



# Standard Test Method for Neps, Vegetable Matter, and Colored Fiber in Wool Top<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 1770; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of the number of neps and pieces of vegetable matter by size classes, and the number of colored fibers, in 15 g samples of wool top.<sup>2</sup>

1.2 This test method is applicable to wool top in any form.

NOTE 1—For the determination of number of neps per specified mass of cotton samples, refer to Test Method D 1446.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*

D 123 Terminology Relating to Textiles<sup>3</sup>

D 1776 Practice for Conditioning Textiles for Testing<sup>3</sup>

D 1446 Test Method for Number of Neps in Cotton Samples<sup>4</sup>

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 *colored fiber, n—in wool top*, any fiber the color or shade of which differs from the normal color or shade of the fiber mass of the sample.

3.1.2 *laboratory sample, n*—a portion of material taken to represent the lot sample, or the original material, and used in the laboratory as a source of test specimens.

3.1.3 *lot, n—in acceptance sampling*, that part of a consignment or shipment consisting of material from one production lot.

3.1.4 *nep, n*—one or more fibers occurring in a tangled and unorganized mass.

3.1.4.1 *Discussion*—For the purpose of this test method, the mass of unorganized fibers retains its identity upon removal from a fibrous strand.

3.1.5 *test specimen, n—for wool top*, a length of specified mass taken at random from a length of wool top selected as a laboratory sample.

3.1.6 *top, n—in wool*, a continuous untwisted strand of wool fibers from which the shorter fibers or noils have been removed by combing.

3.1.7 *vegetable matter, n—in wool top*, the pieces of burrs, seeds, shive, leaves, twigs, and grasses which have escaped removal in processing, also foreign vegetable fibers such as hemp, sisal, etc., if present.

3.1.8 For definitions of other textile terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology D 123.

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Four test specimens are taken and examined in accordance with specified procedures. Each observed nep or piece of vegetable matter is classified by size, by visual comparison with a specified standard size chart. The numbers of each class of neps and class of vegetable matter pieces, and the number of colored fibers, are recorded for each specimen. From these data the average counts per specimen of 15 g are calculated.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Test Method D 1770 for the determination of neps, vegetable matter, and colored fiber may be used for the acceptance testing of commercial shipments of wool top but caution is advised because the between-laboratory precision is known to be poor. Comparative tests as directed in 5.1.1 may be advisable.

5.1.1 In case of a dispute arising from differences in reported test results when using Test Method D 1770 for acceptance testing of commercial shipments, the purchaser and the supplier should conduct comparative tests to determine if there is a statistical bias between their laboratories. Competent statistical assistance is recommended for the investigation of bias. As a minimum, the two parties should take a group of test specimens that are as homogeneous as possible and that are from a lot of material of the type in question. The test specimens should then be randomly assigned in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. The average results from the two laboratories should be compared using Student's *t*-test for unpaired data and an acceptable probability level chosen by the two parties before the testing is begun. If a bias is found, either its cause must be found and corrected or the purchaser and the


<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D-13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.13 on Wool and Wool Felt.

Current edition approved Dec. 15, 1994. Published April 1995. Originally published as D1770 – 60 T. Last previous edition D1770 – 88 (1993)<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>2</sup> For additional information, reference may be made to "Neps in Worsted Sliver," *Wool Science Review*, Vol 22, March 1963, pp. 28–38.

<sup>3</sup> *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 07.01.

<sup>4</sup> Discontinued—See 1976 *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Part 33.



NOTE 1—Figs. 1 and 2 should preferably not be used as substitutes for the original prints obtainable from ASTM.<sup>5</sup>

**FIG. 1 Visual Standard**

supplier must agree to interpret future test results in the light of the known bias.

**6. Apparatus**

6.1 *Nep Scale Standard*, see Fig. 1.<sup>5</sup>

6.2 *Vegetable Matter Standard*, see Fig. 2.<sup>5</sup>

6.3 *Examination Surfaces*, consisting of a dark surface illuminated from above for nep test and a white surface illuminated from above for vegetable matter and colored fiber tests. Alternatively, a white translucent surface with under-lighting may be used for all tests. When a translucent surface is used, colored fibers must be reexamined on an over-lighted white surface to avoid inclusion of medullated fibers.

6.4 *Tweezers*, with pointed ground ends.

6.5 *Balance or Scale*, capacity at least 25 g with a sensitivity of 0.01 g.

**7. Sampling**

7.1 *Lot Sample*—As a lot sample for acceptance testing, take at random the number of shipping containers directed in


an applicable material specification or other agreement between the purchaser and the supplier. Consider shipping containers to be the primary sampling units.

NOTE 2—An adequate specification or other agreement between the purchaser and the supplier requires taking into account the variability between shipping containers, between laboratory samples within a shipping container, and between test specimens within a laboratory sample to provide a sampling plan with a meaningful producer’s risk, consumer’s risk, acceptable quality level, and limiting quality level.

7.2 *Laboratory Sample*—As a laboratory sample for acceptance testing, take from each shipping container in the lot sample the first 3 yd (3 m) of material from the lead end of the strand that has a clean, uniform appearance. If the shipping containers in the lot sample contain multiple packages, take a laboratory sample from one package drawn at random from each shipping container.

7.3 *Test Specimens*—After conditioning, take one test specimen from each unit in the laboratory sample by starting at a random location along the length of the sample and cutting with scissors a section long enough to weigh  $15.00 \pm 0.10$  g, adjusting the length as needed to obtain the required mass. Record the mass of the conditioned specimen to the nearest 0.01 g.

<sup>5</sup> Original prints of these illustrations are available from ASTM Headquarters, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. Request Adjunct No. 12-417700-00 for Nep Scale Standard and Vegetable Matter Standard.



NOTE 1—Figs. 1 and 2 should preferably not be used as substitutes for the original prints obtainable from ASTM. <sup>5</sup>

**FIG. 2 Vegetable Matter Classes**

**8. Conditioning**

8.1 Bring the laboratory samples to moisture equilibrium for obtaining test specimens in the standard atmosphere for testing textiles as directed in Practice D 1776. Preconditioning is not necessary.

**9. Procedure**

9.1 Test each weighed specimen, in the prevailing atmosphere if preferred, as follows:

9.1.1 Draw a portion not exceeding one-tenth of the specimen and spread it over the prescribed examination surface.

9.1.2 Remove with tweezers each colored fiber and reserve for counting.

9.1.3 Also remove with tweezers each nep (except those obviously smaller than size 1 on the nep scale, Fig. 1), and each piece of vegetable matter (except those obviously smaller than the “specks” class, Fig. 2), and reserve for size classification and counting.

9.1.4 Repeat the operations described in 9.1.1-9.1.3 on additional portions of the specimen until the entire specimen has been treated.

9.1.5 Count and record the number of colored fibers removed from the specimen.

9.1.6 Classify each removed nep as to size by comparing it with the nep scale standard (see Note under Fig. 1), discard those classified as smaller than size 1, and count and record the number in each nep size class.

9.1.7 Classify each removed piece of vegetable matter as to size by comparing it with the vegetable matter standard (see Note under Fig. 2), discard those classified as smaller than “specks,” and count and record the number in each vegetable matter size class.

NOTE 3—The original prints of the pictures on which Figs. 1 and 2 are based are available from ASTM and should be used in classifying neps or vegetable matter.

9.2 Calculate the test results as directed in Section 10.

## 10. Calculation

10.1 Calculate the total mass of the four specimens tested.

10.2 Calculate the total number of colored fibers in the four specimens, and the total number of observed neps in each size class and of pieces of vegetable matter in each size class.

10.3 *Colored Fiber*—Calculate to the nearest 0.1 unit the average number of colored fibers per 15 g of wool top using Eq 1:

$$F = f \times 15/w \quad (1)$$

where:

$F$  = average number of colored fibers per 15 g of wool top.

$f$  = total number of colored fibers in the four specimens, and

$w$  = combined mass of the four specimens, in grams.

10.4 *Neps*—Calculate to the nearest 0.1 unit the average number of neps of each nep size class per 15 g of wool top, using Eq 2:

$$N_i = n_i \times 15/w \quad (2)$$

where:

$N_i$  = average number of neps of nep size class  $i$  per 15 g of wool top,

$n_i$  = total number of neps of nep size class  $i$  in the four specimens, and

$w$  = combined mass of the four specimens, in grams.

10.5 *Vegetable Matter*—Calculate to the nearest 0.1 unit the average number of vegetable matter pieces of each size class per 15 g of wool top, using Eq 3:

$$V_j = v_j \times 15/w \quad (3)$$

where:

$V_j$  = average number of vegetable matter pieces of size class  $j$  per 15 g of wool top,

$v_j$  = total number of vegetable matter pieces of size class  $j$  in the four specimens, and

$w$  = combined mass of four specimens, in grams.

10.6 *Combined “Defects”*—If the term “defects” is used to mean colored fibers, neps of stated size classes, and vegetable matter pieces of stated size, classes, then for any specified combination of defects, the average number of defects per 15 g of wool top may be calculated by using Eq 4:

$$D = d \times 15/w \quad (4)$$

where:

$D$  = average number of specified defects per 15 g of wool top,

$d$  = total number of specified defects in the four specimens, and

$w$  = combined mass of four specimens, in grams.

10.7 *Conversion to 0.5-oz Sample Basis*—The average counts per 15 g of wool top may be converted to average counts per 0.5 oz by using Eq 5:

$$A = 0.945 M \quad (5)$$

where:

$A$  = average count per 0.5 oz of wool top,

$M$  = average count per 15 g of wool top, and

0.945 = factor obtained from  $15/(28.35/2)$ .

## 11. Report

11.1 State that the specimens were tested as directed in Test Method D 1770. Describe the lot of wool top and the method of sampling used.

11.2 Report the following information:

11.2.1 Number of specimens tested.

11.2.2 Average number of neps of each size class, average number of vegetable matter pieces of each size class, and average number of colored fibers per 15 g of wool top or, if required, per 0.5 oz.

11.2.3 Average number of combined specified defects per 15 g (or 0.5 oz), if required.

## 12. Precision and Bias

12.1 *Introduction*—Test results are reported as the average counts of specified defects per 15-g specimen of wool top. The precision of test results is evaluated in terms of the total count of a specified defect for all specimens included in each test result since such total counts have a Poisson distribution while the average defect counts do not have such a distribution. If the total counts for actual test results include bias due to systematic sampling or testing errors, the critical differences in Table 1 will be overly optimistic and the confidence limits in Table 2 will be widened by the existence of such bias.

12.2 *Interlaboratory Test Data*<sup>6</sup>—An interlaboratory test was run in 1976 in which randomly drawn samples of one ball of wool top were tested in each of five laboratories. Each laboratory used two operators, each of whom tested four

<sup>6</sup> ASTM Research Report No. RR:D13-1038. A copy is available on loan from ASTM Headquarters, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.

**TABLE 1 Value of  $b$  for Critical Differences in Defect Counts,  $a$  and  $b$ , for Two Test Results<sup>A</sup>**

$r = a + b$	$b$	$r = a + b$	$b$	$r = a + b$	$b$	$r = a + b$	$b$
1	0	26	7	51	18	76	28
2	0	27	7	52	18	77	29
3	0	28	8	53	18	78	29
4	0	29	8	54	19	79	30
5	0	30	9	55	19	80	30
6	0	31	9	56	20	81	31
7	0	32	9	57	20	82	31
8	0	33	10	58	21	83	32
9	1	34	10	59	21	84	32
10	1	35	11	60	21	85	32
11	1	36	11	61	22	86	33
12	2	37	12	62	22	87	33
13	2	38	12	63	23	88	34
14	2	39	12	64	23	89	34
15	3	40	13	65	24	90	35
16	3	41	13	66	24	91	35
17	4	42	14	67	25	92	36
18	4	43	14	68	25	93	36
19	4	44	15	69	25	94	37
20	5	45	15	70	26	95	37
21	5	46	15	71	26	96	37
22	5	47	16	72	27	97	38
23	6	48	16	73	27	98	38
24	6	49	17	74	28	99	39
25	7	50	17	75	28	100	39

<sup>A</sup> The probability level for the critical difference is 95 % for two-sided limits. If the observed value of  $b < \bar{b}$  the tabulated value, the two test results should be considered significantly different at the indicated probability level.

$a$  = the larger of two counts, each of which is the total count for all specimens in a test result, and each of which is based on the same number of specimens,

$b$  = the smaller of two counts taken as specified for  $a$ , and

$r = a + b$ .

Where  $r > 100$ , use the following:

$$b = c - 1 - k\sqrt{c}$$

where:

$b$  = calculated value of  $b$ , rounded to the nearest whole number,

$c$  =  $r/2$ , and

$k$  = 1.386 for the 95 % probability level.

specimens of the material. The average count per 14-g specimens were found to be: total neps, 40.5; total vegetable matter pieces, 9.5; colored fibers, 20.5. The test results indicated significant differences between laboratories and between operators within laboratories for neps and colored fibers, and between laboratories for vegetable matter pieces.

**12.3 Critical Differences**—Table 1 contains criteria for determining whether the total defect counts for two test results, each based on the same number of specimens of a stated size, should be considered significantly different at the 95 % probability level. No justifiable statement can be made about the between-laboratory precision of Test Method D 1770 for testing the number of neps, vegetable matter pieces, and colored fibers in wool top until the amount of bias, if any, between the two specific laboratories has been established by comparisons based on recent data obtained on specimens randomly drawn

from one sample of wool top of the type to be tested.

**12.4 Confidence Limits**—Table 2 shows the 95 % confidence limits for the total count of a specified defect or group of defects in a single test result obtained as directed in Test Method D 1770.

**12.5 Bias**—The true values for the count of neps, vegetable matter, and colored fiber in wool top can be defined only in terms of a specific test method. Within this limitation, the procedures in Test Method D 1770 have no bias.

**12.5.1** Although the average results obtained by many operators in many laboratories should contain no bias, the results of the interlaboratory test suggest that differences sometimes exist between operators or between laboratories.

### 13. Keywords

13.1 impurity; vegetable matter; wool

**TABLE 2 95 % Confidence Limits for Defect Counts per Test Results<sup>A</sup>**

Observed Count	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Observed Count	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
0	0.0	3.7			
1	0.0	5.6	26	17.0	38.1
2	0.2	7.2	27	17.8	39.3
3	0.6	8.8	28	18.6	40.5
4	1.1	10.2	29	19.4	41.6
5	1.6	11.7	30	20.2	42.8
6	2.2	13.1	31	21.1	44.0
7	2.8	14.4	32	21.9	45.2
8	3.4	15.8	33	22.7	46.4
9	4.1	17.1	34	23.5	47.5
10	4.8	18.4	35	24.4	48.7
11	5.5	19.7	36	25.2	49.8
12	6.2	21.0	37	26.0	51.0
13	6.9	22.2	38	26.9	52.2
14	7.6	23.5	39	27.7	53.3
15	8.4	24.7	40	28.6	54.5
16	9.1	26.0	41	29.4	55.6
17	9.9	27.2	42	30.3	56.8
18	10.7	28.4	43	31.1	57.9
19	11.4	29.6	44	32.0	59.1
20	12.2	30.8	45	32.8	60.2
21	13.0	32.1	46	33.7	61.4
22	13.8	33.3	47	34.5	62.5
23	14.6	34.5	48	35.4	63.6
24	15.4	35.7	49	36.2	64.8
25	16.2	36.9	50	37.1	65.9

<sup>A</sup> Lower confidence limit for counts =  $c[1 - (1/6)c - t(1/6c)^{1/2}]^3$   
Upper confidence limit for counts =  $d[1 - (1/6)d + t(1/6d)^{1/2}]^3$

where:

$c$  = observed number of counts,

$d$  =  $c + 1$ , and

$t$  = value of Student's  $t$  for infinite degrees of freedom, two-sided limits, and the specified probability level ( $t = 1.960$  at the 95 % probability level).

*The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).*