



Standard Guide for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Uniform Abrasion)¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 4158; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers the determination of the resistance to abrasion of a wide range of textile materials using the uniform abrasion testing instrument. Fabrics of all types including carpets, garments and nonwovens may be tested under this method.

NOTE 1—Other procedures for measuring the abrasion resistance of textile fabrics are given in: Test Methods D 3884, D 3885, D 3886, D 4157, D 4966, and AATCC Test Method 93.

1.1.1 Provisions are provided for testing specimens in dry and wet conditions. Four options for evaluation are included:

- Option 1—Fabric Rupture
- Option 2—Mass Loss
- Option 3—Thickness Loss
- Option 4—Breaking Strength Loss

1.1.2 Provision is provided for testing specimens in the wet state.

1.2 The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as the standard. Within the text, the inch-pound units are shown in parentheses. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in nonconformance with the specification.

1.3 *This guide does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- D 123 Terminology Relating to Textiles²
- D 1776 Practice for Conditioning Textiles for Testing²
- D 3884 Test Methods for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Rotary Platform, Double Head Method)³
- D 3885 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Flexing and Abrasion Method)³

¹ This method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.60 on Fabric Test Methods, Specific.

Current edition approved Feb. 10, 2001. Published May 2001. Originally published as D 4158 – 82. Last previous edition D 4158 – 92.

² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 07.01.

³ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 07.02.

D 3886 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Inflated Diaphragm Apparatus)³

D 4157 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Oscillatory Cylinder Method)³

D 4966 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Martindale Abrasion Tester Method)⁴

D 5035 Test Method for Breaking Force and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Strip Method)³

2.2 Other Documents:

AATCC Test Method 93, Abrasion Resistance of Fabrics: Accelerator Method⁵

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 *abrasion, n*—the wearing away of any part of a material by rubbing against another surface.

3.1.2 *abrasion cycle, n*—one complete movement of an abrading across the surfaces of a material being abraded.

3.1.2.1 *Discussion*—The complete movement for an abrasion cycle is dependent on the action of the abrasion machine and the test method used. It may consist of one back and forth nondirectional movement, or one circular movement, or a combination of both. For the uniform abrasion method, one cycle is one circular movement or rotation as noted on its counter.

3.1.3 *rotation, n*—one count on the counter which is roughly equivalent to one cycle.

3.2 For definitions of other terms used in these methods, refer to Terminology D 123.

4. Summary of Test

4.1 A specimen is mounted in a holder and abraded uniformly in all directions in the plane and about every point of the surface of the specimen. The settings of the instrument, method of mounting specimens, conditions of test (conditioned or wet), and criteria to be used in evaluating abrasive wear in the test, depend upon the nature of the specimen to be tested and the use to be made of the test results. Abrasion resistance for wear is evaluated by one or more conditions of destruction, a defined surface damage, loss in the mass, strength or thickness of test specimens, or by increased weakness in the sample as shown by a subsequent test by another method,

⁴ Discontinued- See 2000 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 07.02.

⁵ Available from American Association of Textile Chemists and Colorists, P.O. Box 12215, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

depending on the material or its intended use.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The resistance to abrasion of textile materials is affected by many factors in a complex manner. The results obtained from the use of this instrument assist in evaluating these factors relative to the wear serviceability of the final textile product in specific end uses. The resistance to abrasion is affected by many factors that include the inherent mechanical properties of the fibers; the dimensions of the fibers; the structure of the yarns; the construction of the fabrics; the type, kind, amount of treatment added to the fibers, yarns or fabric; the nature of the abrading; the tension on the specimen; the pressure between the specimen and the abrading; and the dimensional changes in the specimen. Experience has shown in many instances, that relative results obtained with this instrument when used on a series of fabrics, agreed with those obtained based upon performance in end use. However, caution is advised because anomalous results may occur due to uncontrolled factors in manufacturing or other processes. Specific instances have been described.^{6,7,8,9} In any event, anomalous results should be studied to further understand the complex behavior that may occur as a result of abrasion that may in turn assist in the development of more durable fabrics.

5.2 Testing some specimens under “wet” conditions can add another dimension to the evaluation of some textiles. Such testing under “wet” conditions can help ascertain the effect of changes in a fabric’s resistance to abrasion when it becomes wet. This test can also increase the uniformity of the abrading action by washing away abrasion debris and preventing the build up of broken fibers that can interfere with the proper progression of the tests.

5.3 This test is used as a guide in evaluating textiles in quality control and in research.

5.3.1 If there are differences of practical significance between reported test results for two laboratories (or more), comparative tests should be performed to determine if there is a statistical bias between them, using competent statistical assistance. As a minimum, the test samples should be used that are as homogenous as possible, that are drawn from the material from which the disparate test results were obtained, and that are randomly assigned in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. Other fabrics with established test values may be used for this purpose. The test results from the two laboratories should be compared using a statistical test for unpaired data, at a probability level chosen prior to the testing series. If a bias is found, either a cause must be found and

corrected, or future test results must be adjusted in consideration of the known bias.

5.4 This test is unique and is significantly different from any other existing abrading test.

5.5 This test method may also be used as a technique for pretreating material for subsequent testing. For example, a predetermined number of abrasion cycles at specified test conditions may be performed on a series of specimens, which are then subjected to a strength or barrier performance test.

5.6 The resistance of textile materials to abrasion as measured by this guide does not include all the factors which account for wear performance or durability in actual use. While the “abrasion resistance” stated in terms of the number of cycles and “durability” (defined as the ability to withstand deterioration or wearing out in use, including the effects of abrasion) are frequently related. The relationship varies with different end uses, and different factors may be necessary in any calculation of predicted durability from specific abrasion data.

5.6.1 Laboratory tests may be reliable as an indication of relative end-use suitability in cases where the difference in abrasion resistance of various materials is large, but they should not be relied upon for prediction of performance during actual wear life for specific end uses unless there are data showing the specific relationship between laboratory abrasion tests and actual wear in the intended end use.

5.7 The pressure and tension used is varied, depending on the mass and nature of the material and the end use application. Whenever possible all materials that are to be compared with each other should be tested under the same pressure and tension.

5.8 When abrasion tests are continued to total destruction, abrasion resistance comparisons are not practical for fabrics have a different mass because the change in abrasion resistance is not directly proportional to the change in the fabric mass.

5.9 All the test methods and instruments that have been developed for abrasion resistance may show a high degree of variability in results obtained by different operators and in different laboratories; however, they represent the methods most widely used in the industry. Because there is a definite need for measuring the relative resistance to abrasion, this is one of the several standardized guides and methods that is useful to help minimize the inherent variation in results that may occur.

6. Apparatus and Materials

6.1 The Uniform Abrasion Tester¹⁰, shown in Figs. 1 and 2, consists of an abrading mechanism, specimen supporting mechanism, and driving mechanism. Essentially, the surface of the abrading lies in a plane parallel to the surface supporting the specimen and presses upon the specimen. The abrading and specimen rotate in the same direction at very nearly but not quite the same angular velocity (250 rpm) on noncoaxial axes which are parallel to within 0.0025 mm (0.0001 in.).

⁶ Schiefer, H. F. and Krasny, J. F., “Note on the Disintegration of Wool in Abrasion Tests,” *Textile Research Journal*, Vol 19, January 1950, pp. 802–809; *Journal of Research*, Nat. Bureau Standards, Vol 44, January 1950, pp. 9–14 (Research Paper RP 2054).

⁷ Schiefer, H. F., Crean, L. E. and Krasny, J. F. “Improved Single-Unit Schiefer Abrasion Testing Machine,” *Journal of Research*, Nat. Bureau Standards, Vol 42, May, 1949, pp. 259–269. *ASTM Bulletin*, No. 159, July 1949, pp. 73–78 (TP133).

⁸ Schiefer, H. F., “Solution of Problem Producing Uniform Abrasion and its Application to the Testing Machine,” *Journal of Research*, Nat. Bureau of Standard Vol. 39, July 1947 (Research Paper R1807).

⁹ Schiefer, H. F., and Wertz, C. W., “Interpretation of Tests for Resistance to Abrasion of Textiles,” *Textile Research Journal*, Vol XXII No. 1, January 1952.

¹⁰ A suitable tester is the Schiefer Uniform Abrasion Tester manufactured by the Frazier Precision Instrument Co., Inc., 925 Sweeney Drive, Hagerstown, Maryland 21740.




FIG. 1 Schematic Diagram of Uniform Abrasion Testing Machine

6.1.1 The small difference in speed is to permit each part of the specimen to come in contact with a different part of the abrasant at each rotation. Each rotation is equivalent to one cycle.

6.1.2 The abrading mechanism consists of the abrasant mounted at the lower end of a shaft, weights placed on the upper end of the shaft to produce constant pressure between abrasant and specimen throughout the test, lever and cam for raising and lowering the abrasant, shaft, and weights. A counterweight for balancing the abrasant and abrasant shaft is needed when tests are to be carried out at low pressure.

6.1.2.1 Weights, in increments of 500 g (1 lb) that are capable of providing up to a total of 5 kg (10 lb) to the specimen in pressure. Individual weight tolerances are $\pm 1\%$.

6.1.3 The specimen-supporting mechanism provides for tension mounting of thinner, more flexible materials and rigid mounting of thick, stiff materials. For the first, a plastic pressure foot 12.5, 25, 31, 38, or 50 mm ($\frac{1}{2}$, 1, $1\frac{1}{4}$, $1\frac{1}{2}$, or 2 in.) in diameter, as prescribed, shall be mounted at the upper end of the specimen shaft to fix the area of the specimen to be abraded. A conical clamp seat, fitted to the shaft, rotates with it but is free to move vertically on the shaft. A cam is provided for raising and lowering the clamp seat. The specimen clamp shown unassembled in Fig. 3 fits on the seat (C, Fig. 3) and can be fastened to it by merely rotating it slightly to engage the two pins in the slots. The clamp and specimen assembly can be removed quickly for examining the specimen and measuring the wear and returned to the machine without unclamping the specimen. When the clamp seat is lowered by turning the cam, the combined mass of the clamp seat and specimen clamp is suspended by the specimen over the presser foot. This places the specimen under constant tension throughout the test with take-up of any stretch in the specimen. Different tensions may

be applied to the specimen by changing the mass of the clamp seat, for example, by adding auxiliary weights as in 6.1.2.1. For rigid mounting of thick, stiff fabrics such as carpeting and some felts use the specimen clamp and mounting aids shown in Fig. 4. Screw the assembly onto the specimen shaft in place of the presser foot and specimen clamp seat (E, Fig. 2).

6.1.4 The driving mechanism consists of a motor-driven auxiliary drive shaft connected to the abrasant shaft and specimen shaft by spur gears.

6.1.5 The instrument shall be equipped with (1) a counter (G, Fig. 2) resettable to indicate the number of rotations in a test; (2) a sensitive microswitch (H, Fig. 2) to stop the machine automatically when a tension-suspended specimen is worn through. A thickness gage (I, Fig. 2), when specified, is available to measure changes in thickness of the specimen during the test.

6.1.5.1 Alternately the instrument may be equipped with a programmable counter that will stop the instrument upon reaching a preset number of rotations.

6.1.6 **Abrasant**—Shall be sufficiently greater in diameter than the specimen supporting the surface that the latter lies entirely inside the periphery of the abrasant during a test. A spring steel blade abrasant (B, Fig. 3), which is essentially constant in its action for a long period of use, shall be used for woven, felted, pile, and knitted fabrics and a cross-cut tungsten tool steel blade abrasant (A, Fig. 3) for coated fabrics, unless otherwise specified in the material specification.

6.1.6.1 Abrasion tests are subject to variations due to changes in the abrasant during specific tests. The spring steel blade abrasant and the cross-cut tungsten tool steel blade abrasant are considered permanent abrasants that have a hardened metal surface. It is assumed that the abrasant will not change appreciably in a specific series of tests, but obviously similar abrasants used in different laboratories will not likely change at the same rate due to differences in usage. Permanent abrasants may also change due to pick-up of treatments or other material from test fabrics and must accordingly be cleaned at frequent intervals. Consequently, depending upon its usage, the abrasant must be checked periodically against a standard.


6.1.6.2 *Stoddard Solvent*, or equivalent degreasing agent, for cleaning steel blade.

6.1.6.3 **Hazards**—Working with Stoddard solvent may be hazardous. When using Stoddard solvent wear safety glasses; avoid prolonged skin contact; if splashed in eyes, flush thoroughly with water and consult physician, perform operations under a fume hood with the exhaust on; store in an approved, label safety can; dispose of used Stoddard solvent as directed by city, state or federal ordinances, as required. Refer to the manufacturer's Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for information on handling, use storage and disposal of material and reagents used with this guide.

6.1.7 The standard abrasives, emery cloth, sandpaper, duck, canvas, or other cloth in a suitable holder may be needed as an abrasant for some materials as agreed upon between the purchaser and the supplier. Other abrasants may also be used.

7. Sampling and Test Specimens

7.1 **Lot Sample**—For acceptance testing, take a lot sample



A—Abradant.
 B—Weights on abradant shaft.
 C—Cam and lever system for raising the abradant shaft, abradant, and weights.
 D—Counterweight for balancing abradant and abradant shaft when tests are to be made at low pressures.

E—Specimen in place ready for test.
 F—Cam for raising and lowering the specimen clamp seat
 G—Counter.
 H—Microswitch.
 I—Thickness gage.

FIG. 2 Uniform Abrasion Testing Machine

as directed in the applicable material specification, or other contract. In the absence of such specification or other agreement, take a lot sample from 10 percent of the rolls or garments in the shipment rounded off to the next higher number.

7.1.1 Test sample garments as agreed upon by the purchaser and supplier.

7.1.2 *Laboratory Sampling Unit*—As a laboratory sampling unit take from rolls at least one full-width piece of fabric that is 1 m (1 yard) in length along the salvage (machine direction), after removing a first 1 m (1 yard) length. For fabric components of fabricated systems use the entire system.

7.1.2.1 Each garment is a laboratory sampling unit.

7.2 *Test Specimens*—From each laboratory sampling unit,

cut five test specimens. If tests are to be performed on wet specimens, cut a second set of five specimens. If breaking strength of unabraded specimens is required, prepare five additional specimens as directed in Test Method D 5035 except modify the dimensions to agree with the dimensions to be used for the abraded specimens. Specimen preparation need not be carried out in the standard atmosphere for testing or proceed as per 8.1.1. Label to maintain specimen identity.

7.2.1 For pile yarn floor coverings, rugs and thick felts, prepare specimens in the shape of a cross, with each cross-member of 50 mm (2 in.) wide and 115 mm (4.5 in.) long. See Fig. 4.



A—Cross-cut tungsten tool steel blade abradant.

B—Spring steel blade abradant.

C—Specimen clamp seat.

D—Template, which is placed under E to bulge the specimen when mounting it.

E—Base of specimen clamp, over which specimen is placed.

F—Pressure ring, which is placed on specimen.

G—Outer ring which is screwed down over F to hold the assembly together.

FIG. 3 Abradants; Specimen Clamp Seat; Template and Clamp for Thinner Flexible Cloths Which Are to Be Held in the Machine Under Tension

NOTE 2—The actual area of the specimen that is abraded in 50 mm (2 in.) square.

7.2.2 For other materials, prepare circular specimens have diameter of eighth 61, 86 or 97 mm (2.4, 3.4 or 3.8 in.).

NOTE 3—For convenience, the weight of the specimens with 61, 86 or 97 mm diameters when multiplied by the factors 10, 5 and 4 respectively provides the mass of the fabric in ounces per square yard. For the mass of the fabric in grams per square meter multiply the ounces per square yard by 33.906.

7.2.3 For fabric widths 125 mm (5 in.) or more, take no specimen closer than 25 mm (1 in.) from the selvage edge.

7.2.4 For fabric widths less than 125 mm (5 in.) use the entire width.

7.2.5 Cut specimens representing a broad distribution diagonally across the width of the laboratory sampling unit. Ensure specimens are free of folds, creases, or wrinkles. Avoid getting oil, water, grease, etc. on the specimens when handling.

7.2.6 If the fabric has a pattern, ensure that the specimens are a representative sampling of the pattern.

8. Conditioning

8.1 Dry test for specimens which are not to be wet tested in an atmosphere in which testing is to be performed.

8.1.1 Precondition the samples or specimens by bringing them to approximate moisture equilibrium in the standard

atmosphere for preconditioning as directed in Practice D 1776. Equilibrium is considered to have been reached when the increase in mass of the specimen in successive weighings made at intervals of not less than 2 hours does not exceed 0.1 % of the mass of the specimen.

8.2 Wet test for specimens that are to be wet tested.

8.2.1 Specimens to be wet tested do not need preconditioning or conditioning in a standard atmosphere before testing. (See 10.2.2 for the wetting treatment.)

9. Preparation and Calibration of Test Apparatus

9.1 Ensure test machine is on a level, sturdy table or base and free from vibration.

9.2 Prepare, operate and verify calibration of the abrasion tester using directions supplied by manufacturer.

9.3 For new working blades, standardize by first abrading a 61 mm (2.4 in.) diameter specimen of standardizing fabric for 20,000 cycles under pressure of 4.5 kg (10 lb.). Continue this procedure until the difference between the average of the master blade and the working blade is 25 % or less. In addition, this procedure should be used to verify and standardize the working blade on a regular schedule, such as weekly or monthly depending upon use. If working blades cannot be maintained within the 25 % limit, discard or return to the manufacturer to be reground and calibrated.



A—Specimen.
B—Base of clamp.
C—Clamping plate.
D—Outer ring.

E—Pressure disk.
F—Assembly in screw press for forcing C down over specimen in order to tighten D and hold specimen firmly on the base.

FIG. 4 Specimen Clamp and Mounting Aids for Thick, Stiff Cloth Such as Carpeting and Felts, Which Are to Be Mounted Rigidly

9.3.1 Reserve standardized master blades to verify working blades. (Economically, it is good practice to maintain a working master blade to verify the working blades. The working master blade can then be verified to the standardized master blade on a less frequent basis, such as a year.)

9.4 Rinse the abrasant blade in a degreasing solvent after each test.

10. Procedure

10.1 Standard Test (Dry):

10.1.1 Test the conditioned specimens in the standard atmosphere for testing textiles which is $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($70 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$) and $65 \pm 2\%$ relative humidity.

10.1.2 If abrasion resistance is to be based on a loss of the mass of the test specimens, mass the test specimen to the nearest milligram and record the weight before starting the test and again after completion of the test.

10.1.3 If abrasion resistance is to be based on thickness changes, measure and record the thickness in millimeter (or

thousands of an inch) after mounting but before starting the test and again after concluding the test.

10.1.4 Mount the specimen in an appropriate clamp (as prescribed in the materials specifications) for the particular product being tested. Insert the clamp in the tester and lock the clamp in position. In testing a tension-suspended specimen, apply a constant tension to the specimen to stretch it uniformly over the presser foot that determines the exact area to be abraded. Lower the abrasant and adjust the force on the specimen as directed in a material specification using the counterweight or the addition of auxiliary weights, or both. In the absence of a material specification refer to 10.1.4.1.

10.1.4.1 Using spare specimens, determine and adjust the size of the presser foot and the total force (including abrasant) on the specimen to produce a test duration that exceeds 1000 rotations (cycles) for materials that exhibit a low abrasion resistant and that is less than 20,000 rotations (cycles) for

materials that exhibit a high abrasion resistant. (See Notes 3 and 4.)

NOTE 4—A spring steel blade abradant with a total force of 45 N (10 lbs.) applied to the specimen and an abraded area of 32 mm (1.25 in.) diameter have been found suitable as a starting point for a wide range of textile fabrics. It is advisable to conduct tests at several different forces and with several abradants to determine the most satisfactory combination.

NOTE 5—For coated fabrics, a cross cut tungsten tool steel blade abradant has been found suitable.

NOTE 6—For carpets and rugs, the end point can range from 1000 to 100,000 rotations.

10.1.5 Set the counter at zero and start the test.

10.1.5.1 If the model with a cut off counter is used, set the counter at the predetermined end point and start the test.

10.1.6 Take thickness readings as frequently as necessary to obtain a well-defined abrasion curve, and include a reading at the end as well as at the start of the test while the abrader and specimen are still in place. (Stop the instrument to take readings.)

10.1.7 Place the clamp and specimen in the tester and continue the abrasion for the required number of cycles. For tension supported specimens, continue the abrasion test until the machine automatically stops at failure.

10.1.8 Replace the clamp and specimen in the tester and continue the abrading as many times as needed or for tension-suspended specimens. Continue the test to the destructive end point, at which point the machine will stop automatically.

10.1.8.1 If the specimen was a test for wear deterioration for automatic machine determined abrasion end point, record the number of cycles.

10.1.8.2 If abrasion resistance is based on mass loss, weigh the specimen to the nearest milligram and record the mass.

10.1.8.3 If breaking strength after a predetermined number of cycles is to be obtained, determine as directed in Test Method D 5035 modifying the test specimen as needed. Ensure the abraded area is centered in the specimen and midway between the clamps of the tensile tester. Also test a like number of unabraded specimens.

10.1.9 Test the remaining specimens.

10.1.10 In the case of carpets, rugs and thick felts read the thickness of the specimen on the dial during the test.

10.2 *Wet Test*—Mount the test specimens as directed in section 9.1 except wet the specimens as directed in sections 10.2.1-10.2.4.

10.2.1 Prior to wetting each specimen, weigh to the nearest 0.001 g or measure the thickness to the nearest 0.001 mm (0.025 in.) or both, as applicable.

10.2.2 Thoroughly wet the specimen by immersion in water to a wet equilibrium.

10.2.2.1 The time of immersion must be sufficient to wet out the specimens thoroughly as indicated by no further change in mass when two successive weighings less than 15 minutes apart do not differ more than 0.1 % of the mass of the sample. For fabrics not readily wet out with water add a 0.1 % solution of a nonionic wetting agent to the water bath.

10.2.2.2 In tests where the object of the wet test is to wash away debris with a constantly dripping or flowing stream of water, the permission (10.2.2) above is not necessary. This

action is particularly applicable where debris accumulation alters the dry abrading action of the abrader.

10.2.3 After each 1000 rotations of abrasion, stop the machine and flood the abraded area with an excess of water.

10.2.3.1 A continuous and more uniform wetting can be accomplished by supplying water continuously during the test, under a constant pressure head by means of a directed stream or constant drip.

10.2.4 At the end of the test:

10.2.4.1 If the abrasion resistance is based on mass loss, dry the specimen and recondition it as directed in 8.1. Weigh the specimen to the nearest milligram.

10.2.4.2 If the specimen was a test for wear deterioration for automatic machine determined abrasion end point, record the number of cycles.

10.2.4.3 If the abrasion resistance is based on thickness loss, measure the thickness to the nearest millimeter before removing and record the value.

10.2.4.4 Record the number of cycles.

10.2.5 Test the remaining specimens.

11. Calculation and Evaluation

11.1 The most appropriate option to use depends on the fabric to be tested. Trial and error or experience with fabric will determine the best option. The abrasion resistance of textile fabrics is commonly measured by one of the following procedures:

11.2 Standard options for evaluating abrasion using the Uniform Abrader:

11.2.1 *Option 1 (Wear)*—The end point (rupture) is reached on a woven fabric when two or more threads have broken or on a knitted fabric when a hole appears or when the abrader automatically stops due to the destruction of the sample. Calculate abrasion resistance as the average rotations to rupture of five specimens for each sampling unit and for each lot.

11.2.2 *Option 2 (Mass Loss)*—The difference in mass before and after abrasion due to abrasion for a specified number of cycles.

11.2.2.1 Calculate abrasion resistance, percent mass loss, for individual specimens to the nearest 0.01 % using Eq 1.

$$TL = 100 \frac{(A-B)}{A} \quad (1)$$

where:

TL = mass loss, %,

A = mass of the specimen before abrasion mg, and

B = mass of the specimen after abrasion, mg.

11.2.2.2 Calculate the average abrasion resistance, percent mass loss of each sampling unit and for the lot.

11.2.3 *Option 3 (Thickness Loss)*—The difference in thickness before and after abrasion due to abrasion for a specified number of cycles or the number of cycles needed to produce a specified reduction in thickness as shown by the thickness gauge. Thickness can also be determined by evaluating before the test and after dismounting after the appropriate number of cycles.

11.2.3.1 Calculate abrasion resistance, percent thickness loss for individual specimens to the nearest 1 mm (0.02 in.) using Eq 2.

$$TL = 100 \frac{(A-B)}{A} \quad (2)$$

where:

TL = mass loss, %,

A = thickness of the specimen before abrasion mm (in.),
and

B = thickness of the specimen after abrasion, mm (in.).

11.2.3.2 Calculate the average abrasion resistance, percent thickness loss for each sampling unit and for the lot.

11.2.3.3 Calculate abrasion resistance, actual thickness loss, for individual specimens to the nearest 1 mm (0.02 in.) using Eq 3.

$$TL = 100 (A-B) \quad (3)$$

where:

TL = thickness loss, mm (0.02 in.),

A = thickness of the specimen before abrasion mm (in.),
and

B = thickness of the specimen after abrasion, mm (in.).

11.2.3.4 Calculate the average abrasion resistance, actual thickness loss for each sampling unit and for the lot.

11.2.4 *Option 4 (Percentage Loss in Breaking Strength)*—Determine the breaking strength of specimens abraded for a specified number of cycles, using Test Method D 5035, breaking force/elongation by raveled strip/cut strip procedure. The abraded area of the specimens should be in the central portion of the raveled strip and be placed midway between the clamps of the tensile tester.

11.2.4.1 Calculate the average breaking strength of the abraded specimens rounded to three significant digits for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot.

11.2.4.2 Calculate the average breaking strength of the unabraded specimens rounded to three significant digits for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot.

11.2.4.3 Calculate the percentage loss in breaking strength to the nearest 1 % as the abrasion resistance using Eq 4, for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot.

$$AR_{BS} = 100 \frac{(A-B)}{A} \quad (4)$$

where:

AR_{BS} = abrasion resistance, % loss in breaking strength,

A = average breaking strength of the unabraded specimens, g (lb.), and

B = average breaking strength of the abraded specimens, g (lb.).

12. Report

12.1 State that the specimens were tested as directed in Guide D 4156. Describe the materials or product sampled, the option used and the method of sampling used.

12.2 Report the following information for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot as required for the option used.

12.2.1 abrasion resistance, number of cycles to rupture.

12.2.2 abrasion resistance, percent loss in breaking strength and direction of test.

12.2.3 breaking strength of abraded test specimens.

12.2.4 breaking strength of unabraded test specimens.

12.2.5 abrasion resistance, mass loss.

12.2.6 abrasion resistance, thickness loss in mm (in.) and percent.

12.2.7 abradant type, tension and pressure applied.

12.2.8 evaluation option used and any modifications to the test.

12.3 If any modification to the test and values obtained using the modification are used, describe the specific option employed and the results.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 A pilot investigation was run in 1999 on a single test instrument. It was shown that an interaction between fabric construction and type of abradant had profound effect on the test results. It was concluded by the subcommittee, that while confidence between labs and equipment may be low, meaningful results for research and process control is possible on single tests.

13.2 *Bias*—No justifiable statement can be made on the bias of this Guide for measuring the abrasion resistance of textile fabrics because the true value of the property has not been established by an accepted referee method.

14. Keywords

14.1 abrasion; abrasion resistance; carpets; floor coverings; nonwoven; pile; rugs; textile; textile fabrics; uniform; yarn

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).