mechanical and electrical noted based on information gained in user group, Station and other Government representative presentations and interviews over a period a 4-6 working days. The resulting CDW documents are to be signed off on and presented to the NAVFAC Project Manager by the Design Team. This conflicts with the requirements of Part 4, Section E20. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (c) on page 2 states that the "First A/E submittal" (35% Submittal) will be considered 80% complete, to allow for additional changes before being considered approved. This indicates that the CDW floor plan will be revised further to obtain final approval by the NAVFAC Interior designer and architect prior to the 35% submittal, to reflect information from client interviews that take place independent of the CDW with the NAVFAC Interior Designer in attendance. Please clarify how the requirements of Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (c) on page 2 are to be coordinated with the CDW effort. Please confirm if the plan resulting from these specific design efforts is to take precedence over the approved CDW-based design.

A93: The Client Interviews shall be prior to or during the CDW. Enough time shall be allotted to review each room in detail. (A project this size can take 4-8 hours) The Interviews shall occur prior to alteration of the floor plans. Part 2 of the RFP does reference a Final Conceptual Plan. The plan will remain Conceptual until the Lodge and NAVFAC teams have reached consensus. The E20 section is an extension of the CDW and allows for the Contractor to e-mail the floor plan back and forth until an agreement has been reached by all parties. The Floor plan should be developed and agreed upon prior to the 35% Submittal Review.

Q94: (Subject: Section E20 "Furnishings") 3) It can be inferred that the intent of Section E20 "Furnishings" is to override the Concept Design Workshop design efforts defined in Section 01 31 19.05 20 and the standard submittal procedures specified in Section 01 33 10.05 20. However, the order of precedence stated in RFP Section indicates that Part 2 overrides Part 4. Please confirm the order of precedence established by the RFP. Please also clarify this order of precedence relative to the additional design and submittal requirements stated in Section E20 "Furnishings".

A94: The additional design development submittal is required for section E20. This requirement is based on the BVD's, cost summaries and additional details that require client input to finalize the FF&E Package. If 100% Submittal is accurate then a Final Submittal is not required.

Q95: (Subject: Section E20 "Furnishings" para. 2020 1.1 (b) on page 2) 4) Section E20 "Furnishings" para. 2020 1.1 (b) on page 2 requires customer interview meetings to immediately follow the PAK meeting. This will delay completion of the CDW until all meetings are scheduled and held. Please clarify if the customer interviews as discussed in Section E20 are in addition to those required as part of the Part 2 Concept Design Workshop.

A95: See response to questions 93 & 94.

Q96: (Subject: Section 01 33 10.05 20) 5. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (f) on page 2 requires a minimum of three design development meetings with customers. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (g) on page 3 requires a "Pre-Final FF&E submittal to be made prior to the Final Submittal. These specific references and the other stated in Section E20 exceed the submittal requirements stated in Section 01 33 10.05 20 and will contribute to significant additional design development and anticipated design durations; a real concern relative to the 485 day contract duration. With reference to the order of precedence established by the RFP, please confirm that the additional design and submittal requirements stated in Section E20 "Furnishings" are to be fulfilled as stated and incorporated into the design phase.

A96: FF&E has requirements that differ from the typical set of Construction Drawings and Specifications. NAVY Lodge HQ and NAVFAC need to be involved in the decision making process prior to, during and after the BVD. It should be noted that this facility may become a prototype for future Navy Lodges.

Q97: (Subject: Section 01 33 10.05 20) 5. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (f) on page 2 requires a minimum of three design development meetings with customers. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (g) on page 3 requires a "Pre-Final FF&E submittal to be made prior to the Final Submittal. These specific references and the other stated in Section E20 exceed the submittal requirements stated in Section 01 33 10.05 20 and will contribute to significant additional design development and anticipated design durations; a real concern relative to the 485 day contract duration. With reference to the order of precedence established by the RFP, please confirm that the additional design and submittal requirements stated in Section E20 "Furnishings" are to be fulfilled as stated and incorporated into the design phase.

A97: NAVFAC will waive the requirement for this facility if the Contractor agrees to reserve stock after 100% Submittal has been approved. If a product is discontinued after Final Submittal has been approved, the Designer shall submit options to both the Navy Lodge HQ team and NAVFAC Interior Designer until a consensus has been reached by all parties.

Q98: (Subject: Section 01 33 10.05 20) 5. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (f) on page 2 requires a minimum of three design development meetings with customers. Section E20 para. 2020 1.1 (g) on page 3 requires a "Pre-Final FF&E submittal to be made prior to the Final Submittal. These specific references and the other stated in Section E20 exceed the submittal requirements stated in Section 01 33 10.05 20 and will contribute to significant additional design development and anticipated design durations; a real concern relative to the 485 day contract duration. With reference to the order of precedence established by the RFP, please confirm that the additional design and submittal requirements stated in Section E20 "Furnishings" are to be fulfilled as stated and incorporated into the design phase.

A98: On occasion it has been found beneficial to have a Design Development Meeting in the Design Library of a Manufacturer's Showroom. Prior to this meeting, the Best Value Determinations for the large ticket items shall be completed and approved. This option brings value in increasing the speed in which final decisions are made.

Q99: (Subject: finishes and colors) 6) Part 4, Section C30 Interior Finishes, para 1.4 states requirements to provide written assurance from finish manufacturers that all finishes and colors are current and will be available at the time of construction and substitutions during construction will not be allowed. We ask that this requirement be revised as

the potential for a manufacturer to discontinue as product or color, making it unavailable, is not something the contractor can prevent. In this economy, manufacturers do not stock large quantities of product and tailor the availability of their inventory to the market. Please provide revisions to this requirement for the reasons stated above.

A99: NAVFAC will waive the requirement for this facility if the Contractor agrees to reserve stock after 100% Submittal has been approved. If a product is discontinued after Final Submittal has been approved, the Designer shall submit options to both the Navy Lodge HQ team and NAVFAC Interior Designer until a consensus has been reached by all parties.

Q100: (Subject: BVD approved manufacturer showroom) 7) Section E20, 1.1 Requirements, Page 3, states that end-user meeting may take place at a BVD approved manufacturer showroom. Please define what a BVD approved manufacturer showroom is.

A100: On occasion it has been found beneficial to have a Design Development Meeting in the Design Library of a Manufacturer's Showroom. Prior to this meeting, the Best Value Determinations for the large ticket items shall be completed and approved. This option brings value in increasing the speed in which final decisions are made.

Q101: (Subject: relationship between the RFQ Solicitation and the BVD) 9) Section E20, 1.1 Requirements, Page 3, RFQ. What is the intent of the RFQ Solicitation? Section 1.3 Best Value Determinations (BVD), page 4 describes proposals that must be solicited from manufacturers based on value of the product. Each manufacturer listed in the BVD comparison should have a price quote. Please provide clarification on the relationship between the RFQ Solicitation and the BVD price quotation.

A101: The RFQ is the process in receiving the specifications and quotations in determining the best overall value. The "Formal" RFQ process must be used for purchase orders exceeding \$100,000. The process will be discussed further at Orientation.

Q102: (Subject: Section E20, 1.1 Requirements, Page 3) 10) Section E20, 1.1 Requirements, Page 3, Greater than \$100,000. This indicates that generic specifications must be developed for all greater in value than \$100,000. It is unclear as to whether these generic specifications are the standard cut sheets and UFGS-based specifications normally provided during the scheduled design submittals, or if they are additionally developed specifications to be provided over and above normal submittal requirements. Please clarify the nature and intent of the "generic specifications" required. Please also clarify if they are to be sent to any and all BPA holders under the applicable group, with the intent of providing a best price bid structure. Finally, please clarify if they are to be used in conjunction with the BVD evaluation.

A102: If a purchase order is expected to be over \$100,000 then all BPA holders under the applicable group shall be sent a formal RFQ. In development of the RFQ, the Contractor's Designer is responsible for determining the functional, maintenance, and aesthetic requirements of the Navy Lodge and developing the salient characteristics. The Interior Designer shall submit these salient characteristics as part of the over the shoulder review. The Contractor's Interior Designer is responsible for reviewing the technical specifications and warranties of the proposed product against the Bill of Materials and Final Costs. A summary report (BVD) shall be submitted to NAVFAC and Navy Lodge for final approval.

Q103: (Subject: requirement to use only dealers within 50 miles of the project) 11) Section E20 "Furnishings" para. 1.1 "Requirements" on page 3: Please reconsider the requirement to use only dealers within 50 miles of the project. The project is located in an area with limited resources to work with. Dealers with the resources to provide the services required in the installation and in the design process are typically located more than 50 miles from Gulfport.

A103: Dealers closest to the project location should be evaluated. If a greater radius is needed please justify after contract award. When applicable, the BPA contract holders can provide the dealer most appropriate for the project size and location.

Q104: (Subject: Design Submittal Procedures) 12) Section E20 "Furnishings" para. 1.1 "Requirements" on page 3. By requiring the Generic specification bid process and the RFQ process, the time frame necessary to develop the FF&E Package will be extended, resulting in a process that will be difficult to coordinate with the Architectural submittal structure. Please clarify how the processes should be integrated to meet the Design Submittal Procedures specified in Part 2 Section 01 33 10.05 20 of the RFP.

A104: Provide a key date schedule with the Proposal showing how the Interior Design processes will fall inline with the rest of the Design-Build Schedule.

Q105: (Subject: panel boards and load centers) Reference: Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50 2) ESR D501004, Panel boards, requires that all panel boards, load centers, etc. be in locked electrical or mechanical rooms. Request that flush-mount load centers be allowed in the suites (especially the large family suites). This would be convenient for the guests and actually reduce the cost of branch circuits.

A105: Per the RFP, all panel boards, load centers shall be in locked electrical rooms or locked mechanical rooms.

Q106: (Subject: MC cable for branch circuits) Reference: Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50 3) ESR D502001, Branch Wiring, of the RFP states: "All branch wiring shall be insulated conductors in conduit." We request that you allow the use of MC cable for branch circuits feeding the living suites. There is a precedent for the use of MC cable in similar Navy projects. It was approved for use within the living suites for the Bachelor Quarters P511V at NAS New Orleans, and BEQ 2234 and the 260 Room BEQ at NS Mayport. Please advise.

A106: Per the RFP, use wire and conduit.

Q107: (Subject: UL1008 compliant manual transfer switch) Reference: Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50 4) ESR D509002, Emergency Lighting and Power, states: "Provide an automatic transfer switch and connection for an emergency generator for power for the building to be used for extended power outages". We assume that the transfer switch is for a temporary Government furnished portable generator. If so, is a UL1008 compliant manual transfer switch acceptable? Is it your intent to power the entire building? Please clarify and advise.

A107: 1. Modifications to D501004: Add the following paragraph: Provide a separate underground service to the fire pump controller from the service transformer. Fire pump service must be underground till it turns up under the controller. 2. Modification to Paragraph D509002: Replace the paragraph with the following. Provide a stand-by generator to provide power to the fire pump and to the life safety loads, elevator and minimal lighting in the units. The fire pump will have its own ATS built into the Fire Pump Controller. The other loads shall be served by an additional ATS located in the main electrical room and emergency panel(s). Include 20% spare capacity in the generator and "house" ATS. Provide calculations showing the generator fire pump can start with the house loads and spare capacity running on the generator and maintain the 15% or less starting voltage drop. Stand by generator installation shall include 72 hours of fuel storage at full load, a sound attenuating weatherproof enclosure, critical grade silencer, automatic exerciser in ATS and battery charger. Install on concrete pad away from the main entrance and outside the required 33' setback.

Q108: (Subject: provide a point of contact for AT&T and Sprint) 1Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR G40 5) ESR G403001, Telecommunication Systems requires coordination with the AT&T and Sprint representatives for the station. Please provide a point of contact for AT&T and Sprint.

A108: Telephone: Don Griffith, 228-871-2199 Internet: Bill Outzs 850-458-7659 NMCI if needed: Jana Landers 228-8712030

Q109: (Subject: Food Service Equipment) Reference-Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR E10 ESR 109002 1) Food Service Equipment describes a two burner electrical range but also describes a gas supply system for the range. Please clarify.

A109: The two burner range tops shall be electric.

Q110: (Subject: electronic copy of HAP) 8) Can we obtain an electronic copy of the files for the Carrier Hourly Analysis Program (HAP) baseline energy model?

A110: HAP modeling criteria and input data are included in the RFP.

Q111: (Subject: VRV) 9) The Carrier Hourly Analysis Program (HAP) 4.4 and currently version 4.5 does not allow modeling of the Variable Refrigerant Volume (VRV) systems. Is an alternate method of comparison allowed?

A111: Yes alternative programs are allowed.

Q112: Subject: The RFP Volume One, Part 2) 6) The RFP Volume One, Part 2 indicated that we must provide Energy & Atmosphere Credit 4. Enhanced Refrigerant Management. RFP Volume One Part 3 indicates that variable refrigerant volume (VRV) systems should be considered. The volume of refrigerant for these systems exceeds the

pounds per ton that can achieve the Enhanced Refrigerant Management E&A Credit 4. Are we to abandon this LEED credit and evaluate VRV systems, or retain Independent thru-the-wall Terminal Heat Pumps?

A112: The requirement for LEED credit Energy & Atmosphere Credit 4 compliance would not apply for VRC systems.

No further RFIs will be answered.

(End of Summary of Changes)