

This document is not an ASTM standard and is intended only to provide the user of an ASTM standard an indication of what changes have been made to the previous version. Because it may not be technically possible to adequately depict all changes accurately, ASTM recommends that users consult prior editions as appropriate. In all cases only the current version of the standard as published by ASTM is to be considered the official document.



---

**Designation: D 4571 – 94 (Reapproved 1998)**



Designation: D 4571 – 02

## Standard Test Methods for Rubber Chemicals—Determination of Volatile Material<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 4571; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

<sup>1</sup> These test methods are under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D-11 on Rubber and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D11.11 on Chemical Analysis. Current edition approved Sept. 15, 1994; Dec. 10, 2002. Published November 1994; January 2003. Originally published as D 4571 – 86; approved in 1986. Last previous edition approved in 1998 as D 4571 – 894 (1998).

### 1. Scope

1.1 These test methods describe the determination of the loss of volatile materials in various rubber chemicals based on the mass loss upon heating at 70°C.

1.2 These test methods for the determination of volatile matter include the following materials:

Sulfur	Section 7–14
<i>p</i> -Phenylenediamine antidegradants	15–23
<i>p</i> -Phenylenediamine antidegradants	15–23

1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

### 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*

D 4483 Practice for Determining Precision for Test Method Standards in the Rubber and Carbon Black Industries<sup>2</sup>

D 4676 Classification for Rubber Compounding Materials—Antidegradants<sup>2</sup>

### 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.1.1 “lot” sample—a production sample representative of a standard production unit, normally referred to as “the sample.”

3.1.2 test unit—the actual material used in the analysis. It must be representative of the “lot” sample.

### 4. Summary of Test Methods

4.1 The rubber chemicals are weighed before and after heating at 70°C.

4.2 Sample preparation, procedures, calculations, and precision statements will be found in each section dealing with a particular rubber chemical.

### 5. Significance and Use

5.1 These test methods are suitable for the determination of volatile material in rubber chemicals. The test methods may be used for quality control, product acceptance, or research and development. Classification D 4676 prescribes percent volatile material as an important characteristic of rubber antidegradants.

### 6. Apparatus

6.1 *Weighing Bottle*, low form, 82 cm<sup>3</sup> capacity.

6.2 *Explosion-proof, Vented Air Circulating Oven*, capable of temperature regulation of 70 ± 2°C.

6.3 *Analytical Balance*, sensitive to 0.1 mg.

6.4 *Desiccator*.

## SULFUR

### 7. Scope

7.1 This test method covers the measurement of the loss of volatiles from sulfur at 70°C. The volatile components consist of

<sup>2</sup> Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 09.01.

moisture, low boiling organic components, and sulfur.

## 8. Summary of Test Method

8.1 The sulfur specimen is weighed before and after heating for 2 h at 70°C. The measured loss of mass is the volatile material content.

## 9. Significance and Use

9.1 This test method determines the volatile material in sulfur at 70°C. By ascertaining that the volatiles are lower than a predicted maximum limit, it may safely be concluded that moisture (water) is below that limit and is not present in excessive amounts.

9.2 Testing at temperatures higher than 70°C ~~only~~ results in greater volatilization of sulfur.

## 10. Apparatus

10.1 See Section 6.

## 11. Procedure

11.1 Weigh the weighing bottle to the nearest 0.1 ~~mg~~ g (A). Then add approximately 5 g sulfur and weigh again (B). Make sure the sulfur ~~evenly~~ covers the base of the bottle evenly.

11.2 Place the uncovered bottle in the 70°C oven for 2 h. Remove the specimen, cover, and cool in a desiccator for 1 h (C). Weigh the cooled specimen to the nearest 0.1 mg.

## 12. Calculation

12.1 The volatile material is given by the following equation:

$$V = (S_o) - (S_h)/S_o \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$V = [(B - C) / (B - A)] \times 100 \quad (1)$$

A B

where:

$V$  = volatiles, %,

$S_o$  A = original specimen mass, and

$S_h$  B = heated specimen mass.

## 13. Report

13.1 Report results obtained from two individual determinations and their average to the nearest 0.01 %.

## 14. Precision and Bias <sup>3</sup>

14.1 This precision and bias section has been prepared in accordance with Practice D 4483. Refer to Practice D 4483 for terminology and other statistical details.

14.2 The precision results in this precision and bias section give an estimate of the precision of this test method with the materials (rubbers) used in the particular interlaboratory programs as described below. The precision parameters should not be used for acceptance/rejection testing of any group of materials without documentation that they are applicable to those particular materials and the specific testing protocols that include this test method.

14.3 A Type 1 (interlaboratory) precision was evaluated in 1986. Both repeatability and reproducibility are short term. A period of a few days separates replicate test results. A test result is the mean value, as specified by this test method, obtained on two determinations or measurements of the property or parameter in question.

14.4 Three different materials were used in the interlaboratory program. These were tested in seven laboratories on two different days.

14.5 The results of the precision calculations for repeatability and reproducibility are given in Table 1, in ascending order of material average or level, for each of the materials evaluated.

14.6 The precision of this test method may be expressed in the format of the following statements which use an “appropriate value” of  $r$ ,  $R$ , ( $r$ ) or ( $R$ ), that is, that value to be used in decisions about test results (obtained with the test method). The *appropriate value* is that value of  $r$  or  $R$  associated with a mean level in Table 1 closest to the mean level under consideration at any given time, for any given material in routine testing operations.

14.7 *Repeatability*—The repeatability,  $r$ , of this test method has been established as the *appropriate value* tabulated in Table 1. Two single test results, obtained under normal test method procedures, that differ by more than this tabulated  $r$  (for any given level) must be considered as derived from different sample populations.

<sup>3</sup> Supporting data are available from ASTM Headquarters. Request RR: D11-1047.

**TABLE 1 Type 1 Precision Results—Volatile Materials, Percent**

Material	Average	Within Laboratory <sup>A</sup>		Between Laboratory <sup>A</sup>	
		$S_r$	$r$	$S_R$	$R$
General Purpose Ground Sulfur	0.07	0.018	0.053	0.045	0.127
Oil Treated, 90 % Insoluble Sulfur—A	0.26	0.025	0.073	0.130	0.368
Oil Treated, 90 % Insoluble Sulfur—B	0.32	0.042	0.119	0.151	0.427
Pooled Values <sup>B</sup>	0.22	0.030	0.087	0.119	0.338

<sup>A</sup>  $S_r$  = repeatability standard deviation.

 $r$  = repeatability =  $2.83 \times$  the square root of the repeatability variance.

 $S_R$  = reproducibility standard deviation.

 $R$  = reproducibility =  $2.83 \times$  the square root of the reproducibility variance.

<sup>B</sup> No values omitted.

14.8 *Reproducibility*—The reproducibility,  $R$ , of this test method has been established as the *appropriate value* tabulated in Table 1. Two single test results obtained in two different laboratories, under normal test method procedures, that differ by more than the tabulated  $R$  (for any given level) must be considered to have come from different or nonidentical sample populations.

NOTE 1—The values of  $r$  and  $R$  are relatively large, whereas the average or mean test level is small (close to zero). This is typical for this type of precision measurement process. This should be kept in mind whenever use is made of  $r$  and  $R$ .

14.9 The relative repeatability ( $r$ ) and reproducibility ( $R$ ) have been omitted from Table 1 since the level of values tested was extremely low and approached the limits of sensitivity of the test method. Under these circumstances the relative values become trivial.

14.10 *Bias*—In test method terminology, bias is the difference between an average test value and the reference (or true) test property value. Reference values have not been evaluated for this test method. Bias, therefore, cannot be determined.

## ***p*-PHENYLENEDIAMINE ANTIDEGRADEANTS**

### **15. Scope**

15.1 This test method describes the determination of volatile materials in *p*-phenylenediamine antidegradants and is based on the mass loss upon heating at 70°C.

15.2 The measured loss on heating represents volatile organics plus moisture.

### **16. Summary of Test Method**

16.1 The *p*-phenylenediamine test unit is weighed before and after heating for 3 h at 70°C. The measured difference in mass is the volatile material.

### **17. Significance and Use**

17.1 The quantity of volatile material in *p*-phenylenediamines can affect performance of these antidegradants in rubber if they exceed critical levels.

17.2 Since the loss on heating is an empirical and nonspecific method, it is essential that the prescribed analysis conditions (sample container, oven temperature, oven air flow, cooling conditions, etc.) and so forth be controlled so that results are meaningful.

17.3 Prolonged heating or overheating of the sample or the test unit can result in high heat loss values due to oxidative generation of volatile degradation products.

### **18. Apparatus**

18.1 See Section 6.

### **19. Sampling**

19.1 To ensure homogeneity, at least 250 g of the “lot” sample should be well blended prior to removing the test unit.

### **20. Procedure**

20.1 Dry a clean weighing bottle and stopper (stopper removed) for 30 min in the specified oven set at 70°C. Place the bottle and stopper in the desiccator and allow them to cool to room temperature. Weigh the bottle with a stopper to the nearest 0.1 mg (A).

20.2 Weigh a nominal 20-g test unit into the weighing bottle to the nearest 0.1 mg (B).

20.3 Place the weighing bottle containing the test unit and the stopper (with the stopper removed) in the specified oven, which has equilibrated at 70°C, for 3 h.

20.4 After the heating period, replace the stopper and transfer the bottle to the desiccator for a period of time sufficient for the assembly to equilibrate at room temperature. Reweigh the bottle to the nearest 0.1 mg (C).

20.5 Repeat the procedure on a second test unit.

## 21. Calculation

21.1 Calculate the percent heating loss to the nearest 0.1 % as follows:

$$H = [(B - C)/(B - A)] \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where:

$H$  = heating loss, %,

$A$  = mass of weighing bottle and stopper, g,

$B$  = mass of weighing bottle, stopper, and test unit before heating, g, and

$C$  = mass of weighing bottle, stopper, and test unit after heating, g.

## 22. Report

22.1 Report the following information:

22.1.1 Proper identification of the sample, and

22.1.2 Results obtained from two individual determinations and their average, reported to the nearest 0.01 %.

## 23. Precision and Bias <sup>3</sup>

23.1 This precision and bias section has been prepared in accordance with Practice D 4483. Refer to Practice D 4483 for terminology and other statistical details.

23.2 A Type 1 (interlaboratory) precision was evaluated in 1987. Both repeatability and reproducibility are short term. A period of a few days separates replicate test results. A test result is the mean value, as specified by this test method, obtained on two determinations or measurements of the property or parameter in question.

23.3 Four different materials were used in the interlaboratory program. These were tested in six laboratories on two different days.

23.4 The results of the precision calculations for repeatability and reproducibility are given in Table 2, in ascending order of

**TABLE 2 Volatile Materials of *p*-Phenylenediamine, Percent**

Material	Average	Within Laboratory <sup>A</sup>		Between Laboratory <sup>A</sup>	
		$S_r$	$r$	$S_R$	$R$
M1-6PPD	0.06	0.022	0.062	0.029	0.083
M2-IPPD	0.08	0.004	0.011	0.026	0.075
M3-BMPPD	0.19	0.034	0.098	0.073	0.026
M4-DTPD	0.04	0.002	0.005	0.019	0.055
Pooled Values <sup>B</sup>	0.09	0.021	0.061	0.045	0.129

<sup>A</sup>  $S_r$  = repeatability standard deviation.

$r$  = repeatability = 2.83 × the square root of the repeatability variance.

$S_R$  = reproducibility standard deviation.

$R$  = reproducibility = 2.83 × the square root of the reproducibility variance.

<sup>B</sup> No values omitted.

material average or level, for each of the materials evaluated.

23.5 The precision of this test method may be expressed in the format of the following statements which use an “appropriate value” of  $r$ ,  $R$ , ( $r$ ), or ( $R$ ), that is, that value to be used in decisions about test results (obtained with the test method). The *appropriate value* is that value of  $r$  or  $R$  associated with a mean level in Table 2 closest to the mean level under consideration at any given time, for any given material in routine testing operations.

23.6 *Repeatability*—The repeatability,  $r$ , of this test method has been established as the *appropriate value* tabulated in Table 2. Two single test results, obtained under normal test method procedures, that differ by more than this tabulated  $r$  (for any given level) must be considered as derived from different or nonidentical sample populations.

23.7 *Reproducibility*—The reproducibility,  $R$ , of this test method has been established as the *appropriate value* tabulated in Table 2. Two single test results obtained in two different laboratories, under normal test method procedures, that differ by more than the tabulated  $R$  (for any given level) must be considered to have come from different or nonidentical sample populations.

23.8 The relative repeatability ( $r$ ) and reproducibility ( $R$ ) have been omitted from Table 2 since the level of values tested was extremely low and approached the limits of sensitivity of the test method. Under these circumstances the relative values become trivial.

23.9 *Bias*—In test method terminology, bias is the difference between an average test value and the reference (or true) test property value. Reference values have not been evaluated for this test method. Bias, therefore, cannot be determined.

## 24. Keywords

24.1 antidegradants; rubber chemicals; sulfur; volatile content

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)).*