



Standard Guide for Sampling Waste Piles¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 6009; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides guidance for obtaining representative samples from waste piles. Guidance is provided for site evaluation, sampling design, selection of equipment, and data interpretation.

1.2 Waste piles include areas used primarily for waste storage or disposal, including above-grade dry land disposal units. This guide can be applied to sampling municipal waste piles.

1.3 This guide addresses how the choice of sampling design and sampling methods depends on specific features of the pile.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- D 1452 Practice for Soil Investigation and Sampling by Auger Borings²
- D 1586 Test Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils²
- D 1587 Practice for Thin-Walled Tube Geotechnical Sampling of Soils²
- D 4547 Practice for Sampling Waste and Soils for Volatile Organics³
- D 4687 Guide for General Planning of Waste Sampling³
- D 4700 Guide for Soil Sampling from the Vadose Zone²
- D 4823 Guide for Core-Sampling Submerged, Unconsolidated Sediments⁴
- D 5088 Practice for Decontamination of Field Equipment Used at Nonradioactive Sites⁵
- D 5314 Guide for Soil Gas Monitoring in the Vadose Zone⁵
- D 5451 Practice for Sampling Using a Trier Sampler³
- D 5518 Guide for Acquisition of Aerial Photography and Imagery for Establishing Historic Site-Use and Surface Conditions⁵

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D34 on Waste Management and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D34.01.01 on Planning for Sampling.

Current edition approved Oct. 10, 1996. Published December 1996.

² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 04.08.

³ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 11.04.

⁴ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 11.02.

⁵ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 04.09.

D 5730 Guide to Site Characterization for Environmental Purposes with Emphasis on Soil, Rock, the Vadose Zone and Ground Water⁵

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 *hot spots*—strata that contain high concentrations of the characteristic of interest and are relatively small in size when compared with the total size of the materials being sampled.

3.1.2 *representative sample*—a sample collected such that it reflects one or more characteristics of interest (as defined by the project objectives) of the population from which it was collected.

3.1.2.1 *Discussion*—A representative sample can be a single sample, a set of samples, or one or more composite samples.

3.1.3 *waste pile*—unconfined storage of solid materials in an area of distinct boundaries, above grade and usually uncovered. This includes the following:

3.1.3.1 *chemical manufacturing waste pile*—a pile consisting primarily of discarded chemical products (whether marketable or not), by-products, radioactive wastes, or used or unused feedstocks.

3.1.3.2 *scrap metal or junk pile*—a pile consisting primarily of scrap metal or discarded durable goods such as appliances, automobiles, auto parts, or batteries.

3.1.3.3 *trash pile*—a pile of waste materials from municipal sources, consisting primarily of paper, garbage, or discarded nondurable goods that contain or have contained hazardous substances. It does not include waste destined for recyclers.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This guide is intended to provide guidance for sampling waste piles. It can be used to obtain samples for waste characterization related to use, treatment, or disposal; to monitor an active pile; to prepare for closure of the waste pile; or to investigate the contents of an abandoned pile.

4.2 Techniques used to sample include both in-place evaluations of the pile and physically removing a sample. In-place evaluations include techniques such as remote sensing, on-site gas analysis, and permeability.

4.3 Sampling strategy for waste piles is dependent on the following:

4.3.1 Project objectives including acceptable levels of error when making decisions;

4.3.2 Physical characteristics of the pile, such as its size and configuration, access to all parts of it, and the stability of the pile;

4.3.3 Process that generated the waste and the waste characteristics, such as hazardous chemical or physical properties, whether the waste consists of sludges, dry powders or granules, and the heterogeneity of the wastes;

4.3.4 History of the pile, including dates of generation, methods of handling and transport, and current management methods;

4.3.5 Regulatory considerations, such as regulatory classification and characterization data;

4.3.6 Limits and bias of sampling methods, including bias that may be introduced by waste heterogeneity, sampling design, and sampling equipment.

4.4 It is recommended that this guide be used in conjunction with Guide D 4687, which addresses sampling design, quality assurance, general sampling considerations, preservation and containerization, cleaning equipment, packaging, and chain of custody.

4.5 A case history of the investigation of a waste pile is included in Appendix X1.

5. Site Evaluation

5.1 Site evaluations are performed to assist in designing the most appropriate sampling strategy. An evaluation may consist of on-site surveys and inspections, as well as a review of historical data. Nonintrusive geophysical and remote sensing methods are particularly useful at this stage of the investigation (see Guide D 5518). Table 1 summarizes the effects that various factors associated with the waste pile, such as the history of how the pile was generated, have upon the strategy and design of the sampling plan. The strategic and design considerations are discussed as well.

5.2 *Generation History*—The waste pile may have been created over an extended time period. A remote sensing method that is very useful in establishing historical management practices for waste piles is aerial imagery. Aerial photographs are widely available and may be used to determine the history of a waste pile, sources of waste, and the presence and distribution of different strata. Satellite imagery could be used for larger waste piles.

5.2.1 The date of generation could be important with respect to the types of processes that generated the waste, the characteristics of the waste, the distribution of the constituents, and regulatory concerns.

5.2.2 The type of process that generated the waste will determine the types of constituents that may be present in the waste pile. Chemical variability will influence the number of samples that are required to characterize the waste pile unless a directed (biased) sampling approach is acceptable.

5.2.3 The delivery method of the material to the waste pile could influence the concentrations of the constituents, affect the overall shape of the pile, or create physical dissimilarity within the waste pile through sorting by particle size or density.

5.2.4 If the pile is under current management and use, the variability in constituent types and concentrations may be affected. Current management activities also may influence the regulatory status of the waste pile.

5.2.5 Regulatory considerations will typically focus on waste identification questions, in other words is the material a solid waste that should be regulated and managed as a hazardous waste **(1)**.⁶ This may involve a limited, directed sampling approach, particularly if a regulatory agency is conducting the investigation. A more comprehensive sampling design may be required to determine if the waste classifies as hazardous. Remediation efforts and questions regarding permits may focus on characterizing the entire pile, possibly as the removal of material is occurring. It should be noted that concentrations of contaminants near regulatory levels may increase the number of samples required to meet the objectives of the investigation. These regulatory levels could be those established to determine if a waste is hazardous, or “cleanup” levels set for a removal or remediation.

5.3 *Physical Characteristics of Pile*—Several physical characteristics of the waste pile must be considered during the site evaluation. Variability in size, shape, and stability of the pile affects access to it to obtain samples as well as safety considerations. Physical variability will influence the number of samples that are required to characterize the waste pile unless a directed (biased) sampling approach is considered to be acceptable. Techniques that might be used include resistivity and seismic refraction (for determining the depth of very large piles).

5.3.1 The size of the waste pile will influence the sampling strategy in that increasing size is often accompanied by increased variability in the physical characteristics of the waste pile. The number of samples, however, that are needed to characterize a waste pile adequately will typically be a function of the study objectives as well as the inherent variability of the pile.

5.3.2 The shape of the waste pile can influence the sampling strategy by limiting access to certain locations within the pile, and if it is topologically complex it is difficult to lay out a sampling grid. Also, a waste pile may extend vertically both above and below grade, making decisions regarding the depth of sample collection difficult.

TABLE 1 Strategy Factors

Waste Pile Factors	Strategic Considerations	Design Considerations
Generation history	Date of generation Types of processes Characteristics by process Delivery method Current management Regulatory considerations	Analysis required Location of samples
Physical characteristics of pile:	Physical variability of pile	Number of samples
– size	Access	Location of samples
– shape	Safety	Equipment selection
– stability		
Waste characteristics	Constituents present Constituent distribution Heterogeneity – physical variability – chemical variability	Number of samples Analysis required Location of samples Representative samples Equipment selection

⁶ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

5.3.3 The stability of the waste pile also can limit access to both the face and the interior of the pile. The use of certain types of heavier sampling equipment also could be limited by the ability of the pile to bear the weight of the equipment.

5.4 *Waste Characteristics:*

5.4.1 The constituents could include inorganics, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and semivolatile organic compounds (including pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)) (see Practice D 4547). Speciality analyses may be warranted, such as leaching tests or analyses for dioxin/furans or explosive compounds. Soil gas sampling is a minimally intrusive technique that may detect the presence and distribution of volatile organic compounds in soils and in porous, unconsolidated materials. Appropriate applications for soil gas monitoring are identified in Guide D 5314.

5.4.2 The distribution of constituents in the waste pile could be influenced by changes in the manufacturing process which resulted in changes in the composition of the waste; the length of time the material has remained in the pile (particularly for VOCs); the mode of delivery of the waste materials to the pile; and management practices, such as mixing together wastes from more than one process.

5.4.3 Physical and chemical variabilities would include variability in the chemical characteristics of the material within the pile, as well as variability in particle size, density, hardness, whether brittle or flexible, moisture content, consolidated, or unconsolidated. The variability may be random or found as strata of materials having different properties or containing different types or concentrations of constituents.

5.4.3.1 Geophysical survey methods may be used on piles to estimate physical homogeneity, which may or may not be related to chemical homogeneity, and to detect buried objects, both of which may need to be considered during the development of the sampling design and the safety plan for the investigation. The most suitable technique for detecting non-metallic objects is electromagnetics. Ground-penetrating radar, a more sophisticated and complex technique, also may be considered. Electromagnetic techniques are suited particularly to large piles that contain leachate plumes (for example, mine tailings) or for the detection of large discontinuities in a pile (for example, different types of wastes or the transition from a disposal area to background soils). For metallic objects, metal detectors and magnetometers are useful and relatively easy to use in the field.

5.5 *Potential Investigation Errors:*

5.5.1 Equipment selection can bias sampling results even if the equipment is used properly. Bias can result from the incompatibility of the materials that the sampling equipment is made of with the materials being sampled. For example, the equipment could alter the characteristics of the sample. Some equipment will bias against the collection of certain particle sizes, and some equipment cannot penetrate the waste pile adequately.

5.5.2 Equipment, use, and operation can introduce error (bias) into the characterization of a waste pile. Sampling errors typically are caused when certain particle sizes are excluded, when a segment of the waste pile is not sampled, or when a location outside the pile is inadvertently sampled.

5.5.3 When stratification, layering, or solid phasing occurs it may be necessary to obtain and analyze samples of each of the distinct phases separately to minimize sampling bias. Care should be taken when sampling stratified layers to minimize cross contamination. Proper decontamination procedures should be used for all sampling equipment (see Practice D 5088).

5.5.4 Statistical bias includes situations where the data are not normally distributed or when the sampling strategy does not allow the potential for every portion of the pile to be sampled.

6. **Sampling Strategy**

6.1 Developing a strategy for sampling a waste pile requires a thorough examination of the site evaluation factors listed in Section 5. The location and frequency of sampling (number of samples) should be outlined clearly in the sampling plan, as well as provisions for the use of special sampling equipment, access of heavy equipment to all areas of the pile, if necessary, and so forth.

6.1.1 *Representative Sampling*—The collection of a representative set of samples from a waste pile typically will be complicated by the presence of a number of the site evaluation factors (2,3).

6.1.2 *Heterogeneous Wastes*—Waste piles may be homogeneous, for applied purposes, or may be quite heterogeneous in particle size and contaminant distribution. If the particle sizes of the material in the waste pile and the distribution of contaminants are known, or can be estimated, then less sampling may be necessary to define the properties of interest in the waste pile. An estimate of the variability in contaminant distribution may be based on process knowledge or determined by preliminary sampling (4). The more heterogeneous the waste pile is, the greater the planning and sampling requirements.

6.1.3 *Strata and Hot Spots*—A waste pile also could contain strata that have less internal variation in physical properties or concentrations of chemical constituents than the remainder of the waste pile (2,5). For example, strata may be present in a waste pile due to changes in the process that generated the waste, or if different processes at a facility contribute waste to different parts of the waste pile. A stratified sampling strategy would consider this situation by conducting independent sampling of each stratum, which could reduce the number of samples required. These strata could be in specific areas of the waste pile (4). Also, hot spots may be present in the waste pile that are unique in composition (2,5).

6.2 *Specific Sampling Strategies:*

6.2.1 Although the most appropriate method for evaluating material in waste piles is to sample at or immediately following the point of generation (for example, conveyor belt), most sampling problems involve existing or in-place waste piles. Therefore, the following discussion will focus on in-place waste piles. Sampling strategies available for waste piles include directed or judgmental sampling, simple random sampling, stratified random sampling, systematic grid sampling, and systematic sampling over time (2,6). General concerns about the collection of a representative sample, the existence of potential heterogeneity in the waste pile, the presence of strata

within the waste pile, and the existence of distinct hot spots within the waste pile may also influence the selection of an appropriate sampling strategy and development of the sampling plan (5). The following paragraphs provide an introduction to determining the appropriate number of samples to collect and the sampling strategies available for determining sample locations.

6.2.2 Determining the Frequency or Number of Samples—The frequency of sampling or the number of samples to collect typically will be based on several factors including the study objectives, properties of wastes in the pile, degree of confidence required, access to sampling points, and budgetary constraints. Practical guidance for determining the number of samples is included in Guide D 4687 and Refs (2, 3).

6.2.3 Directed Sampling—Directed sampling (Fig. 1) is based on the judgment of the investigator and will not result necessarily in a sample that reflects the characteristics of the entire waste pile. Directed sampling also is called judgmental sampling, authoritative sampling, or nonprobability sampling. The experience of the investigator often is the basis for sample collection, and, depending on the study objectives, bias should be recognized as a potential problem. For preliminary screening investigations of a waste pile and for certain regulatory investigations, however, directed sampling may be appropriate.

A directed sampling strategy could call for the collection of a composite sample from the surface area or the collection of discrete grabs at the surface of the pile (see Fig. 1). Directed sampling would typically focus on worst case conditions in a waste pile, for example, the most visually contaminated area or most recently generated waste.

6.2.4 Simple Random Sampling—Simple random sampling (Fig. 2) ensures that each element in the waste pile has an equal chance of being included in the sample (2). This may be the method of choice when, for purposes of the investigation, the waste pile is randomly heterogeneous (5). If the waste pile contains trends or patterns of contamination, a stratified random sampling or systematic grid sampling strategy would be more appropriate (2) (see 6.2.5 and 6.2.6).

6.2.4.1 A simple random approach could use a grid with random grids selected for sample collection (see Fig. 2). Note that the grid size could be selected based on the number of samples that are required (some guidance suggests having at least ten times the number of grids as samples required). Once the grid is overlaid and the sampling locations are selected, the decision must be made to collect either a discrete grab sample (surface), a composite of surface samples taken from predesignated locations within the grid cell (based on compass points), a vertical composite to a specified depth, or discrete




FIG. 1 Waste Pile Sampling Strategy—Directed Sampling




FIG. 2 Waste Pile Sampling Strategy—Simple Random Sampling

grab samples at specified depths. If discrete grab samples are desired at specified depths, they typically would be collected at the same location as the bore hole is advanced into the pile. Fig. 2 illustrates the collection of vertical composites at each of the randomly selected locations.

6.2.5 Stratified Random Sampling—Stratified random sampling (see Fig. 3) may be useful when distinct strata or homogeneous subgroups are identified within the waste pile (2). The strata may be located in different areas of the pile or may be comprised of different layers (see Fig. 3). This approach is useful when the individual strata may be considered internally homogeneous or at least have less internal variation in what would otherwise be considered a heterogeneous waste pile (2). Information on the waste pile usually is required to establish the location of individual strata unless process knowledge or changes in the composition of the material is obvious, such as with discoloration or with the type of waste. The grid may be utilized for sampling several horizontal layers if the strata are oriented horizontally (4). A simple random sampling approach then is used within each stratum. The use of a stratified random sampling strategy may result in the collection of fewer samples. Fig. 3 illustrates a scenario where the number of samples collected in each stratum varies (plan view), and discrete grabs are collected in

each boring at predesignated depths (side view).

6.2.6 Systemic Grid Sampling—Systematic grid sampling (see Fig. 4) involves the collection of samples at fixed intervals and is useful when the contamination is assumed to be distributed randomly (2). This method also is commonly used with waste piles when estimating trends or patterns of contamination or when the objective is to locate hot spots. This approach may not be acceptable if the entire waste pile is not accessible or if the sampling grid locations become phased with variations in the distribution of contaminants within the waste pile (6). It also may be useful for identifying the presence of strata within the pile. The grid and starting points should be laid out randomly over the waste pile, yet the method allows for rather easy location of exact sample locations by means of the grid (see Fig. 4). The same considerations discussed in 6.2.4 concerning the depth of each sample (surface, vertical composite, discrete grabs at depth) also should be considered. Fig. 4 illustrates the collection of vertical composites at each grid, which could be difficult and costly. Also note that the grid size typically would be adjusted according to the number of samples that are required.

6.2.7 Systematic Sampling Over Time—Systematic sampling over time at the point of generation is useful if the material is being sampled from a conveyor belt or being




FIG. 3 Waste Pile Sampling Strategy—Stratified Random Sampling




FIG. 4 Waste Pile Sampling Strategy—Systematic Grid Sampling

delivered by means of truck or pipeline to the waste pile. The sampling interval can be determined on a time basis, for example, every hour from a conveyor belt or pipeline discharge, or from every third truck load. The time between intervals is influenced by the factors addressed in 6.2.2.

6.2.8 Alternative Approach—In many cases, an objective of waste pile characterization is to determine the impact of the pile on the environment. At times this may be accomplished more easily by sampling the routes by which contaminants are dispersed from the pile than through direct sampling of the pile, especially for piles that are difficult to characterize. For example, ground water up-and-down gradient from the pile could be sampled to check for ground water contamination. The vadose zone below the pile also might be sampled to detect leachate (and potential ground water contamination) through soil sampling, vacuum lysimeters, or soil gas. Surface water and sediment in drainage channels down gradient from the pile also might be sampled. Surface soils, air samples, and contaminants deposited on vegetation can be used as indicators of atmospheric transport of contaminants from the pile, including both particulate and volatile materials. Such approaches will seldom replace pile sampling completely, but they may reduce the number of pile samples needed to make remedial action decisions (see Guide D 5730), also Refs (7-9).

7. Selection of Sampling Equipment

7.1 Wastes in piles are often complex, multiphase mixtures of solids and semisolids. The wastes can range from powders to granules to large, heterogeneous solid fragments and can cover many acres in area. No single type of sampler can be used to collect representative samples of all types of waste from piles. Large, thick piles may require drill rigs to obtain samples from depth. The sampling of gases from within the pile requires other types of equipment. Table 2 lists typical waste types and the corresponding recommended samplers to use.

7.2 Sampling at depth from inside the pile may require heavy equipment designed for excavation or removal of soil or rock. Table 3 lists such equipment and its applications for sampling waste piles (10).

7.3 Sampling equipment should be constructed of materials that are compatible with the waste to be sampled. Compatibility refers to the physical durability, lack of chemical reactivity with the waste, and lack of potential for contamination of the waste with analytes of concern. Typical materials of construction include stainless steel, plastic, and glass.

8. Data Use

8.1 The decisions that will be made based upon the data must be identified early in the planning process since these affect the approach to the problem and how the data will be evaluated. Decisions affecting waste classification, closure, and post-closure issues, are examples of the uses of the data. Methods to determine the volume of contaminated material in a pile or pile strata may be needed. Standard mathematical formulas for calculating the volume of a cone, cylinder, various prisms, and so forth, may be used.

8.2 Statistical Considerations:

8.2.1 Data quality assessment (DQA) methods are used to evaluate the data for any anomalies and to evaluate the assumptions for statistical evaluation. The statistician makes use of both subjective judgment (graphical analysis for identification of trends and anomalies) and statistical models and inference (for example, outlier detection, autocorrelation estimation) in the investigation of data for validity of the assumptions needed to make a statistical test. Classical statistical models assume that the samples collected from the population of interest are independent and have an identical probability distribution (that is, normal distribution with constant mean and variance). Random sampling is a method to ensure independence. The probability distributional assumptions are part of DQA that will determine if the classical statistical

TABLE 2 Sampling Devices Suitable for Waste Piles^A

Location and Waste Type	Sampling Devices	ASTM Standard	Limitations
Subsurface Powdered, granular, or soil-like solids; sludges	split-barrel push coring device	D 1586 D 1587 D 4700 D 4823	Limited application for sampling moist and sticky solids, or particles with diameter 0.6 cm (0.25 in.) or more. Depth limitation of about 1 m.
	trier	D 5451	May not retain core sample of very dry granular materials. Not applicable to sampling solid wastes with particle diameter >½ the diameter of the sampling tube.
	auger	D 1452 D 4700	Does not collect undisturbed sample.
	thin-walled tube sampler	D 4823	Collects relatively undisturbed core.
	drill rigs	D 4700	Difficult to use on gravelly or rocky soils. Used for geoenvironmental exploration. To minimize sample contamination, avoid those using a water-based drilling fluid.
Surface Powdered, granular, or soil-like solids; sludges	soil gas samplers	D 5314	Used for volatile organic compounds.
	trowel or scoop	D 4700	Not applicable to sampling deeper than 8 cm (3 in.). Difficult to obtain reproducible mass of sample. May exclude certain particle sizes, especially large aggregates.
Slag	hammer/chisel Impact device		Changes particle size.

^A This table is not all inclusive; other equipment may be used.

TABLE 3 Excavation and Removal Equipment for Waste Piles

Excavation and Removal Equipment	General Excavation	Ability to Excavate Hard and Compacted Material	Soil Hauling	Mixing of Solids, Soil	Spreading Cover	Site Maneuverability
Wheel or crawler Mounted backhoe	A ^A	A	B ^B /O ^C	A	A	A/B
Wheel or crawler Mounted front-end loader	A	A	A/B	A	A	A/B
Skid steer loader	A	B	B	A	B	A
Bulldozer	A	A	O	O	A	B

^A A = Good choice. Equipment is fully capable of performing function listed.

^B B = Secondary choice. Equipment is marginally capable of performing function listed.

^C O = Not applicable or poor choice.

model is appropriate for the collected data. For directed sampling, the sampling is subjective and the sample results are typically judged on a qualitative basis.

8.2.2 Simple random sampling will provide an unbiased estimate of the average waste concentration, that is, an estimate of the mean. This unbiased estimate is independent of the geometry of the pile and of the distribution of the concentration of the contaminants, but it may not have the smallest variance. Other sampling designs, such as systematic grid sampling or stratified random sampling, may provide an average that has a smaller variance. If the waste pile has uneven topography, the calculation of the mean concentration of the pile should be a volume-weighted average, using core volume as the weighting factor to reduce the variance of the estimated mean.

8.2.2.1 For simple random sampling and systematic grid sampling designs, histogram and normal probability plots of the sample data can be used to judge if the data conform to normal distribution. If not, there are several alternatives. First, the classical statistical model may still be considered robust for the decision-making process. Second, a transformation of the data may approximate a normal distribution of the data. For

example, logarithmic transformation will normalize data that are lognormal originally. If the data are lognormal, the question of whether to use the arithmetic mean or the geometric mean for decision-making purposes must be decided. Third, an alternative statistical model based on nonparametric methods, but which uses weaker assumptions, may be proposed to analyze the decision-making process. It may be advisable to consult a statistician.

8.2.2.2 For the stratified random sampling design, the test of normality is not straightforward. Generally, it requires a mathematical model to take out the strata effects first, then test for normality using the residuals. A statistician should be consulted.

8.2.2.3 In any of these cases, alternative consequences of the level of uncertainty can be calculated prior to collecting the data. These alternatives can be used by decision-makers to select the best strategy to minimize the environmental risks.

9. Keywords

9.1 piles; sampling; waste

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. WASTE PILE—A CASE HISTORY

X1.1 **Background**—The waste pile was generated by a facility that produces brass alloys from scrap metal. The byproduct from this operation was slag, which was generated in the recovery furnace. The slag was ground subsequently in a ball mill prior to being reintroduced into the recovery furnace. A large amount of the ground slag was disposed of in a waste pile which covered about one acre. No active management was occurring with the waste pile. No buried containers or extremely heterogenous material (unground slag) was suspected of being present in the waste pile based on facility records and interviews of personnel.

X1.1.1 Lead and cadmium were the constituents of concern based on process knowledge, and the possibility for the waste being hazardous was the regulatory consideration. The potential for off-site migration of contaminants was also an immediate concern, and this was considered in the development of the Phase 1 study design. Fig. X1.1 shows a site map of the

facility and the slag pile. Fig. X1.2 shows a computer enhancement of the slag pile, and Fig. X1.3 shows a topographic view of the pile.

X1.2 Phase 1:

X1.2.1 **Objective**—The primary objective of the initial investigation was to determine if the slag in the waste pile classified as hazardous based on the concentration of lead and cadmium in a leach test. A secondary objective was to provide preliminary information on the potential migration and transport of contaminants from the waste pile off-site. The sampling plan for this initial investigation utilized a directed sampling strategy to provide a preliminary estimate of the lead concentration in the waste, the variability of contaminant concentrations in the pile, and the potential for leaching using the applicable leaching procedure mandated in regulations. Four composite samples were collected from the surface (0 to 15 cm or 0 to 6 in.) of the waste pile at locations within the four




FIG. X1.1 Site Map

quadrants. The following environmental samples were also collected:

X1.2.1.1 Several soil samples in the vicinity of the waste pile,

X1.2.1.2 Sediment upstream and downstream in a stream which borders the facility,

X1.2.1.3 Sediment in a ditch which contained runoff from the pile, and




FIG. X1.2 Computer Enhancement of the Slag Pile (Front View) Scale 1:1:2




FIG. X1.3 Topographic View of the Slag Pile

X1.2.1.4 Two background soil samples.

X1.2.2 Fig. X1.4 shows the Phase 1 sampling locations within the slag pile, and Fig. X1.5 shows the same sampling locations on the topographic map of the pile.

X1.2.3 *Results*—Zinc, copper, cadmium, and lead were all elevated (compared to background) in the samples collected from the waste pile, and the concentrations did not appear to vary significantly between the samples. Since lead and cadmium are regulated constituents, a leach test was completed, and the lead results exceeded the regulatory level of 5 mg/L. Cadmium was just under the regulatory level of 1.0 mg/L. Lead and cadmium concentrations in the soil were 2 to 3 times above background, and the drainage ditch and downstream sediment sample also had elevated lead and cadmium levels.

X1.2.4 *Conclusion*— The waste pile contained slag that is hazardous for lead. The waste pile required further characterization to determine the variability in the pile. The presence of

lead and cadmium in soils and the stream sediment downstream of the facility was confirmed and should be investigated further to determine the extent of contaminant transport.

X1.3 Phase 2:

X1.3.1 *Objective*—The objective is to characterize the waste pile further using a systematic grid sampling design. This design will delineate horizontal and vertical variability in lead and cadmium concentrations. The Phase 1 investigation also provided a good estimate of the anticipated variability in the waste pile. The number of samples required to characterize the waste pile adequately was calculated based on the average concentration, the anticipated variability, the regulatory level of concern, and the specified confidence interval. The grid size then was adjusted to accommodate the projection on the required number of samples. Composite samples were collected within each grid cell based on one center point and eight




FIG. X1.4 Front View of the Slag Pile Showing Sampling Locations Scale 1:1:2




FIG. X1.5 Topographic View of the Slag Pile Showing Sampling Locations

points on the compass (45° intervals) equidistant from the center point. Ten percent of the grids were designated for vertical as well as surface (0 to 15 cm or 0 to 6 in.) sample collection. Additionally, 10 % of the grids were designated randomly for duplicate sampling (using a different aliquot pattern) to check the preliminary estimate on the variability. Additional environmental sampling was conducted but will not be covered in this discussion.

X1.3.2 Results—The results supported the initial Phase 1 investigation with lead consistently exceeding the regulatory level. Cadmium consistently was below the regulatory level.

X1.3.3 Conclusion— The waste pile was characteristic for lead and classified as hazardous according to the applicable regulations. There was no significant variability with depth, although several gradients were noticed across the grid based on lead concentration (scan) results.

X1.4 Phase 3:

X1.4.1 Objective—The objective is to determine the volume of the waste pile in order to estimate both the disposal cost and the total amount of the civil penalty to be charged to the owner of the pile. The waste pile was surveyed using standard surveying techniques.

X1.4.2 Results—The results were used to calculate the volume using geometric principles. Also, a computer program was utilized which constructs contours based on the surveying information. The computer program was used as a check of the manual method, which produced a result that was 10 % higher in volume than the computer program.

X1.4.3 Conclusion— For penalty calculation purposes, the smaller estimate was utilized; however, the actual treatment and disposal costs could reflect the larger estimate.

REFERENCES

- (1) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986. *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste*; SW-846, 3rd Edition. EPA/530/SW-846 (NTIS PB88-239223); First update, 3rd edition. EPA/530/SW-846.3-1 (NTIS PB89-148076). Current edition and updates available on a subscription basis from U.S. Government Printing Office, Stock #955-001-00000-1.
- (2) Gilbert, R. O., "Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring," Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1987.
- (3) Ford, P. J., and Turina, P. J., *Characterization of Hazardous Waste Sites—A Methods Manual, Vol 1: Site Investigations*, EPA 600/4-84/075, (NTIS PB85-215960), 1985.
- (4) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *Compendium of ERT Waste Sampling Procedures*, Section 5.0 Waste Pile Sampling, SOP No. 2017, EPA 540/P-91/008, OSWER Directive 9360.4-07, January 1991.
- (5) Pitard, F., *Pierre Gy's Sampling Theory and Sampling Practice*, Vol 1: Heterogeneity and Sampling, Chemical and Rubber Company (CRC) Press, 1989.
- (6) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *Characterizing Heterogeneous Wastes: Methods and Recommendations*, EPA 600/R-92/033, (NTIS PB92-216894) February 1992. [Also published as hardback Smoley Edition: Rupp and Joens (1993).]
- (7) Keith, L., *Principles of Environmental Sampling*, Ed. ACS, 1988.
- (8) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *Guidance Document on the Statistical Analysis of Ground Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities*, Office of Solid Waste, 1993.
- (9) McCoy and Associates, Inc., "Soil Sampling and Analysis—Practices and Pitfalls," *Hazardous Waste Consultant*, Vol 10, No. 6, Lakewood, CO, 1992.
- (10) PEI Associates, 1991, *Survey of Materials-Handling Technologies Used at Hazardous Waste Sites*, EPA 540/2-91/010, June 1991. (NTIS PB91-186924), 225 pp.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).