



Standard Test Method for Uranium by Iron (II) Reduction in Phosphoric Acid Followed by Chromium (VI) Titration in the Presence of Vanadium¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C 1267; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method, commonly referred to as the Modified Davies and Gray technique, covers the titration of uranium in product, fuel, and scrap materials after the material is dissolved. The test method is versatile and has been ruggedness tested. With appropriate sample preparation, this test method can give precise and unbiased uranium assays over a wide variety of material types **(1, 2)**.² Details of the titration procedure in the presence of plutonium with appropriate modifications are given in Test Method C 1204.

1.2 Uranium levels titrated are usually 20 to 50 mg, but up to 200 mg uranium can be titrated using the reagent volumes stated in this test method.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. For specific safeguard and safety precaution statements, see Section 4.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

C 696 Test Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, and Spectrochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Uranium Dioxide Powders and Pellets³

C 799 Test Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, and Spectrochemical, Nuclear, and Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Uranyl Nitrate Solutions³

C 1128 Guide for Preparation of Working Reference Materials for Use in the Analysis of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Materials³

C 1204 Test Method for Uranium in the Presence of Plutonium by Iron(II) Reduction in Phosphoric Acid Followed by Chromium(VI) Titration³

C 1346 Practice for Dissolution of UF₆ from P-10 Tubes³

C 1347 Practice for Preparation and Dissolution of Uranium Materials for Analysis³

3. Summary of Test Method

3.1 Samples are prepared by dissolution techniques detailed in Practices C 1346, C 1347, or Refs **(2), (3), and (4)**. Appropriate uncertainties for sampling and weight determination should be applied to the overall precision and bias calculations for the final result. Aliquants containing 20 to 200 mg of uranium are prepared by weight. The sample is fumed to dryness after the appropriate acid treatment. The sample is dissolved in dilute nitric acid or water prior to titration.

3.2 Uranium is reduced to uranium(IV) by excess iron(II) in concentrated phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) containing sulfamic acid. The excess iron(II) is selectively oxidized by nitric acid (HNO₃) in the presence of a molybdenum(VI) catalyst. After the addition of a vanadium(IV) solution, the uranium(IV) is titrated with chromium(VI) to a potentiometric end point.

3.3 The chromium(VI) titrant may be delivered manually on a weight or on a volumetric basis as specified by the facility titration procedure.

3.3.1 If the titrant is delivered on a volumetric basis, corrections to the volume of titrant may be needed to adjust for the difference between the temperature of preparation and the ambient temperature.

3.3.2 Automated titrators are facility specific and are not explicitly addressed in this test method. However, automated titrators which have comparable bias and precision may be used.

3.3.3 There is an alternate, high precision (~0.005 % RSD) modified Davies and Gray titration, which is similar to the method covered in this procedure except that the amount of uranium titrated is increased and about 90 % of the titrant is delivered on a solid weight basis followed by titration to the end point with a dilute titrant. Details of this alternate procedure are available in Ref **(5)**.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Factors governing selection of a method for the determination of uranium include available quantity of sample, homogeneity of material sampled, sample purity, desired level of reliability, and facility available equipment.

4.2 This uranium assay method is referenced in the Test

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C-26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C26.05 on Methods of Test.

Current edition approved Jan. 10, 2000. Published March 2000. Originally published as C 1267-94. Last previous edition C 1267-94.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of the test method.

³ *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 12.01.

Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, and Spectrochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Uranium Dioxide Powders and Pellets (C 696) and in the Test Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, and Spectrochemical, Nuclear, and Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Uranyl Nitrate Solutions (C799). This uranium assay method may also be used for uranium hexafluoride and uranium ore concentrate. This test method determines 20 to 200 mg of uranium; is applicable to product, fuel, and scrap material after the material is dissolved; is tolerant towards most metallic impurity elements usually specified in product and fuel; and uses no special equipment.

4.3 The ruggedness of the titration method has been studied for both the volumetric (6) and the weight (7) titration of uranium with dichromate.

4.4 Committee C-26 Safeguards Statement:

4.4.1 The materials (nuclear grade uranium in product, fuel, and scrap) to which this test method applies are subject to nuclear safeguard regulations governing their possession and use. The analytical method in this standard meets U.S. Department of Energy guidelines for acceptability of a measurement method for generation of safeguards accountability measurement data.

4.4.2 When used in conjunction with the appropriate certified reference materials (SRM⁴ or CRM), this procedure can demonstrate traceability to the national measurement base. However, use of the test method does not automatically guarantee regulatory acceptance of the resulting safeguards measurements. It remains the sole responsibility of the user of this test method to assure that its application to safeguards has the approval of the proper regulatory authorities.

5. Interferences

5.1 Interfering elements are not generally present in product and fuel material in quantities which cause interference in the titration.

5.1.1 Of the metallic impurity elements usually included in specifications for product and fuel, silver, manganese, and vanadium (in the V oxidation state) interfere when present in amounts of 10 mg or greater of impurity per 100 mg of uranium (2, 8).

5.1.2 Silver and vanadium (in the V oxidation state) cause positive bias when present in milligram quantities in the sample. The aliquant treatment adjusts the oxidation state of any vanadium(V) present in the sample (2). To remove silver, the sample must be treated prior to titration (8).

5.1.3 Manganese was originally found to cause a negative bias (2), but this bias is eliminated when the titration aliquant preparation procedure is followed as given (9, 10) in this titrimetric method.

5.2 Interferences with the Modified Davies and Gray titration, which may be present in some uranium materials, have been systematically studied.

5.2.1 The non-interference of copper, titanium, cobalt, nickel, cerium, and samarium was demonstrated (11) at the 50 mg impurity level for 100 mg of uranium.

5.2.2 The effects of the following elements in milligram quantities were studied: silver, gold, lead, iodine, arsenic,

antimony, and bismuth (8).

5.2.2.1 Gold, lead, arsenic(V), antimony(V), and bismuth do not interfere when present in amounts of 10 mg for 100 mg of uranium.

5.2.2.2 Silver, iodine, arsenic(III), and antimony(III) interfere seriously in the determination of uranium and must be eliminated prior to titration.

5.2.3 The effects of impurities on the titration of uranium continued with the platinum metals (ruthenium, rhodium, palladium, osmium, iridium, and platinum), chloride, bromide (12), fluoride (13), and technetium (14).

5.2.3.1 Ruthenium, palladium, osmium, iridium, and platinum cause serious positive errors in the determination of uranium. Rhodium alone among the platinum metals does not cause any significant error.

5.2.3.2 Chloride and bromide interfere with the assay through their effect on the platinum indicator electrode.

5.2.3.3 Small amounts of fluoride, less than 400 mg as hydrofluoric acid (HF) or 600 mg if HNO₃ is present, can be tolerated by the titration.

5.2.3.4 Technetium, found in high temperature reactor grade recycle (htgr) fuel, interferes with the titration and must be removed before titration.

5.3 The removal of certain interferences in the modified Davies and Gray titration has also been studied.

5.3.1 The initial fuming of titration aliquants with sulfuric acid removes impurity elements such as the halides and volatile metallic elements (2, 12, 13).

5.3.2 Arsenic(III) and antimony(III) can be eliminated in the H₃PO₄ by potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) oxidation prior to its addition to the titration medium (8).

5.3.3 Elimination of interferences in the titration by mercury, platinum, and palladium by means of a copper column was evaluated (15).

5.3.4 Elimination of interferences by solvent extraction of the uranium from the impurities has also been studied (16).

5.4 A list of impurities with brief references to their treatment for elimination is given in Table A1.1 in Annex A1, and the details are given in Refs 2, 8, and 12-16.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Buret*, polyethylene bottle (preparation instructions can be found in Appendix X1), glass weight, or volumetric.

6.2 *pH Meter*, with indicator (a 16 gage platinum wire has been found to be satisfactory) and reference (saturated calomel has been found to be satisfactory) electrodes.

6.2.1 The indicator electrode should be changed or cleaned if there is a titration problem such as less distinct than normal end point break or end point drift, or, if desired, prior to use when more than a week has passed since its last use. Suggested cleaning procedures for platinum wire electrodes are detailed in Appendix X2.

6.2.2 Asbestos and glass bead tipped saturated calomel electrodes can be placed directly in the titration solution. Glass frit tipped saturated calomel electrodes may have a faster leak rate and may need to be used with a separator tube containing the electrolyte to prevent titration problems due to chloride.

6.2.3 The reference electrode should be covered with a rubber tip or submerged in a solution (saturated potassium

⁴ SRM is a registered trademark.

chloride solution for the calomel electrode) for overnight storage.

6.3 *Magnetic Stirrer and TFE-Fluorocarbon Coated Magnet.*

7. Reagents

7.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society where such specifications are available.⁵ Other grades of reagents may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

7.2 *Purity of Water*— Unless otherwise indicated, references to water shall be understood to mean laboratory accepted demineralized or deionized water.

7.3 *Ferrous Sulfate Heptahydrate* ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 1.0 M)—Add 100 mL of sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4 , sp gr 1.84) to 750 mL of water as the solution is stirred. Add 280 g of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and dilute the solution to 1 L with water. Prepare the $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ reagent fresh, weekly. See the note in 10.8 on combination of this reagent with the H_3PO_4 .

7.4 *Nitric Acid* (HNO_3 , 8 M)—Add 500 mL of HNO_3 (sp gr 1.42) to <500 mL of water, and dilute to 1 L.

7.5 HNO_3 , 1 M—Add 64 mL of HNO_3 (sp gr 1.42) to <900 mL of water, and dilute to 1 L.

7.6 HNO_3 (8 M)—Sulfamic Acid ($\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}$, 0.15 M)—Ammonium Molybdate ($(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.4 %)—Dissolve 4 g of $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 400 mL of water, and add 500 mL of HNO_3 (sp gr 1.42). Mix and add 100 mL of 1.5 M $\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ solution (see 7.10) and mix.

7.7 *Orthophosphoric Acid* (H_3PO_4), 85 %—Test for reducing substances prior to use (see Annex A2).

7.8 *Potassium Dichromate* ($\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$) Solution (2 %)—Dissolve 2 g of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ in water, and dilute to 100 g with water.

7.9 $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ (0.0045 M)—Dissolve 2.65 g of reagent grade or purer grade $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ in water; transfer this solution to a pre-weighed, 2-L volumetric flask or suitable alternative and dilute to volume, or use equivalent weight/volume ratios for larger quantities of solution.

7.9.1 If National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standard reference material $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ (SRM⁴ 136e or its equivalent) was used, proceed as in 7.9.1.1 and 7.9.1.2 before going to 7.9.3; otherwise go to 7.9.2.

7.9.1.1 Allow the solution to equilibrate to room temperature, obtain the weight of the solution, and compute the uranium equivalent titration factor using 11.3 after correcting the weight of dichromate for buoyancy (11.1.1) and for oxidizing power (11.1.2).

7.9.1.2 As a good quality practice, a check on the material handling of the $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution within laboratory accepted

uncertainties may be done by titration with a working reference uranium solution. For guidance in the preparation of a working reference uranium solution, see Guide C 1128. If the titrations do not agree within laboratory accepted uncertainties, verification titrations for SRM⁴ 136e or its equivalent may be done using New Brunswick Laboratory (NBL) CRM 112-A uranium metal or its equivalent,⁶ prepared in 7.12, or the $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution should be discarded.

7.9.2 If a reagent grade $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ was used, allow the solution to equilibrate to room temperature and standardize the $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution against CRM 112-A uranium metal or its equivalent prepared in 7.12 (see Appendix X3).

7.9.3 Store the $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution in one or more borosilicate glass bottles with a poly-seal top or an equivalent container to prevent concentration changes due to evaporation.

7.10 $\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ (1.5 M)—Dissolve 146 g of $\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ in water, filter the solution, and dilute to 1 L.

7.11 *Sulfuric Acid* (H_2SO_4 , 1 M)—Add 56 mL of H_2SO_4 (sp gr 1.84) to water, while stirring, and dilute to 1 L with water.

7.12 *Uranium Standard (CRM) Solution:*

7.12.1 Clean the surface of the uranium metal (CRM 112-A or its equivalent) following the instructions on the certificate.

7.12.2 Obtain the weight of the metal by difference to 0.01 mg making buoyancy and purity corrections detailed in 11.1.1 and 11.1.2, respectively.

7.12.3 Prepare the uranium standard solution. There are many successful methods of uranium metal dissolution (none is specified on the CRM 112-A certificate); methods which reproduce the uranium assay value on the certificate are acceptable. An example of an acceptable method is given in Appendix X4.

7.12.4 Equilibrate the uranium solution to room temperature, and obtain the weight of the solution to give the same number of significant figures as the metal's weight.

7.12.4.1 Calculate the solution concentration in mg U/g uranium solution using the calculation in 11.2.2.

7.12.4.2 As a good quality practice, a check on the material handling of the uranium solution within laboratory accepted uncertainties may be done by titration with a working reference $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution. For guidance in the preparation of a working reference $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution, see Guide C 1128. If the titrations do not agree within laboratory accepted uncertainties, verification titrations for CRM 112-A or its equivalent may be done using $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ SRM⁴ 136e or its equivalent, as prepared in 7.9, or the uranium solution should be discarded.

7.13 *Vanadyl Sulfate Dihydrate*—($\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) Solution (0.0038 M—0.18 M H_2SO_4)—Add 20 mL concentrated H_2SO_4 (sp gr 1.84) to <980 mL water with stirring; equilibrate to room temperature. Weigh 1.5 g of $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ crystals, mix the solid with the temperature equilibrated H_2SO_4 solution, and dilute the solution to 2 L. The $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ concentration should provide 75 to 125 mg $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ per titration, but the concentration is not critical. See Refs (6) and (7).

7.13.1 The $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution is not stable (17); H_2SO_4 stabilizes the V(IV) oxidation state, but the H_2SO_4 concentration is not critical. The $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution should be

⁵ *Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Anal. Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary*, U.S. Pharmaceutical Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.

⁶ New Brunswick Laboratory (NBL) Certified Reference Materials Catalog (U.S. Department of Energy).

prepared at suitable intervals to prevent V(V) interference (24 h intervals for preparation are suggested) since this solution is added after the aliquant treatment stage.

7.13.2 Alternatively, crystalline $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (75 to 125 mg per titration) may be used with a water diluent in place of the solution (see 10.13).

NOTE 1—There is more than one crystalline form of vanadyl sulfate; there are problems with slow dissolving forms particularly when the vanadyl sulfate is added as a solid. Since vendors are now selling what was called vanadyl sulfate dihydrate with an unspecified hydration number, that is, $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, note that the material should be bright blue in color and have a wet appearance. The difficult-to-dissolve crystalline form is light blue and dry in appearance.

8. Hazards

8.1 Since enriched uranium-bearing materials are radioactive and toxic, adequate laboratory facilities and fume hoods along with safe techniques must be used in handling samples containing these materials. A detailed discussion of all precautions necessary is beyond the scope of this test method. However, personnel who handle radioactive materials should be familiar with the safe handling practices of the facility.

8.2 In the absence of data about the bioavailability of the chromium(VI) in $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$,⁷ the facility policy for handling of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ should be followed. In some facilities $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ has been identified as a “select carcinogen” as a conservative policy.

9. Calibration

9.1 Traceability to the National Measurement Base may be demonstrated using NIST standard (SRM⁴ 136e or its equivalent) or NBL standard uranium metal (CRM 112-A or its equivalent).

9.2 The standard $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ should be prepared as instructed on the certificate; the weight should be obtained to 0.01 mg and corrected for buoyancy and purity using the calculations in 11.1.1 and 11.1.2.

9.2.1 If a $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution is prepared from the solid $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, the solution should be equilibrated to room temperature, and the weight should be obtained to give a number of significant figures equal to that of the solid’s weight.

9.2.2 The $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution concentration is calculated in mg $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7/\text{g}$ $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution using the calculation in 11.2.1. The titration factor (mg uranium/g $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution) is calculated for the $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution using the calculation in 11.3.1.

9.3 If reagent grade $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ is used, the material must be standardized against the NBL uranium metal standard (CRM 112-A or its equivalent) to provide traceability to the national measurement base.

NOTE 2—**Caution:** Satisfactory analysis results will only be attained if the temperature of the reagents (usually at room temperature) used are within the range of 23 to 31°C.

9.3.1 Analyze individually dispensed aliquants of the uranium reference solution per 10.3–10.14.4. See Appendix X3 for

analysis control recommendations.

9.3.2 Calculate the uranium titration factor (mg U/g $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution) for the standardized $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution using the calculation in 11.3.2.

10. Titration Procedure

NOTE 3—**Caution:** Satisfactory analysis results will only be attained if the temperature of the reagents (usually at room temperature) used are within the range of 23 to 31°C.

10.1 Obtain the weight of the sample (0.5 g or more) to 0.1 mg. When necessary, especially for oxides, include errors due to weight determination in the calculation of the overall measurement uncertainty. Dissolve the sample following the procedures in Practices C 1346, C 1347, or Refs 2–4.

10.2 To prepare aliquants, quantitatively transfer the dissolved sample with an accurately determined weight to a bottle with an accurately determined weight for mixing prior to sample splitting.

10.2.1 A low-density polyethylene narrow mouth bottle with a one-piece polypropylene seal-ring screw closure to prevent leakage, or any other leak-proof bottle may be used. If polyethylene bottles are used, long-term (weeks and months) storage will not maintain sample integrity because of bottle respiration (18).

10.2.2 Equilibrate the solution to room temperature, and weigh the solution to the number of significant figures equivalent to the sample’s weight.

10.2.3 Invert and shake the solution to insure a homogeneous solution prior to aliquanting.

10.2.4 Calculate the sample dilution factor (g sample/g solution).

10.3 Deliver an aliquant, with a weight determined to 0.1 mg accuracy, containing 20 to 100 mg of uranium, into the titration vessel (400-mL beakers have been found to be satisfactory).

10.4 Add 1 mL of 1 M H_2SO_4 to the aliquant, unless the sample contains elements which form insoluble sulfates, for example, calcium and aluminum, and fume to dryness. For specific elemental interference treatments, see Annex A1 and the references cited therein.

NOTE 4—The maximum acid contents (2, 19) for a sample aliquant to be analyzed by this method are 4 mL H_2SO_4 (sp gr 1.84), 3 mL HNO_3 (sp gr 1.42), 5 mL HClO_4 (sp gr 1.67), no HCl, and 0.5 mL free (that is, not complexed such as with zirconium in U-Zr alloys) HF (sp gr 1.17). Aliquants fumed to dryness with sulfuric acid should not require further treatment to satisfy these requirements.

10.5 Dissolve the sample in 15 mL of water or in 15 mL of 1 M HNO_3 . Use the water or reagent to rinse down the sides of the beaker. The total dissolution of the sample at this point is critical to accurate analysis; a wait of 30 min to 1 h is recommended to insure total dissolution.

10.6 Add 5 mL of 1.5 M $\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ to the beaker, and mix by swirling. Use this solution to rinse the sides of the beaker.

10.7 Add 40 mL of H_3PO_4 (85 %), treated with dichromate (see Annex A2), directly into the sample. The splashing of any solution onto the side of the beaker should be avoided.

10.8 Add 5 mL of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution, and swirl briefly. Do not allow the $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution to touch the sides of the beaker while dispensing this reagent.

⁷ Overall Evaluations of Carcinogenicity: An Updating of International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Monographs, Supplement 7, World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1987, p. xvii.

NOTE 5—The $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution may be combined with the H_3PO_4 in 10.7 and added as a combined reagent.

10.9 Add a TFE-fluorocarbon coated magnet without splashing, place the beaker on a magnetic stirrer, and initiate stirring at a slow rate (avoid splashing) for 30 s.

10.10 Add 10 mL of nitric-sulfamic-molybdate solution. Use the solution to rinse down the sides of the beaker.

10.11 Mix the solution at a moderate stirrer speed. Immediately upon disappearance of the black color, begin timing the oxidation period of 3 min; the solution may or may not be stirred during the 3 min time period.

10.12 Obtain the weight of the dichromate in the weight buret if a gravimetric titration is to be used; otherwise, zero the buret.

10.13 Stop the stirring, add 100 mL of the $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution, or add the diluent (water) if crystalline $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is used.

10.13.1 If crystalline $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (75 to 125 mg) is used, add it after the diluent.

10.13.2 Use the $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution or diluent to rinse the sides of the beaker.

10.14 Increase the rate of stirring to form a vortex in the solution.

10.14.1 Insert the electrodes into the solution, and titrate rapidly with $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ to a potential of 450 to 480 mV versus a calomel reference electrode or to the equivalent voltage for other reference electrodes. If the polyethylene weight buret is used, remove the reduced size tip used in the final end point approach before beginning the addition of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution.

10.14.2 Decrease the rate of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution additions to large drops, one to two drop portions; titrate to a potential of 500 mV or to the equivalent for reference electrodes other than calomel.

10.14.3 Begin smaller $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution drop-size additions (for the polyethylene weight buret, place the micro-tip on the weight buret), and titrate to the potential break, or if a second derivative technique is to be used, skip to 10.14.4.

10.14.3.1 The maximum time elapsed between the addition of the $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution or diluent and the completion of 99 + % of the titration should not exceed 5 min.

10.14.3.2 The best precision will be attained if the time is limited to 3 to 5 min elapsed time.

10.14.3.3 The variation in the final potential readings to maintain acceptable precision should be $590\text{ mV} \pm 20\text{ mV}$ or equivalent potentials for reference electrodes other than the calomel.

10.14.4 If a double derivative end point is used instead of a fixed end point, titrate near the potential break (550 to 580 mV or equivalent) using small drops and recording each buret and potential reading. Record one drop reading past the end point, and calculate the end point using a double derivative technique.

10.14.4.1 The precautions in 10.14.3.1 and 10.14.3.2, regarding the time limits for the titration, apply up to completion of 99 + % of the titration.

10.14.4.2 The double derivative end point approach may require more than 5 min, but since 99 + % of the uranium has been titrated, the additional time will not significantly affect the final results.

10.14.4.3 Better precision will be obtained if the total titration time is limited to 10 min or less.

10.14.5 Alternative end point procedures used in manual or automated titration systems, which have been demonstrated to give comparable accuracy, are also acceptable.

11. Calculation

11.1 Buoyancy and Purity Corrections:

11.1.1 When NIST standard $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ (SRM⁴ 136e or equivalent) is used for standard solution preparation, corrections for buoyancy and purity should be applied to the solid material's weight. If NBL standard uranium metal (CRM 112-A or equivalent) is used to prepare a standard uranium solution, corrections for buoyancy and purity should be applied to the metal's weight.

11.1.2 The buoyancy correction is made using the following formula:

$$W_v = W_a[1 + (1/D_o - 1/D_w)D_a] \quad (1)$$

where:

W_v = weight of the object in vacuum, g,

W_o = weight of the object in air, g,

D_o = density of the object in air,

D_w = density of the weights of the balance in air, and

D_a = density of air at the temperature and pressure at which the weight of the object was determined.

11.1.3 The purity correction is made using the following formula:

$$W_c = (W_v)(PF) \quad (2)$$

where:

W_c = corrected weight of material, g,

W_v = buoyancy corrected weight of material, g, and

PF = purity factor stated on certificate, %/100.

11.2 Concentration Calculations:

11.2.1 Calculations of concentrations for standard solutions of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ and of uranium are made using the buoyancy and purity corrected weight for the solids.

11.2.2 The concentration of the standard $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution is calculated using the following equation:

$$C_c = (D_c)/L \quad (3)$$

where:

C_c = concentration of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, mg $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7/\text{g}$ $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution,

D_c = corrected weight of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solid in mg from 11.1.2 for $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, (1000 mg/g) W_c , and

L = $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution weight, g $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ solution.

11.2.3 The concentration of the standard uranium solution is calculated using the following equation:

$$C_u = (D_u)/Q \quad (4)$$

where:

C_u = concentration of uranium solution, mg uranium/g uranium solution,

D_u = corrected weight of uranium metal in mg from 11.1.2 for uranium metal, (1000 mg/g) W_c , and

Q = standard uranium solution weight, g uranium solution.

11.3 Uranium Titration Factor:

11.3.1 The titration factor is calculated in mg uranium/g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution.

11.3.2 For the standard $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution, the uranium titration factor is calculated from the $K_2Cr_2O_7$ concentration factor and is based on the reaction of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ with uranium(IV), that is



Since 3 moles of uranium(IV) react with 1 mole of $K_2Cr_2O_7$, the equivalent multiplier for the $K_2Cr_2O_7$ to uranium conversion is illustrated as follows:

$$\frac{(\text{molecular weight uranium}) (3)}{(\text{molecular weight } K_2Cr_2O_7)} = 2.42734 \quad (5)$$

for CRM 112-A (238.0289 g/mole) and $K_2Cr_2O_7$ (294.1844 g/mole). The uranium titration factor (mg U/g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution) is calculated for the standard $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution concentration using the following equation:

$$T = (C_c)(M) \quad (6)$$

where:

T = titrant factor for $K_2Cr_2O_7$ titration of uranium(IV), mg U/g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution,

C_c = concentration of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution from 11.2.2, mg $K_2Cr_2O_7$ /g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution, and

M = multiplier for the conversion of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution to uranium concentration defined for the reaction of the titration and the atomic weight of the standard uranium, no units (2.42734 for CRM 112-A or its equivalent).

11.3.3 When a $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution is standardized against a standard uranium solution, the titration factor is calculated directly from the standardization titration. Calculate the titration factor (mg uranium/g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution) using the following equation:

$$T = (C_u)(G)/(W) \quad (7)$$

where:

T = titrant factor for $K_2Cr_2O_7$ titration of uranium(IV), mg uranium/g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution,

C_u = concentration of standard uranium solution from 11.2.3, mg uranium/g uranium solution,

G = weight of standard uranium solution in the aliquant of CRM 112-A uranium metal or its equivalent, g uranium solution, and

W = weight of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution used as titrant, g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution.

11.4 The weight of uranium calculated for samples using the uranium titration factor calculated in 11.3 must be corrected for atomic weight differences between the sample and CRM 112-A or its equivalent.

11.4.1 *Sample Result*— Calculate the uranium content of the original sample by the following equation:

$$U = TWR/FS \quad (8)$$

where:

U = uranium per g sample,

T = titrant factor, mg uranium/g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution, calculated in 11.3.2 or 11.3.3,

W = weight of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution, g $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution,

R = ratio of atomic weight of uranium in sample to atomic weight of CRM 112-A or its equivalent,

F = factor for sample dilution, weight in g of original sample initially dissolved per total g of sample solution, and

S = weight of sample solution aliquant analyzed, g.

12. Precision and Bias ⁸

12.1 The uranium titration factor (11.3), and so the calibration of the method, is based on CRM 112-A, uranium reference material or its equivalent, or on SRM⁴ 136e, $K_2Cr_2O_7$ reference material or its equivalent.

12.2 This test method has been used for titration of uranium from product, fuel, and scrap material (1) after dissolution and treatment. The precision and bias information for the uranium titration, contained in this section, was derived from a uranyl nitrate solution titration by three laboratories. No recent interlaboratory data is available for any other material type. The International Target Values (Precision = 0.15 % and Bias = 0.15 %) (20) for the titration of pure uranium solutions is approximately three times that determined by this study. The International Target Values for the titration of other material types have precisions (% RSD) ranging from 0.15 to 0.5 % and biases ranging from 0.1 to 2.0 % depending upon the purity of the material. Uncertainties associated with sample assay other than those for the uranium titration, that is, due to sampling, sample handling, and sample weight determination are included neither in the precision and bias information for this test method nor in the International Target Values. Uncertainties for sampling, sample handling, and sample weight determination should be part of the calculation of the total uncertainty for determining uranium content by titration or any other assay method.

12.3 An interlaboratory program, the Safeguards Measurement Evaluation Program, in which the NBL provides materials to participating facilities for analysis was used to provide both interlaboratory and intralaboratory comparisons of analysis of the same material. Data from these analyses on uranyl nitrate solutions, prepared by the NBL and distributed to three laboratories for titration by the Modified Davies and Gray Method, were used as the basis for the statistical evaluation of the test method.⁹ The data is summarized in Table 1 for three laboratories A, B, and C.

12.4 The test method, as applied to uranyl nitrate solutions, shows no statistically significant bias. The data in Table 1 (pooled results) give an estimated relative bias of -0.042 % which is well within the stated uncertainty of the material (see footnote⁴ in Table 1). The variation due to the laboratory factor for the analysis technique is not statistically significant (0.005 % of the reference value of 0.6817 % uranium). The within laboratory variation (% RSD) of the analysis technique is 0.042 % of the reference value.

⁸ The supporting data are available from ASTM Headquarters. Request RR:C26-1004.

⁹ Safeguard Measurement Evaluation Program Data, New Brunswick Laboratory, 1987 – 1990, private communication.

TABLE 1 Summary of % Relative Difference Data from Titration of a Uranyl Nitrate^A Solution by Three Laboratories Over Four Years Using the Modified Davies and Gray Method^B

Lab	<i>n</i> ^C	Mean		Standard Deviation	
A	382	−0.039		0.033	
B	168	−0.044		0.052	
C	66	−0.046		0.072	
C	64 ^D	−0.055		0.055	
Summary	<i>n</i>	Within Lab		Due to Lab	
		Mean	Variation	Variation	Repro. ^E
Pooled Results	616	−0.041	0.044	0.002	0.044
Pooled Results	614 ^D	−0.042	0.042	0.005	0.042
Labs A and B ^F	550	−0.041	0.040	0.002	0.040

^AThe 95 % confidence interval of the mean determined for the reference solution uranium concentration is 0.6817 ± 0.00073 % uranium.

^BAll data is available from ASTM, which includes dates of analysis, number of analysts, and duplicate sample indications.

^C*n* is the total number of titrations, some of which were performed on the same day by the same analyst and some of which were performed at a different time, or by a different analyst, or both.

^DExcluding two statistical outliers which may have been due to previous lack of attention to procedural details that are now identified within this procedure.

^EReproducibility is the variation due to all factors including between laboratory variations. It is equal to:

$$\sqrt{(\text{Within Lab Variation})^2 + (\text{Variation Due to Lab})^2}$$

^FLaboratories A and B are grouped separately because Laboratory C was statistically significantly different from Laboratories A and B, and Laboratory C had statistical outliers.

13. Keywords

13.1 chromium titration of uranium; Davies and Gray titration of uranium; Modified Davies and Gray titration of uranium; uranium analysis; uranium analysis in fuel; uranium analysis in product

ANNEXES

(Mandatory Information)

A1. INTERFERENCES IN THE URANIUM TITRATION WITH TREATMENT STRATEGY

A1.1 See Table A1.1.

TABLE A1.1 Elemental Interference in the Modified Davies and Gray Uranium Titration with Treatment Strategy

Element/s	Treatment Strategy
Al	Hydrochloric and nitric acid dissolution. Filter residue and ignite at 900°C; combine with solution, and fume with 1 + 1 sulfuric acid (2).
Zr-Mo	Fume sample in 1 + 1 sulfuric, concentrated nitric and hydrofluoric acids (2).
V, Bi	Reduce the sample size (2).
Zr	Add 1 to 2 mL of hydrofluoric acid to the sample before titrating (2).
As, Sb, Sn	Add potassium dichromate to sample prior to reduction step (8). ^A
Ru, Os	Fume sample three times with perchloric and nitric acids (12).
Mo, Cl, Br, F	Fume sample with sulfuric and/or nitric and perchloric acids (2, 12, 13).
I	Add bromine water to sample, evaporate, fume with sulfuric or nitric and perchloric acid (8).
Tc	Fume sample with sulfuric or nitric and perchloric acid to dryness; flame walls of beaker to insure dryness (14).
Ag, Hg, Pt, Pd	Use a copper column separation (8, 15).
>10 % Au	Reduce the gold to metal and separate (8).
Am, Sb, As, Br, Cl, Fe, Au, Pb, Ir, Mn, Hg, Mo, Np, Os, Pd, Pt, Pu, Ru, Ag, Th, Sn, V, Zr	Use the tributyl phosphate/carbon tetrachloride extraction technique (16).

^AThe potassium dichromate oxidizes these elements to a non-interfering oxidation state which the ferrous ion is not capable of reducing.

A2. TREATMENT OF PHOSPHORIC ACID TO OXIDIZE REDUCING SUBSTANCES

A2.1 Add 1 mL of 2 % potassium dichromate solution (prepared in 7.8) to a 2.366 L (5 pint) bottle of phosphoric acid for oxidation of reducing impurities. If the resulting bottle of phosphoric acid does not maintain a light yellow straw color over 2 to 3 h, add an additional 1 mL of 2 % dichromate and allow the solution to sit 8 h. If the solution maintains the straw color, the phosphoric is acceptable for use. If the solution is light green in color, do not use the phosphoric acid or any other phosphoric acid bottles with the same preparation lot number **(8, 21-23)**.

A2.2 Alternatively, add 2 drops of 2 % potassium dichromate solution (prepared in 7.8) to 40 mL of phosphoric acid prior to addition to the sample for titration. It is suggested that, prior to using a new preparation lot of phosphoric acid, one bottle be tested either by the ACS test for reducing substances in phosphoric acid or by the test in A2.1.

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. POLYETHYLENE BOTTLE WEIGHT BURET PREPARATION

X1.1 A 125-mL polyethylene bottle with a detachable tip drawn by heating low density polyethylene tubing, $\frac{5}{32}$ in. inside diameter (I.D.) and $\frac{1}{4}$ in. outside diameter (O.D.), and pulling to a fine tip which is attachable to the bottle's own normal tip for the final end point approach in the weight Modified Davies and Gray titration of uranium **(24)** has been

found to allow dichromate additions by weight with good precision and accuracy. A tip drawn small enough to deliver about 5 mg of dichromate solution per drop has been found to allow acceptable rates of dichromate addition near the end point.

X2. PLATINUM WIRE ELECTRODE TREATMENTS

X2.1 A routine platinum wire electrode cleaning treatment and the treatment for restoring fast response to a sluggish platinum electrode is flaming to white heat with immediate immersion in concentrated nitric acid; the process is usually done at least two times.

X2.2 An alternate cleaning method for the platinum wire electrodes is to soak the electrode in hydrofluoric acid prior to flaming and nitric acid immersion as detailed in X2.1.

X2.3 If the analyses of standards show increasing bias over the day's analyses after routine cleaning, for several consecutive days, a sodium bisulfate fusion of the platinum wire electrode may be needed. After the sodium bisulfate fusion and flaming, again perform the routine cleaning in X2.1.

X3. DICHROMATE SOLUTION STANDARDIZATION

X3.1 Standardize reagent grade or better potassium dichromate against CRM 112-A uranium metal or its equivalent (see Appendix X4).

X3.2 The standard deviation of the mean for the potassium dichromate standardization ($s/\sqrt{n}$) should be well within the accepted laboratory error limits for the uranium titration when

$n = 10$. Example: To maintain an accepted laboratory precision on the uranium titration of $s = 0.10$, the recommended acceptable precision on the potassium dichromate standardization is $s = 0.05$ for $n = 10$ so that the accuracy of the potassium dichromate standard solution will have a minimal effect on the titration results.

X4. DISSOLUTION OF URANIUM METAL

X4.1 The following method has been used successfully for the dissolution of approximately 40 g of uranium metal for which the weight of the uranium metal has been accurately determined. Clean the surface of the uranium metal (CRM 112-A or its equivalent) following the instructions on the certificate.

X4.2 Place the metal in a dry weighed 2-L flask, or suitable alternative, and add 100 mL of 8 M nitric acid.

X4.2.1 Place a small funnel, sitting on a glass bend, in the mouth of the flask, and place the flask on a hot plate at about 75°C until dark brown fumes are seen.

X4.2.2 Lower the hot plate temperature to about 65°C, and heat for 6 h. Swirl the mixture occasionally during this stage of dissolution.

X4.3 Remove the flask from the hot plate, and add 50 mL of 8 M nitric acid.

X4.4 Return the mixture to the hot plate with the funnel in the mouth of the flask, turn the temperature of the hot plate to about 75°C, and leave the mixture for 8 h.

X4.5 Add 50 mL of 8 M nitric acid to the flask, turn the hot plate to about 85°C, leave the mixture on the hot plate for 4 h with occasional swirling. Visually check for completeness of dissolution.

X4.5.1 If the dissolution is complete, dilute to 2 L with water, and allow the solution to equilibrate to room temperature.

X4.5.2 Otherwise, continue heating the mixture until dissolution is complete.

X4.6 If insufficient nitric acid was available for dissolution, the surface of the metal may become passive, and complete dissolution will not be possible; in that case, the mixture should be discarded.

X4.7 Weigh the equilibrated solution, make buoyancy and purity corrections to the uranium metal weight, and calculate the concentration of the uranium solution.

X4.8 Thoroughly mix the uranium solution, separate into multiple borosilicate bottles with polycone seals for long-term storage, or aliquant into beakers covered with petri dishes or a suitable alternative for storage.

X4.9 Aliquants may be stored after drying as the nitrate or fumed in sulfuric acid and stored as the sulfate.

X4.10 A suitable time period for dissolution of stored dried aliquants before titration must be used; 30 min to 1 h is suggested. Dried aliquants which are not allowed adequate dissolution time may give low titration results.

REFERENCES

- (1) Davies, W. and Gray, W., "A Rapid and Specific Volumetric Method for the Precise Determination of Uranium Using Ferrous Sulfate as Reductant," *Talanta*, 1964, p. 1203.
- (2) Eberle, A. R., Lerner, M. W., Goldbeck, C. G., and Rodden, C. J., "Titrimetric Determination of Uranium in Product, Fuel, and Scrap Materials after Ferrous Ion Reduction in Phosphoric Acid: (I) Manual Titration and (II) Automatic Titration," *USAEC Document NBL-252*, AERDB, 1970.
- (3) Rodden, C. J., ed., *Analytical Chemistry of the Manhattan Project*, McGraw Hill Company, Inc., New York, NY, 1950, Chapter 1.
- (4) Rodden, C. J., ed., *Analysis of Essential Nuclear Reactor Materials*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964, pp. 3–12.
- (5) Eberle, A. R. and Lerner, M. W., "Application of the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method (Ferrous Ion Reduction) to the Precise Assay of Uranium Metal," *NBL-258* (June 1971), p. 5.
- (6) Bodnar, L. Z. and Lerner, M. W., "Ruggedness Testing of the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method of Determining Uranium," *NBL-272*, (October 1974), p. 13.
- (7) Moran, B. W., "The Effect of Procedural Variations on the NBL Gravimetric Titration for the Determination of Uranium," *NBL-297*, (April 1981), p. 5.
- (8) Bodnar, L. Z., Lerner, M. W., and Scarborough, J. M., "The Effect of Impurities on the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method of Determining Uranium. V. Silver, Gold, Lead, Iodine, Arsenic, Antimony, and Bismuth," *NBL-272* (October 1974), p. 5.
- (9) Eberle, A. R. and Lerner, M. W., "Elimination of Interference of Manganese in the NBL Titrimetric Method of Determining Uranium," *NBL-262* (March 1972), p. 21.
- (10) Bodnar, L. Z., Scarborough, J. M., and Lerner, M. W., "A Study of the Manganese Interference in the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method of Determining Uranium," *NBL-265*, (October 1972), p. 22.
- (11) Scarborough, J. M., "The Effects of Impurities on the Determination of Uranium by the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method," *NBL-265* (October 1972), p. 26.
- (12) Scarborough, J. M. and Bodnar, L. Z., "The Effect of Impurities on the New Brunswick Laboratory Titration Method of Determining Uranium. II. Platinum Metals, Chloride and Bromide," *NBL-267*, (September 1973), p. 6.
- (13) Bodnar, L. Z. and Scarborough, J. M., "The Effect of Impurities on the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method of Determining Uranium. III. Fluoride," *NBL-267*, (September 1973), p. 13.
- (14) Bodnar, L. Z. and Layton, F. Z., "The Effect of Technetium on the NBL Method of Determining Uranium—A Joint Effort with Oak Ridge National Laboratory," *NBL-277*, (February 1976), p. 7.
- (15) Bodnar, L. Z., Scarborough, J. M., and Lerner, M. W., "Elimination of Some Interferences in the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Uranium Method by Means of a Copper Column," *NBL-267*, (September 1973), p. 19.
- (16) Bodnar, L. Z., "Potentiometric Determination of Uranium in Organic Extracts," *Analytical Chemistry*, 52, (1980), p. 984.
- (17) Eberle, A. W. and Lerner, M. W., "Effect of Added Vanadyl Ion on the Accuracy of the New Brunswick Laboratory Method (Ferrous Ion Reduction) of Determining Uranium," *NBL-258*, (June 1971), p. 22.
- (18) Zook, A. C., "Stability of Reference Solutions in Teflon Bottles," *NBL-311*, (May 1984), p. 36.

- (19) Bodnar, L. Z., "The Effect of High Acid Concentration on the Determination of Uranium at the 10-milligram Level by the New Brunswick Laboratory Titrimetric Method," *NBL-272*, (October 1974), p. 9.
- (20) "1988 Target Values for Random Uncertainties in Sampling and Element Assay of Nuclear Materials," *ESARDA Bulletin* No. 13, October 1987, pp. 8–16.
- (21) Harrar, J. R., and Boyle, W. G., "Studies on the Factors Affecting Uranium Determinations by Automated Coulometric Titration (New Brunswick Laboratory/Davies-Gray Method)," UCRL-52060, 1976.
- (22) Hedrick, C. E., Inlow, R. O., Paller, J. S., and Zibulsky, H., "Characterization of Phosphoric Acid for Use in the Titrimetric Determination of Uranium," *NBL-289*, (January 1979), p. 12.
- (23) Mitchell, W. G. and Werle, M. D., "Study of the Effects of Various Phosphoric Acid on the Titration of Uranium," *NBL-304* (March 1982), p. 3.
- (24) Zook, A. C., Moran, B. W., and Collins, L. H., "Application of a Weight Titration Technique to the NBL Method for Uranium (Including Temperature Effects Study)," *NBL-293*, (March 1980), p. 1.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).