



**Designation: B 548 – 90 (Reapproved 1997)**

## **Standard Test Method for Ultrasonic Inspection of Aluminum-Alloy Plate for Pressure Vessels<sup>1</sup>**

This standard is issued under the fixed designation B 548; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

### **1. Scope**

1.1 This test method covers pulse-echo ultrasonic inspection of aluminum-alloy plate of thickness equal to or greater than 0.500 in. (12.7 mm) for use in the fabrication of pressure vessels. The ultrasonic test is employed to detect gross internal discontinuities oriented in a direction parallel to the rolled surface such as cracks, ruptures, and laminations, and to provide assurance that only plate that is free from rejectable discontinuities is accepted for delivery.

1.2 The inspection method and acceptance criteria included in this standard shall be limited to plate of the following aluminum alloys: 1060, 1100, 3003, Alclad 3003, 3004, Alclad 3004, 5050, 5052, 5083, 5086, 5154, 5254, 5454, 5456, 5652, 6061, and Alclad 6061.

1.3 This test method applies only to ultrasonic tests using pulsed longitudinal waves which are transmitted and received by a search unit containing either a single crystal or a combination of electrically interconnected multiple crystals. Ultrasonic tests employing either the through-transmission or the angle-beam techniques are not included.

1.4 This test method shall be used when ultrasonic inspection as prescribed herein is required by the contract, purchase order, or referenced plate specification.

1.5 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

### **2. Referenced Documents**

2.1 The following documents of the issue in effect on date of material purchase form a part of this specification to the extent referenced herein:

#### **2.2 ASTM Standards:**

E 114 Practice for Ultrasonic Pulse-Echo Straight-Beam

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee B-7 on Light Metals and Alloys and is under the jurisdiction of Subcommittee B07.03 on Aluminum Alloy Wrought Products.

Current edition approved Feb. 23, 1990. Published April 1990. Originally published as B 548 – 71. Last previous edition B 548 – 82.

Examination by the Contact Method<sup>2</sup>

E 214 Practice for Immersed Ultrasonic Examination by the Reflection Method Using Pulsed Longitudinal Waves<sup>2</sup>

E 317 Practice for Evaluating Performance Characteristics of Ultrasonic Pulse-Echo Testing Systems Without the Use of Electronic Measurement Instruments<sup>2</sup>

#### **2.3 Other Standards:**

ASNT Recommended Practice for Nondestructive Testing Personnel Qualification and Certification—Ultrasonic Testing Method—SNT-TC-1A<sup>3</sup>

### **3. Summary of Method**

3.1 The plate is inspected ultrasonically by scanning one rolled surface with a beam of pulsed longitudinal waves which is oriented in a direction perpendicular to the entry surface of the plate. The ultrasound is transmitted into the plate either by the direct contact, immersion, or liquid-column coupling method. During the scan, an indication representing the first back reflection is observed on the A-scan screen of the test instrument.

3.2 When the test system sensitivity level is appropriately adjusted, a discontinuity is detected during the scan by noting an isolated indication associated with a loss of the first back reflection indication. The apparent size of the discontinuity is determined by measuring the total area in the scanned entry surface of the plate where the isolated indication and the loss of back reflection persist. The estimated discontinuity size and location are then compared with suitable acceptance criteria.

NOTE 1—Additional information describing ultrasonic tests by the direct contact method and by the immersion method is available in Practices E 114 and E 214.

### **4. Significance and Use**

4.1 A number of factors such as the condition of the entry and back surfaces of the plate, the inclination of the ultrasonic beam with respect to the entry surface, and the performance characteristics of the test system may cause either a reduction of isolated indications or a substantial loss of back reflection and thereby could seriously impair the reliability of the test procedure outlined in this standard.

<sup>2</sup> *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 03.03.

<sup>3</sup> American Society for Nondestructive Testing, 914 Chicago Ave., Evanston, Ill. 60202.



4.2 Accurate evaluations of discontinuity size also may be limited significantly by variations in beam characteristics which exist in most search units. For this reason, discontinuity size as determined by the test procedure outlined in this method is regarded as “apparent” or “estimated” in recognition of the limited quantitative value of the measurement.

4.3 Because a large number of interacting variables in a test system can adversely influence the results of an ultrasonic test, the actual quantitative effects of detected discontinuities upon the mechanical properties of the inspected plate are difficult to establish. Consequently, this ultrasonic inspection method is not applicable as an exclusive indicator of the ultimate quality and performance of pressure vessels but provides a reliable control of plate quality to avoid failure during the forming process for fabrication of vessels.

## 5. Apparatus

5.1 *Test Instrument*—Any electronic device that produces pulsed longitudinal waves and displays ultrasonic reflections on an A-scan indicator when used with an appropriate search unit is satisfactory. The instrument shall provide stable, linear amplification of received pulses at a selected test frequency and shall be free from significant interface signal interference at the required sensitivity level.

5.2 *Search Unit*—The search unit recommended for this standard is the flat nonfocusing type, and contains a piezoelectric crystal which generates and receives longitudinal waves at the rated frequency when connected to the test instrument through a suitable coaxial cable. A dual-crystal search unit containing both a transmitting and a receiving crystal in one container may be used provided the test instrument will accommodate two-crystal operation and the resulting pulse-echo test is equivalent to that obtained with a search unit containing a single-crystal.

5.2.1 The total effective area of the crystal or combination of crystals in the search unit used for initial scanning shall not be less than 0.4 in.<sup>2</sup>(2.6 cm<sup>2</sup>) nor greater than 3.0 in.<sup>2</sup>(19.4 cm<sup>2</sup>).

5.2.2 The effective diameter of the round search unit used to evaluate discontinuity size shall not exceed 0.75 in. (19 mm).

NOTE 2—For control purposes, the performance characteristics of the test instrument and search unit may be established in accordance with procedures outlined in Practice E 317.

5.3 *Tank*—For tests by the immersion method, any container is satisfactory that will facilitate the accurate, stable positioning of both the search unit and the plate to be inspected.

5.4 *Scanning Apparatus*—During the inspection procedure, the search unit is supported by any one of the following devices. The scanning apparatus shall permit measurement of both the scan distance and the index distance within  $\pm 0.1$  in. ( $\pm 2$  mm).

5.4.1 *Manipulator and Bridge*—When a manipulator is used in tests by the immersion method, the manipulator shall adequately support a search tube containing a search unit and shall provide fine adjustment of angle within 1° in two vertical planes that are perpendicular to each other. The bridge shall be of sufficient strength to provide rigid support for the manipu-

lator and shall allow smooth, accurate positioning of the search unit. Special search unit supporting fixtures may be used provided they meet the requirements prescribed for a manipulator and bridge.

5.4.2 *Liquid Coupling Nozzle*—For tests by the liquid-column coupling method, the nozzle is usually positioned manually and shall be capable of containing the couplant while rigidly supporting the search unit with its active surface immersed in the couplant. The couplant distance shall be maintained so that the second couplant reflection is to the right of the first back reflection on the instrument cathode ray tube (CRT). The couplant path shall not vary more than  $\pm 1/4$  in. (6.4 mm) during calibration, initial scanning, and discontinuity evaluation. The recommended minimum inside dimension of the nozzle is 1.0 in. (25 mm) greater than the maximum dimension of the crystal surface in the search unit. Provisions also should be included for adjustment of search unit inclination within 1° in two vertical planes that are perpendicular to each other.

NOTE 3—Nozzles containing either sealed or unsealed openings may be used for inspecting plate provided the test results obtained with either device are equivalent to those obtained by the immersion method.

5.4.3 *Contact Scanning Unit*—During tests by the contact method, the search unit usually is supported and positioned manually on the entry surface of the inspected plate. However, special fixtures for contact scanning may be employed provided their use ensures conformance to the requirements in this specification.

5.5 *Couplant*—Clean, deaerated water at room temperature is the recommended couplant for tests either by the immersion method or by the liquid-column coupling technique. Inhibitors or wetting agents or both may be used. For tests by the contact method, the recommended couplant is clean, light-grade oil.

NOTE 4—Other coupling liquids may be employed for inspecting plate provided their use does not adversely affect test results.

## 6. Personnel Requirements

6.1 The testing operator performing the ultrasonic examination prescribed in this standard shall be qualified and certified to Level I—Ultrasonic Testing in accordance with the ASNT Recommended Practice SNT-TC-1A.

6.2 The required documentation supporting qualification and certification of ultrasonic testing operators shall be established by the certifying agency and shall be available upon request by the purchaser.

## 7. Condition of Plate

7.1 The entry and back surfaces of the inspected plate shall be sufficiently clean, smooth, and flat to maintain a first back reflection amplitude greater than 50 % of the initial standardization amplitude while scanning an area in the plate that does not contain significant isolated ultrasonic discontinuities.

7.2 The inspected plate shall be at room temperature during the test.

## 8. Procedure

8.1 *Preferred Method*—The ultrasonic test may be performed by either the liquid column coupling, the direct contact,



or the immersion methods. However, the immersion method is preferred.

8.1.1 Maintain the couplant distance so that the second couplant reflection is to the right of the first back reflection on the instrument cathode ray tube (CRT). The couplant path shall not vary more than  $\pm 1/4$  in. (6.4 mm) during calibration, initial scanning, and discontinuity evaluation.

8.2 *Test Frequency*—When using any of the three methods listed in 8.1, the recommended test frequency is 5.0 MHz. Other test frequencies between 2.0 MHz and 10.0 MHz may be employed when necessary to minimize possible adverse effects of plate thickness, microstructure, and test system characteristics upon test results and thereby maintain a clean, easily interpreted A-scan screen pattern throughout the inspection.

8.3 *Sensitivity Standardization*—Standardize the sensitivity level of the test system operating at the selected frequency by adjusting the instrument gain control to obtain a first back reflection amplitude of  $75 \pm 5\%$  of the vertical limit exhibited by the A-scan indicator when the search unit is positioned over an area free from significant discontinuities in the plate to be inspected. During tests by either the immersion method or the liquid column coupling method, adjust the angular alignment of the search unit to obtain a maximum number of back reflections before the final sensitivity level is established.

8.4 *Scanning*—With no further adjustments of the instrument gain controls, locate the search unit over one corner of the plate to be inspected so that the edge of the crystal in the search unit is about 1 in. (25 mm) from either edge of the plate.

8.4.1 Subsequent to checking the angular alignment of the search unit with respect to the rolled entry surface to ensure a maximum first back reflection, proceed to scan the plate continuously by moving the search unit at a constant scanning rate not exceeding 12 in. (305 mm)/s from the initial starting position to the opposite edge in a direction perpendicular to the predominant rolling direction of the plate.

8.4.2 During the scan, note the occurrence of isolated discontinuity indications and monitor the amplitude of the first back reflection by continuously observing the A-scan indicator screen.

NOTE 5—Auxiliary monitoring devices may be employed in the test system to enhance detection reliability during the scan.

8.5 *Scan Index*—When the initial scan is completed, move the search unit over a predetermined scan index distance in a direction parallel to the predominant rolling direction of the plate and proceed with a second scan along a line parallel to the initial scanning direction while observing the test pattern on the A-scan indicator screen. Calculate the scan index distance as follows:

$$\text{Scan index distance (in.), } S_i = 0.8 + 0.7 D_s \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Scan index distance (mm), } S_i = 20 + 0.7 D_s \quad (2)$$

where:

$D_s$  = actual crystal diameter.

8.5.1 Continue the inspection by constantly observing the test pattern on the A-scan indicator while successively scanning the plate at a constant scanning rate in a direction perpendicular to the predominant rolling direction of the plate

and indexing the search unit through the index distance calculated in 8.5.

8.5.2 During the inspection procedure, check the test system sensitivity standardization periodically by noting the amplitude of the first back reflection when the search unit is repositioned over the reference area of the plate and by adjusting the instrument gain control as required to maintain the sensitivity standardization specified previously in 8.3.

8.6 *Scanning Rate*—When the screen pattern on the A-scan indicator is monitored visually by the test operator during the inspection, the scanning rate shall not be greater than 12 in./s.

NOTE 6—Scanning rates greater than 12 in./s may be employed if auxiliary monitoring apparatus is used to maintain adequate detection reliability.

8.7 *Detection of Discontinuities*—When an isolated ultrasonic indication of amplitude greater than 30 % of the A-scan vertical limit is encountered or when the first back reflection indication decreases to an amplitude less than 5 % of the vertical limit at any time during the inspection procedure, stop the scan and angulate the search unit to obtain a maximum isolated indication and to determine that the loss of back reflection is not caused by misalignment of the search unit with respect to the plate.

8.7.1 To ensure that the loss of back reflection is not caused by surface interference, check the condition of both the entry and back surfaces of the plate at the location where a substantial (95 % or greater) loss of back reflection occurs.

8.7.2 Either a maximized isolated ultrasonic indication exhibiting an amplitude greater than 50 % of the amplitude of the initial first back reflection used for standardization, or a substantial loss of the first back reflection indication not attributable to either search unit misalignment or surface interference, is an indication of an internal discontinuity.

NOTE 7—Isolated indications occurring midway between the entry surface indication and the first back reflection may cause a second indication at the location of the first back reflection on the A-scan screen. When this condition is verified by checking the multiple back reflection pattern, a complete loss of the first back reflection can be assumed.

8.8 *Estimation of Discontinuity Size*—Note the location of the search unit where the scan was stopped when either an isolated indication or a loss of back reflection was observed.

8.8.1 Using a search unit containing a crystal of effective diameter no greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm), make an evaluation scan of an entire 6-in. (152-mm) square area which is centered around the point on the plate entry surface where the scan was discontinued. The recommended index distance for this evaluation is as follows:  $S_i(\text{in. or mm}) = 0.7 D_s$ , where  $D_s$  is the actual diameter of the search unit crystal.

8.8.2 To determine the apparent size of the discontinuity, mark each location corresponding to the center of the search unit on the plate entry surface where a  $95 \pm 5\%$  loss of first back reflection is observed or where the isolated indication exhibits an amplitude equal to  $50 \pm 5\%$  of the amplitude of the initial first back reflection established during the standardization procedure outlined in 8.3.

8.8.3 Continue to mark the location of the search unit at each point where either or both of the discontinuity conditions

specified in paragraph 8.8.2 are observed. The entire discontinuity shall be outlined even if it extends beyond the original 6-in. (152-mm) square evaluation scan area.

8.8.4 The estimated discontinuity size is the area defined by the boundary consisting of successive marks as established by this procedure.

NOTE 8—Automatic recording devices may be used to establish the estimated size of a discontinuity provided the recorded results are equivalent to those obtained by the procedure presented in 8.8.

8.9 When the estimated size of a detected discontinuity is determined, return the search unit to the original stopping position and continue the initial scan to complete the inspection.

**9. Acceptance Standards**

9.1 Upon completing the inspection procedure, measure the longest dimension of each marked area representing a detected discontinuity. Also, when an engineering drawing showing the part to be fabricated from the plate is supplied, compare the locations of the discontinuities with the dimensions on the drawing.

9.2 If the longest dimension of the marked area representing a discontinuity causing a complete loss of back reflection (95 % or greater) exceeds 1.0 in. (25 mm), the discontinuity is considered to be significant and the plate shall be subject to rejection.

9.3 If the length of the marked area representing a discontinuity causing an isolated ultrasonic indication without a complete loss of back reflection (95 % or greater) exceeds 3.0 in. (76 mm), the discontinuity is considered to be significant and the plate shall be subject to rejection.

9.4 If each of two marked areas representing two adjacent discontinuities causing isolated ultrasonic indications without a complete loss of back reflection (95 % or greater) is longer

than 1.0 in., and if they are located within 3.0 in. of each other, the proximity between the two discontinuities is considered to be significant, and the plate shall be subject to rejection.

NOTE 9—A template containing a 1.0-in. diameter hole and a 3.0-in. diameter hole is a convenient device for rapidly establishing the significance of discontinuities. If the discontinuities described in 9.2 and 9.3 cannot be totally enclosed within either the 1.0-in. diameter circle or the 3.0-in. diameter circle, respectively, then the plate containing such discontinuities shall be subject to rejection. Similarly, if any portions of two adjacent discontinuities greater than 1.0 in. in length as in accordance with 9.4 appear within the 3.0-in. diameter circle, the plate shall be subject to rejection.

9.5 A plate containing significant discontinuities of rejectable size shall be acceptable if it is established by the purchaser that the discontinuities will be removed from the plate by machining during the subsequent fabrication process.


9.6 Upon specific consent of the purchaser, a plate with significant discontinuities may be accepted if repaired by welding.

**10. Report**

10.1 When required by the purchaser, a report shall be prepared and shall include the date of test and a list of parameters including the type (model number) of instrument and search unit, the test method, frequency, and the couplant employed for the inspection.

10.2 Preparation of a drawing showing the location of all significant discontinuities in the inspected plate is recommended when the ultimate rejection or acceptance of the plate is to be determined by negotiation between the manufacturer and the purchaser.

10.3 The identification of an acceptable plate is desirable and is recommended. For this purpose, a suitable stamp should be employed to indicate conformance to this ultrasonic standard. The recommended stamp for identifying acceptable plate is shown in Fig. 1.



**FIG. 1 Stamp for Identifying Acceptable Plate**

**NOTICE: This standard has either been superceded and replaced by a new version or discontinued.  
Contact ASTM International ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)) for the latest information.**



*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)).*