

**Dammon Engineering Deficiency Lists – December 14, 2009 & February 23, 2010**

**Comments/Objections/Requests for Clarification**

Principal: NATCO, Inc.  
Obligee: St. Tammany Parish Department of Finance  
Bond No.: 087-SB-104998055  
Project: Administrative Complex (Towers Building) Renovations

**I. December 14, 2009 Report:**

- Item 3: Item 3 does not qualify as a deficiency item. It is more appropriately categorized as a completion item and therefore should not be included in this Deficiency List.
- Item 4: With regard to the walls and the tile, to the extent the additional prep is included in the plans and/or specifications, it will be completed. Travelers requests that the Parish identify the provisions of the contract documents identifying the additional prep. If not, this item will be addressed as a change order and not a deficiency item.
- Item 7: The porte cachere will be completed consistent with the current plans and specifications. If the porte cachere requires additional design work, this item will be addressed as a change order and not a deficiency item.
- Item 8: The "green strip" will be completed consistent with the current plans and specifications. If the porte cachere requires additional design work, this item will be addressed as a change order and not a deficiency item.
- Item 9: The Drawings (which refers to the drawings the Parish and Travelers previously agreed represent current work-in-place) indicate one flume and it is in place. Repair to the other flume must be addressed through a change order and should not be a deficiency item.
- Item 11: Travelers requests that the Parish provide dimensions for the drinking fountains so that the completion contractor can provide a price to make the corrections. This appears to be a design issue and not a deficiency item.
- Item 15: Item 15 does not qualify as a deficiency item. It is more appropriately categorized as a completion item and therefore should not be included as a deficiency item.
- Item 20: Modifications to suspended ceilings to conceal sprinkler mains are not in the construction drawings. The architect must provide the new design and a proposal processed by the completion contractor as a change order. Therefore, this should not be included as a deficiency item.

Item 21: Travelers requests that the Parish provide the submittal log so that the completion contractor will know what it needs to submit.

Item 22: Travelers understands that a number of meetings were conducted between the architect, the owner, the fire marshal, and/or other local authorities in which certain additional requirements were discussed. Travelers requests copies of any minutes from those meetings. If additional changes are required, the completion contractor will process the item as a change order.

**II. February 23, 2010 Report:**

Item 6: Travelers requests that the architect specify the locations of the referenced penetrations. Travelers requests that the architect specify details for the drip flashing in the plans or specifications.

Item 8: Any problems associated with design changes made by the architect regarding the ceiling, the mechanical equipment, or the electrical equipment will be processed by the completion contractor as a change order and are not properly included as completion items.

Item 11: This item appears to qualify as a design issue. The completion contractor will submit an RFI to the architect for further clarification and/or for processing as a change order.

Item 13: The clearance between exits in Clerk of Court 501 will be constructed to the extent set forth in the plans and specifications. If changes by the fire marshal require additional design modifications/changes, the Parish should provide an RFP to the completion contractor for processing as a change order

Item 14: The mechanical engineer must identify the correct size for the opening at each mechanical room.

Item 15: Item 15 does not qualify as a deficiency item. It is more appropriately categorized as a completion item and therefore should not be included in this Deficiency List. RFI #74 has no relation to the toilet partition.

Item 16: Item 16 does not qualify as a deficiency item. It is more appropriately categorized as a completion item and therefore should not be included in this Deficiency List; provided, however, that if any rotting is found, an RFI will be submitted to the architect for subsequent processing by an RFP and change order.

Item 17: During our February meeting, the Parish indicated that if handrails on the catwalk are required, the Parish agreed that would be an extra cost item.

Item 18:

Travelers understands that NATCO installed or arranged for the installation of the louvers at no cost to the Parish. Removal or relocation of the louvers will be a change item with a corresponding increase in the contract price.